

STATE OF FLORIDA

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Commissioners:  
JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN  
J. TERRY DEASON  
SUSAN F. CLARK  
JULIA L. JOHNSON  
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF POLICY ANALYSIS &  
INTERGOVERNMENTAL LIAISON  
CHARLES H. HILL  
DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6800

**Public Service Commission**

**RECEIVED**

November 24, 1999

NOV 26 1999

**FCC MAIL ROOM**

**VIA AIRBORNE EXPRESS**

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, SW - TW-A325  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 96-262, Access Charge Reform;  
CC Docket No. 94-1, Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers;  
CC Docket No. 98-63, Interexchange Carrier Purchases of Switched Access Services  
Offered by Competitive Local Exchange Carriers  
CC Docket No. 98-157, Petition of US West Communications, Inc. For Forfeiture  
from Regulation as a Dominant Carrier in the Phoenix, Arizona MSA

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed please find the original and eleven (11) copies of the Reply Comments of the Florida Public Service Commission with regard to the further notice of rulemaking in the above-noted dockets. Please date stamp and return one copy in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Cynthia B. Miller".

Cynthia B. Miller  
Intergovernmental Counsel

CBM:tf  
cc: International Transcription Service  
Brad Ramsay, NARUC

Before the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20554

**RECEIVED**

NOV 26 1999

**FCC MAIL ROOM**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Access Charge Reform	)	CC Docket No. 96-262
	)	
Price Cap Performance Review for Local	)	CC Docket No. 94-1
Exchange Carriers	)	
	)	
Interexchange Carrier Purchases of Switched	)	
Access Services Offered by Competitive Local	)	CCB/CPD File No. 98-63
Exchange Carriers	)	
	)	
Petition of US West Communications, Inc.	)	
for Forbearance from Regulation as a Dominant	)	CC Docket No. 98-157
Carrier in the Phoenix, Arizona MSA	)	
	)	

**REPLY COMMENTS OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

On August 27, 1999, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) released its Fifth Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM) in the above referenced matter. The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) is pleased to provide reply comments on two of the issues raised in the FNPRM: (1) geographic deaveraging of interstate common line charges, and (2) competitive local exchange company (CLEC) access charges. With respect to the first item, the FCC is considering whether interstate price cap, local exchange companies (LECs) should be required to make a competitive showing in order to obtain authority for deaveraging. On the second item, the FCC is seeking comment on market-based and other approaches to ensure that CLEC access charges will be reasonable.

### **Geographic Deaveraging of Interstate Common Line Charges**

The FPSC readily acknowledges that there is economic justification for deaveraging interstate common line charges since these are designed to recover loop costs, which vary significantly depending on density and length. Despite this economic justification for deaveraging common line charges, we believe the FCC should require a competitive showing of need in order to avoid unnecessary deaveraging. The competitive showing of need should be based on some demonstration that the LEC has suffered meaningful competitive erosion, although we are not prepared to recommend a specific trigger at this time.

Subscriber Line Charges (SLCs) are directly billed to end users, and Presubscribed Interexchange Carrier Charges (PICCs) are either billed directly to end users or passed through to end users in the form of line items on the bill. Since these charges are effectively access fees, deaveraging may yield results that are contrary to the requirement in Section 254(b)(3) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act) that rates in rural and urban areas be “reasonably comparable.” The full text of Section 254(b)(3) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act) is as follows:

**ACCESS IN RURAL AND HIGH COST AREAS - Consumers in all regions of the Nation, including low-income consumers and those in rural, insular, and high cost areas, should have access to telecommunications and information services, including interexchange services and advanced telecommunications and information services, that are reasonably comparable to those services provided in urban areas and that are available at rates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas.**

Satisfying the “reasonably comparable” rate standard becomes more difficult if SLCs and PICCs are deaveraged.

With deaveraging, we acknowledge that universal service funding could be provided in lieu of having the LECs actually charge deaveraged SLCs and PICCs in rural, high cost areas.

While this approach would be more in keeping with the “reasonably comparable” rate standard, it would dilute the effect of the geographic deaveraging and could be premature.

