

matters pertaining to joint requests for approval of agreements filed pursuant to Section 73.3525 of the Rules, but do not permit the filing of additional pleadings unless specifically requested or authorized by the person(s) making the ruling on the pending matter. Having filed a reply to the McComas opposition to the joint request of RGB and United, RGB and United therefore reserve the right to object to a further pleading by McComas which is not authorized by rule.

Respectfully submitted,

RIO GRANDE BROADCASTING CO.

By: Timothy K. Brady RF3
Timothy K. Brady, Esquire

Timothy K. Brady Law Office
P. O. Box 986
Brentwood, Tennessee 37027

UNITED BROADCASTERS COMPANY

By: Richard F. Swift
Richard F. Swift, Esquire

Tierney & Swift
2175 K Street, N.W., Suite 350
Washington, D.C. 20037

January 11, 1999

Certificate of Service

I, Richard F. Swift, partner in the law firm of Tierney & Swift, hereby certify that on this 11th day of January, 1999, true and correct copies of this COMMENTS were sent via First Class U.S. Mail to the following:

- * John I. Riffer, Esquire
Office of General Counsel
Administrative Law Division
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-A660
Washington, D.C. 20554

- * James W. Shook, Esquire
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communication Commission
2025 M Street, N. W., Suite 8210
Washington, D.C. 20554

Jerome Boros, Esquire
Robinson, Silverman & Pierce
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104

Counsel for Irene Rodriguez Diaz de McComas

Roy F. Perkins, Jr., Esquire
1724 Whitewood Lane
Herndon, Virginia 20170-2980

Counsel for Roberto Passalacqua



Richard F. Swift
Partner

* By hand