

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	1
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF WIRELESS CALLING PARTY PAYS (WCPP).....	4
I. Introduction of wireless calling party pays (WCPP) into the United States will impose substantial costs and burdens on consumers and will decrease competition in the provision of wireless services, results that do not justify the limited and highly speculative benefits that the wireless industry proponents of WCPP portray with respect to this type of serving arrangement	4
A. Attributes of wireless calling party pays service	5
B. Customers of wireline services would bear the costs of accommodating this pricing arrangement; such costs would not be internalized by CMRS WCPP providers.	7
1. Wireless usage prices are likely to <i>increase</i> if WCPP charging is permitted....	8
C. Benefits being claimed by CMRS industry proponents of WCPP are highly speculative at best, and will in any event be enjoyed primarily by the CMRS providers themselves rather than by telecommunications consumers.	9
1. WCPP will create a distinctly <i>unlevel</i> playing field as between wireline and wireless services.....	9
2. The calling party is not the "cost causer" with respect to air time costs applicable to completing an inward call to a wireless customer.	11
3. WCPP would be one of the few — if not the only — telecom services in which the choice of service provider would not be made by the individual upon whom the charges will be imposed.	13
4. Proponents of WCPP have failed to demonstrate that US wireless customers want WCPP pricing, or that the willingness of landline customers to pay (potentially higher prices) for calls to wireless phones is greater than the willingness of wireline customer to pay (what are likely to be substantially lower prices) to receive incoming calls from landline phones.	14
5. Other than possibly increasing CMRS carrier revenues and profits, proponents of WCPP have failed to demonstrate that any valid public purpose will be served by adoption of WCPP in the US.	14
THE INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE WITH WCPP	17

II.	There are significant differences in the telecommunications service environment in general and in the approach to WCPP in particular as between the US and other countries in which WCPP is currently in use.....	17
A.	In the other countries in which WCPP is in place, wireless phones are assigned in area/service access codes that uniquely identify them and that inform callers that additional charges will apply.	17
B.	In most other countries, the number of wireless carriers is limited to a handful, rarely more than five, nationwide, making it possible to provide customers with a complete array of WCPP charges in local telephone directories. In contrast, there are hundreds of individual CMRS carriers in the US, making it impractical to provide accurate and complete pricing information to consumers.	20
C.	In other countries, WCPP prices may be set by the wireline carrier originating the call or by the wireless carrier, but in any event are published in local telephone directories and are fully disclosed to the consumer so that an informed purchase decision can be made.....	20
D.	Generally, in other countries all calls to any wireless phone in the country carry the same charge to the calling party irrespective of the location from which the WCPP call has been placed.	21
E.	In other countries, the "message unit" billing structure means that WCPP charges are available in "real time" for WCPP calls originated from payphones and in other situations in which immediate determination of the call charges is required	22
F.	Premium charge calls are typically blocked by the telephone systems of business, government, and institutional users.	23
G.	The US has experienced a far greater development and use of toll-free calling services, in which the called party pays for the call, than virtually any other country.....	24
H.	The US has substantially higher residential penetration rates and higher wireline service quality than in many foreign countries in which WCPP is in use.....	25
I.	In other countries, wireless-to-wireless calls are often priced below landline-to-wireless WCPP charges.....	26
J.	The faster rates of development of wireless services in other countries relative to the US are likely attributable to historical factors other than the adoption of WCPP.....	26

THE IMPACT OF WCPP ON U.S. WIRELESS COMPETITION	29
III. WCPP is likely to diminish, rather than increase, price competition for wireless services.....	29
PRIVITY OF CONTRACT UNDER WCPP	32
IV. Under the WCPP structure being proposed by the CMRS industry, there would be no privity of contract between the calling party and the providing CMRS carrier.....	32
THE RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION ALTERNATIVE TO WCPP	37
V. The eligibility of CMRS providers to receive reciprocal compensation payments from the originating local carrier or access charges from an interexchange carrier terminating the call to the CMRS provider establishes a far superior basis for WCPP than the model being considered in this rulemaking.....	37
A. The FCC's determination that WCPP is a CMRS service is inconsistent with the reciprocal compensation requirement.	38
B. The FCC should adapt the existing reciprocal compensation model, rather than the model being proposed by the wireless industry, for WCPP.....	39
C. Section 47 CFR § 51.711(b) of the Commission's rules permits a CMRS provider to set its reciprocal compensation rate either at the same rate that is used by the ILEC or at its cost if greater than the ILEC's reciprocal compensation rate.	41
CONSUMER PROTECTION AND ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY FOR WCPP.....	42
VI. The Commission should clarify that its Declaratory Ruling that WCPP is a CMRS service does not preempt or otherwise limit states' authority to apply consumer protection laws to WCPP services.....	42
VII. If the Commission determines that WCPP should be permitted, it should adopt and implement several specific policies that will serve to protect consumers and promote efficient service delivery.....	43
CONCLUSION.....	45

TABLES

2.1	Many Countries Distinguish Wireless Calls by Use of Distinct Dialing Codes	19
2.2	British Telecom Rates to Mobile Phones Are Distance Insensitive	22
2.3	Calling Card Rates Significantly Higher Than Direct Dialed Rates	23
2.4	United States Ranks Among the Highest in Wireline Penetration Rates	26