

ORIGINAL

BECHTEL & COLE

CHARTERED

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SUITE 250

1901 L STREET, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

TELEPHONE (202) 833-4190

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

HARRY F. COLE

TELECOPIER
(202) 833-3084

INTERNET/E-MAIL
COLESRAW@EROLS.COM

October 26, 1999

RECEIVED

OCT 26 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

**CONTENTS INCLUDE CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS
SUBMITTED FOR IN CAMERA INSPECTION**

HAND DELIVERED

The Honorable Richard L. Sippel
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W. - Room 1-C864
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Attachments, **SUBMITTED FOR IN CAMERA INSPECTION**, to
"Response of Adams Communications Corporation to 'Motion to
Compel Disclosure of Fee Arrangements'"
in Reading Broadcasting, Inc., MM Docket No. 99-153

Dear Judge Sippel:

Simultaneously with the submission of this letter, I am filing with the Commission a "Response of Adams Communications Corporation to 'Motion to Compel Disclosure of Fee Arrangements'" ("Response") which relates to the efforts of Reading Broadcasting, Inc. ("RBI") to obtain documents reflecting the fee arrangements between Adams Communications Corporation ("Adams") and the law firm of Bechtel & Cole, Chartered.

As indicated in Adams's Response, Adams does not believe that the fee arrangements are at all relevant to the above-referenced proceeding. But, also as indicated, Adams does not believe that it has anything to hide. Accordingly, submitted herewith for your in camera inspection are copies of two letters reflecting the fee arrangements between Bechtel & Cole and (a) Monroe Communications Corporation ("Monroe") and (b) Adams. Also included herewith is a Declaration of Howard N. Gilbert, an officer, director and shareholder of both Monroe and Adams, concerning these matters.

Adams believes that these materials, when considered in conjunction with Adams's Response, will clearly establish that Adams's (and Monroe's) fee arrangements are completely irrelevant and non-probative with respect to this proceeding. If Your Honor, upon inspection of these materials, concurs, then Adams respectfully requests that RBI's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Fee Arrangements be denied, and that the enclosed materials be returned to Adams or maintained in non-public files at the Commission. If Your Honor, upon inspection of these materials, determines that they should be disclosed, upon notification of such a determination Adams will

No. of Copies rec'd 016
LIST ABCDE

BEGHTEL & GOLE

CHARTERED

The Honorable Richard L. Sippel
October 26, 1999
Page Two

provide copies to the other parties to this proceeding.

Copies of this letter, without the enclosures, are being sent to the other parties to this case. As indicated in the certificate of service accompanying Adams's Response, that pleading, too, is being served on the other parties. The service copies, of course, do not include the materials which are being transmitted to you with this letter.

Respectfully submitted,



Harry F. Cole

Counsel for Adams Communications
Corporation

cc (w/o enc.): Thomas J. Hutton, Esquire (by hand)
James Shook, Esquire (by hand)