If there has been no meaningful competitive erosion, the combination of deaveraging and universal service support could allow the LEC to realign its rates and protect against loss of low-cost, high-margin customers. If this occurred, universal service support would be funding rate deaveraging, and effectively improving the competitive position of some LECs at the expense of other providers. Consequently, we believe it is essential that any universal service support provided in this context be used to mitigate the financial effects on the LEC of losing low-cost, high-margin customers.

Additionally, Section 254(g) of the Act requires that

. . . the rates charged by providers of interexchange telecommunications services to subscribers in rural and high cost areas shall be no higher than the rates charged by each such provider to its subscribers in urban areas. Such rules shall also require that a provider of interstate interexchange services shall provide such services to its subscribers in each State at rates no higher than the rates charged to its subscribers in any other State.

Under Section 254(g), an interexchange carrier would be in the position of being required to charge averaged retail rates, while the access charges they pay would be deaveraged. Thus, the carrier’s profitability in high cost areas would decline, and some traffic could become unprofitable. Faced with an inability to deaverage retail rates, some interexchange carriers might discontinue service in these areas. Having fewer interexchange carriers in these high cost areas may make it difficult to satisfy the requirement in Section 254(b)(3) that consumers have reasonably comparable access to interexchange services in rural and urban areas. Since interexchange carriers are presently ineligible for universal service support, there is no apparent vehicle to mitigate this exit incentive and ensure compliance with the reasonably comparable access requirement of Section 254(b)(3).

In addition, needless deaveraging of SLCs and PICCs would add unnecessarily to consumer frustration and confusion about federal end user charges. Most consumers are already frustrated and confused by the complexity and level of charges, and they do not understand or consider the nature of the underlying costs. Permitting deaveraging of these charges without a competitive showing, when many customers have yet to understand or accept the previous changes and increases in their bills, would further complicate an already confusing situation.

Since the proposed changes may (1) create results that are contrary to Sections 254(b)(3) and 254(g) of the Act, (2) create the need for additional explicit subsidies, and (3) add to an already confusing landscape of end user charges, the FPSC strongly urges the FCC not to permit deaveraging of interstate common line access charges without a significant competitive showing.

#### **CLEC Access Charges**

Within the FNPRM, the FCC expresses concern that market forces currently may be inadequate to constrain the level of CLEC access charges. While the FPSC believes that market forces are generally sufficient to constrain CLEC originating access charges, we do not believe the same can be said for CLEC terminating access charges. On the originating end, interexchange carriers can negotiate with CLECs to lower these rates. We see no reason why an interexchange carrier cannot refuse a CLEC's access traffic; in fact, one long distance carrier's threat to do just that has prompted a Florida CLEC to lower its originating access charges.

In contrast, the situation with CLEC terminating access charges is entirely different. In our opinion, there are no market forces presently at work, and the CLEC is effectively a monopolist. Although we agree with the FCC that a market-based approach would be preferable, we are not convinced that a reasonable, market-based solution can be developed.

The market-based proposal described in the FNPRM which would allow an interexchange carrier to charge different rates to different end users to reflect differences in access charges seems problematic to us in several respects. We believe such an approach would increase the cost and difficulty of an interexchange carrier's marketing effort, would unduly complicate the billing process, and is simply impractical. Also, as pointed out in the FNPRM, this approach appears to be counter to the legislative intent of Section 254(g) of the Act.

Finally, we have considered a mechanized system which would enable an interexchange carrier to refuse to terminate calls to a CLEC. While this would provide an incentive for high-priced CLECs to lower their terminating access charges, we do not recommend that the FCC take this approach as it would inconvenience consumers when a call could not be completed.

If some form of regulatory control is found to be necessary to ensure reasonable CLEC terminating access rates, we would prefer that the control not take the form of an absolute price ceiling. Bell Atlantic proposes that the terminating access rates of all carriers, both CLECs and incumbent LECs, should be linked to their originating access rates. Such a linkage could provide assurance that terminating access rates would be reasonable, while taking best advantage of the market forces which limit originating access charges. We believe that the Bell Atlantic proposal is the most promising option, although the effectiveness of this approach hinges on a key assumption. The linkage would only provide an effective control if a CLEC has sufficient originating access traffic to ensure that its originating access rates will be reasonable. Another reservation would be whether or not this linkage could be effectively enforced.

In conclusion, we are not convinced that a reasonable, market-based solution can be developed, although we agree with the FCC that a market-based approach would be preferable.

