

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

A Partnership Including  
Professional Corporations  
600 13th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3096  
202-756-8000  
Facsimile 202-756-8087  
<http://www.mwe.com>

Boston  
Chicago  
Los Angeles  
Miami  
Moscow  
Newport Beach  
New York  
St. Petersburg  
Silicon Valley  
Vilnius  
Washington, D.C.

MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY

May 10, 1999

VIA MESSENGER

RECEIVED

MAY 10 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary  
The Portals  
445 12th Street, S.W., TW-A325  
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: Oral *Ex Parte* Presentation; In the Matter of Implementation of Section 304 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Commercial Availability of Navigation Devices: CS Docket No. 97-80**

Dear Ms. Salas:

This is to notify the Office of the Secretary that on May 5th and 6th, 1999, Circuit City Stores, Inc. made oral *ex parte* presentations to Susan Fox of Chairman Kennard's Office, Anita Wallgren of Commissioner Ness' office, Jane Mago of Commissioner Powell's office and Rick Chessen of Commissioner Tristani's office. During the presentations, Circuit City reiterated the positions taken in its filings in the above-mentioned proceeding and in the *ex parte* letter filed with the Commission on March 4, 1999, a copy of which is enclosed.

In accordance with § 1.1206 of the Federal Communications Commission rules, this original and one copy are provided to your office. A copy of this notice has also been hand-delivered to the parties listed above.

Very truly yours,

  
Robert S. Schwartz

Enclosure

cc: Rick Chessen  
Susan Fox  
Jane Mago  
Anita Wallgren

No. of Copies rec'd 041  
List A B C D E

A Partnership Including  
Professional Corporations  
600 13th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3096  
202-756-8000  
Facsimile 202-756-8087  
http://www.mwe.com

Boston  
Chicago  
Los Angeles  
Miami  
Moscow  
Newport Beach  
New York  
St. Petersburg  
Silicon Valley  
Vilnius  
Washington, D.C.

**MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY**

March 4, 1999

**VIA MESSENGER**

**RECEIVED**

Ms. Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary  
The Portals  
445 12th Street, S.W., TW-A325  
Washington, DC 20554

**MAR 4 1999**  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

**Re: Written *Ex Parte* Presentation; In the Matter of Implementation of  
Section 304 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Commercial  
Availability of Navigation Devices: CS Docket No. 97-80**

Dear Ms. Salas:

This is to notify the Office of the Secretary that on March 4, 1999, Circuit City Stores, Inc., the Consumer Electronics Manufacturers Association and the Information Technology Industry Council made a written *ex parte* presentation to Chairman Kennard, Commissioners Ness, Furchtgott-Roth, Powell and Tristani, Deborah Lathen, Deborah Klein, William Johnson, Thomas Horan and Jon Wilkins. A copy of the written presentation is enclosed.

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Federal Communications Commission rules, this original and one copy are provided to your office. A copy of this notice has also been hand-delivered to the parties listed above.

Very truly yours,

  
Robert S. Schwartz

Enclosure

cc: Chairman William E. Kennard  
Commissioner Susan Ness  
Commissioner Harold W. Furchtgott-Roth  
Commissioner Michael K. Powell  
Commissioner Gloria Tristani  
Deborah A. Lathen  
William H. Johnson  
Deborah Klein  
Thomas Horan  
Jon Wilkins

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Implementation of Section 304	)	
of the Telecommunications	)	CS Docket No. 97-80
Act of 1996	)	
	)	
Commercial Availability	)	
of Navigation Devices	)	

To the Commission:

The undersigned have opposed, fully or in part, reconsideration of the Commission's Report and Order in this Docket with respect to the application of § 76.1204 to analog Navigation Devices and services. We have now arrived at a common position as to how we would recommend that the Commission dispose of the pending motions in this respect.

We are sensitive to petitioners' concern, *inter alia*, that applying the requirements of the Report and Order to analog Navigation Devices, that are not capable of decoding digital transmissions, would require new investment, which the market may not otherwise demand, in analog-only devices. We must insist, however, that the Commission be absolutely committed to a "level playing field" for the marketing of Navigation Devices in competition with those provided by system operators. It has become clear that, for the foreseeable future, many Cable systems will be "hybrid" in nature, providing both analog and digital video services to the same household. Allowing the performance of analog conditional access functions by "hybrid" devices offered by system operators, but not by competitive devices, would give an intolerable advantage to the entrenched providers of Navigation Devices.

We do not believe that this advantage could or should be eliminated by Commission regulation of marketing, tiering, or pricing of services or devices. Rather, the simplest and fairest solution is simply to exempt analog-only Navigation Devices, that can not provide access to digital transmissions or services, from the pertinent regulations in this Docket. This would relieve the Cable industry of re-inventing analog-only devices, yet maintain a "level playing field" for devices that are also capable of accessing digital services offered by MVPD operators.

Accordingly, we propose an exception as follows:


**Section 76.1204(f)**

Subsections (a)(1), (b), and (c) shall not apply to the provision of any navigation device that: (1) employs only an analog conditional access mechanism; (2) is capable only of providing access to analog video programming offered over a multichannel video program distribution system; and (3) does not provide access to any digital transmission of multichannel video programming or any other digital service through any receiving, decoding, conditional access, or other function, including any conversion of digital programming or services to an analog format.

Such an exemption would relieve Cable operators of an obligation to invest further in portable conditional access technology that would apply only to Navigation Devices that provide strictly analog services and are not likely to face competition for providing analog non-security features and functions through a standard analog conditional access interface. It would allow the industry to focus its efforts only on security interfaces that enable a "level playing field" for the competition that is expected in the market, from devices capable of providing digital, or both analog and digital, services.

Such an exemption would provide relief from an undertaking that representatives of the Cable industry have described as formidable and burdensome. It is our strong and considered opinion that, with the advantage of such relief - limiting new technical obligations to digital and hybrid devices - the industry ought to be able to meet a deadline with respect to its phaseout of integrated security well in advance of the present date of January 1, 2005. We believe a date of January 1, 2001 would be far more appropriate with that obligation so narrowed. In the intervening years until 2005, without a requirement that the system operators themselves must rely on whatever POD mechanism is developed for the benefit of their competitors, the Commission would face a heavy and difficult regulatory burden in ensuring that these competitors are not placed at any disadvantage.

Respectfully submitted.

  
Alan McCollough  
President & COO  
Circuit City Stores, Inc.

  
Gary Shapiro  
President  
Consumer Electronics  
Manufacturers Assoc.

  
Fiona Branton  
Vice President & Chief  
Counsel  
Information Technology  
Industry Council