

Extending licenses is a terrific way to foster community in our cities. How can the FCC see fit to limit access to this means of communication to the rich and privileged? Do only the rich deserve a voice? Apparently a few congressmen think so.

What are the fears of these gentlemen of position?

American culture is suffering because our only means of mass dissemination of information is limited to the moneyed few. It seems that the only legitimate purpose for mass communication is to keep the market running by disseminating market information by way of advertisements masquerading as "culture".

Why not let the people who this government allegedly serves have a voice? Anyone that believes a 10 watt station threatens the clear broadcast of a corporate station is a ninny. The real reason is because giving a real alternative to corporate owned media may threaten the valuable time one of the Rupert Murdoch owned Culture Trusts needs to infiltrate our communities and manufacture the desire to buy the latest pair of overpriced sneakers. Or more practically, the rich are afraid we will unite with a common voice, mobilize and disallow the rapid, vapid gentrification of our beloved homes. Or disallow them from poisoning our water, taking our jobs away, bussing our kids. Community radio is a great way for us to realize that we should not fear each other, that we should take action against those interests that would drastically reduce t