At this time, the Bell Atlantic proposal appears to be the most promising option, and we urge the FCC to further investigate the advantages and disadvantages of this proposal.

**Summary**

With respect to geographic deaveraging of interstate common line charges, we recommend that the FCC require a competitive showing in order to avoid needless deaveraging. Deaveraging may (1) create results that are contrary to Sections 254(b)(3) and 254(g) of the Act, (2) create the need for additional explicit subsidies, and (3) add to an already confusing landscape of end user charges. Given these concerns and complications, we believe that deaveraging should be authorized only if the LEC has suffered meaningful competitive erosion.

With respect to CLEC access charges, we share the FCC's concern that market forces may not be adequate at present to constrain the level of terminating charges. While a market-based approach would be preferable, we are not convinced that a reasonable, market-based solution can be developed. We believe that the FCC should further evaluate the Bell Atlantic proposal, whereby the terminating access rates of all carriers would be linked to their originating access rates.

Respectfully submitted,



Cynthia B. Miller  
Intergovernmental Counsel

Dated this 24th Day of November, 1999.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Florida Public Service Commission comments will be furnished to the parties on the attached list.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Cynthia B. Miller". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping initial "C".

Cynthia B. Miller  
Intergovernmental Counsel

DATED this 24th day of November, 1999.

The Honorable Susan Ness, Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Kenneth McClure  
Vice Chairman  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
301 W. High Street, Suite 530  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder,  
Commissioner  
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
500 E. Capital Avenue  
Pierre, SD 57501

Martha S. Hogerty  
Public Counsel for the State of Missouri  
P. O. Box 7800  
Harry S. Truman Building, Room 250  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Eileen Benner  
Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
P. O. Box 83720  
Boise, ID 83720-0074

Charles Bolle  
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
State Capital, 500 E. Capital Avenue  
Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Lorraine Kenyon  
Alaska Public Utilities Commission  
1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400  
Anchorage, AK 99501

The Honorable Sandra Makeeff  
Iowa Utilities Board  
Lucas State Office Building  
Des Moines, IA 50319

Michael A. McRae  
D.C. Office of the People's Counsel  
1133 15th Street, N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20005

Terry Monroe  
New York Public Service Commission  
Three Empire Plaza  
Albany, NY 12223

The Honorable Samuel Loudenslager  
Arkansas Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 400  
Little Rock, AR 72203-0400

Philip F. McClelland  
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate  
1425 Strawberry Square  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Brian Roberts  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Nes Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3298

Richard J. Johnson, Brian T. Grogan  
Minnesota Independent Coalition  
Moss & Barnett  
4800 Northwest Center  
90 South Seventh Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

Angela J. Campbell, Ilene R. Penn,  
John Podesta  
Institute for Public Representation  
Georgetown University Law Center  
600 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001

Robert S. Tongren, Andrea M. Kelsey,  
David C. Bergmann, Richard W. Pace  
Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel  
77 South High Street, 15th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550

William H. Smith, Jr., Chief  
Bureau Rate & Safety Evaluation  
Iowa Utilities Board  
Lucas State Office Building  
Des Moines, IA 50319

Honorable Albert Vann  
National Black Caucus of State Legislators  
Telecommunications & Energy Committee  
New York State Assembly  
Legislative Office Building #422  
Albany, New York 12248

Illona A. Jeffcoat-Sacco, Director  
Public Utilities Division  
State of North Dakota  
600 E. Boulevard  
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505-0480

Virginia J. Taylor, Richard A. Elbrecht  
California Department of Consumer Affairs  
400 R Street, Suite 3090  
Sacramento, CA 95814-6200

Deborah S. Waldbaum  
Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel  
1580 Logan Street, Suite 610  
Denver, Colorado 80203

R. Glenn Rhyne, Manager  
Research Department  
State of South Carolina  
Public Service Commission  
P. O. Drawer 11649  
Columbia, S.C. 29203

Mary E. Newmeyer  
Federal Affairs Advisor  
Alabama Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 991  
Montgomery, AL 36101

Cheryl L. Parino, Chairman  
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin  
610 North Whitney Way  
P. O. Box 7854  
Madison, WI 53707-7854

