



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

memorandum

RECEIVED

APR 30 1999

TO: Chief, Reference Operations Division

FROM: Daniel M. Armstrong ^{DMA}
Associate General Counsel

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

SUBJECT: Building Owners and Managers Association International, et al. v. FCC & USA, D.C. Cir. No. 98-1610; Building Owners and Managers Association International, et al. v. FCC & USA, D.C. Cir. No. 99-1009; and National Association of Home Builders v. FCC & USA, D.C. Cir. No. 99-1021. Filing of three Petitions for Review in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

DATE: January 27, 1999

This is to advise you that the Building Owners and Managers Association International, et al. on December 23, 1998 and January 7, 1999;¹ and the National Association of Home Builders on January 15, 1999, filed 402(a) Petitions for Review of: Implementation of Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 - Restrictions on Over-the-Air Reception Devices: Television Broadcast, Multichannel Multipoint Distribution and Direct Broadcast Satellite Services, CS Docket No. 96-83, FCC 98-273, released November 20, 1998.

Petitioners challenge the FCC's regulations adopted pursuant to Section 207 of the Telecom Act that prohibit most local restrictions on the installation by renters of TV, MMDS, and DBS antennas.

The Court has docketed these cases as Nos. 98-1610, 99-1009 and 99-1021. The attorney assigned to handle the litigation of these cases is Gregory M. Christopher.

cc: Chief, Cable Services Bureau, Rm. 918, Richard K. Welch, Rm. 8-C862
2033 M St. Susan H. Steiman, Rm. 8-A666
Christopher J. Wright, Rm. 8-C755 John E. Ingle, Rm. 8-A765
David H. Solomon, Rm. 8-C757 Suzanne M. Tetreault, Rm. 8-A668
P. Michele Ellison, Rm. 8-C758 Office of Public Affairs, Rm. CY-C314
Sheldon M. Guttman, Rm. 8-C867 Shepard's Citations

¹ Building Owners and Managers Association International, et al. filed its original Petition for Review on December 23, 1998 and a Protective Petition for Review on January 7, 1999, because the original Petition for Review was premature.