

The reason I am writing you today is because I am (unhappy/unsatisfied/annoyed) with the outdated and irrelevant law enacted in 1988 which infringes on my and countless other viewer's rights and freedoms. While the world won't come to an end if it isn't repealed/changed/ammended/whatever.. The quality of my citizenship and personal television viewing happiness is affected by its aspects and I think that is unacceptable.

The law I'm referring to is the one concerning my ineligibility to receive digital satellite transmissions of broadcast networks because I am able to view your "local affiliate" and others with a "B" or better signal. To me, this would be like FORCING me to buy "B" quality produce from local farmers while others were able to buy nationally sold "A+" produce in the supermarket! Why should I have to settle for a grainy, distorted picture (in some instances) of 255 lines resolution/ mono sound and only a single coast affiliate when there is a BETTER alternative available which I'm willing to pay for??? It doesn't seem like a free enterprise system when I am limited to protect a weaker signal and less choices.