

ORIGINAL

MILLER & VAN EATON
P. L. L. C.

MATTHEW C. AMES
FREDERICK E. ELLROD III
MARC L. FRISCHKORN*
WILLIAM L. LOWERY

*Admitted to Practice in
Virginia Only

Incorporating the Practice of
Miller & Holbrooke

1155 CONNECTICUT AVENUE N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-4306
TELEPHONE (202) 785-0600
FAX (202) 785-1234

MILLER & VAN EATON, L.L.P.
155 MONTGOMERY STREET
SUITE 1410
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104
TELEPHONE (415) 772-8127
FAX (415) 434-2852

WWW.MILLERVANEATON.COM

November 8, 1999

WILLIAM R. MALONE
NICHOLAS P. MILLER
CHRISTIAN S. NA**
JOSEPH VAN EATON

**Admitted to Practice in
Massachusetts only

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Via Hand Delivery

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
NOV - 8 1999
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: Ex Parte Presentation in CS Docket No. 96-83

Dear Ms. Salas:


Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, the National Apartment Association and the National Multi Housing Council, through undersigned counsel, submit this original and one copy of a letter disclosing an oral and a written ex parte presentation in the above-captioned proceeding. On November 5, 1999, Jim Arbury and I met with David Goodfriend of Commissioner Ness's office. The meeting addressed the practical effects of the Second Report and Order in the above-referenced docket on owners and managers of apartment buildings, as described in the attached written presentation.

Please contact me with any questions.

Very truly yours,

Miller & Van Eaton, P.L.L.C.

By


Matthew C. Ames

cc: David Goodfriend, Esq.
7579.02\MCA00368.DOC

No. of Copies rec'd 05
List ABCDE

**THE OTARD RULES HAVE CREATED UNSAFE CONDITIONS,
CAUSED PROPERTY DAMAGE, AND EXPOSED BUILDING OWNERS TO
LIABILITY FOR WHICH THEY HAVE NO RECOURSE**

- The OTARD rules should never have been extended to leased property, because, unlike owners, renters have little incentive to prevent long-term damage to the property or avoid creating potential hazards to third parties.
- Apartment residents and antenna installers routinely violate the vague guidelines contained in the OTARD Second Report and Order.
- Increasing numbers of apartment residents are installing antennas in ways that damage buildings and present possible safety hazards to the public.
- The safety exception in the rules is insufficient because tenants and installers either are ignorant of it or ignore it, and the Commission's rule restricts the ability of owners to establish and police installation standards.
- Landlord-tenant law in many states makes it extremely difficult for building owners and managers to deal with even installations that clearly violate the OTARD rule: the only effective sanction often is to commence eviction proceedings, which does nothing to address the improper installation unless the tenant actually is evicted.
- Property owners who attempt to control antenna installations have been subjected to the threat of litigation, including claims of \$100,000 and more in damages.
- Extending the rules to common areas and restricted use areas would dramatically increase the number of unsafe installations.
- The decision to apply the rules to leased property should be reversed

Location 7a.jpg



Location 7b.jpg




VILLAGE PARK OF SCHWABEBOG



TO THE MEMBER 11

10-1-20

Amarron Ridge2.tif



68-2-4

Amarron Ridge6.tif




08-1014

Arbors of HH1.tif



Arbors of HH2.tif



Arbors of HH5.tif



Arbors of HH7.tif



Arbors of HH9.tif



Brucks 521.tif



7979 521

Brucks1916.tif



79 79 79

Cityscape2.tif



Deerwood Meadows1.tif



Forest Place1.tif



GRL5.tif



423 GRL

The Ethans2.tif




Town Centre1.tif



Town Centre
Level 1015

Unknown12.tif



Unknown6.tif