Donald L. Howell, II  
Deputy Attorney General  
Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
P. O. Box 83720  
Boise, ID 83720-0074

David F. Johnson, Scott Sawyer  
State of Rhode Island and  
Providence Plantations  
Public Utilities Commission  
100 Orange Street  
Providence, R.I. 02903

Edward C. Addison, Director  
Division of Communications  
Virginia State Corporation Commission  
1300 East Main Street - 9th Floor  
P. O. Box 1197  
Richmond, VA 23218

Karen Finstad Hammel  
Staff Attorney  
Montana Public Service Commission  
1701 Prospect Avenue  
P. O. Box 202601  
Helena, MT 59601-2601

William J. Janklow, Governor  
State of South Dakota  
Executive Office - State Capitol  
500 East Capitol  
Pierre, South Dakota 57501-5070

Kenneth Stofferahn, Chairman  
James A. Burg, Vice Chairman  
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
500 East Capitol Avenue  
Pierre, SD 57501

Lawrence C. St. Branc, Secretary  
Gayle T. Kellner  
Louisiana Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 91154  
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-9154

Mark Savage, Stefan Rosenzweig,  
Carmela Castellano  
Public Advocates, Inc.  
1535 Mission Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Suzi Ray McClellan, Laurie Pappas  
Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel  
Post Office Box 13326  
Austin, TX 78711-3326

Peter Arth, Jr., Edward W. O'Neil,  
Mary Mack Adu  
People of the State of California  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Robert F. Manifold  
Assistant Attorney General  
National Association of State Utility  
Consumer Advocates (NASUCA)  
900 4th Avenue, Suite 2000  
Seattle, WA 98164

Steve Ellenbecker, Chairman  
Doug Doughtty, Deputy Chairman  
Kristin H. Lee, Commissioner  
Wyoming Public Service Commission  
700 West 21st Street  
Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002

Don Schroer, Chairman  
Alaska Public Utilities Commission  
1016 West Sixth Avenue  
Suite 400  
Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1963

Joel B. Shifman, Esq.  
Maine Public Utilities Commission  
242 State Street  
State House Station No. 18  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

Maureen O. Helmer, General Counsel  
New York State Department of Public  
Service  
Three Empire State Plaza  
Albany, New York 1223-1350

Terry D. Blackwood  
Billy Jack Gregg  
West Virginia Consumer Advocate  
700 Union Building  
Charleston, West Virginia 25301

Elizabeth A. Noel  
Sandra Mattavous-Frye  
Office of the People's Counsel  
District of Columbia  
1133 Fifteenth Street, NW, Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20005-2710

John G. Strand, Chairman  
John C. Shea, Commissioner  
David A. Svanda, Commissioner  
Michigan Department of Commerce  
6545 Mercantile Way  
P. O. Box 30221  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7721

Lisa M. Zaina, Ken Johnson  
OPASTCO  
21 Dupont Circle, N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission  
Suite E-306  
302 W. Washington Street  
Indianapolis, IN 46204

David A. Beckett  
Colorado Public Utilities Commission  
1580 Logan Street  
Office Level 2  
Denver, CO 80203

Oklahoma Corporation Commission  
P. O. Box 5200-2000  
Oklahoma City, OK 73152-2000

Gayle T. Kellner  
Louisiana Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 91154  
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-9154

Robert S. Tongren, Andrea M. Kelsey,  
David C. Bergman, Richard W. Pace,  
Karen J. Hardie  
Ohio Consumers Counsel  
77 South High Street, 15th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550

Jewell Elliott, Angela J. Campbell,  
Illeen R. Penn, John Podesta  
Institute for Public Representation  
Georgetown University Law Center  
600 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001

Kevin J. Donnellan, Acting Director,  
Legislation and Public Policy  
Bradley C. Stillman, Director,  
Telecommunications Policy  
American Association of Retired Persons  
601 E Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20049

Vicki Oswalt  
Director-Office of Policy Development  
Public Utility Commission of Texas  
Post Office Box 13326  
Austin, TX 78711-3326

Eric B. Witte  
Assistant General Counsel  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Joan H. Smith  
Oregon Public Utility Commission  
550 Capitol Street, N.E.  
Salem, OR 97310-1380

B.B. Knowles, Director  
Utilities Division  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
244 Washington Street, S.W.  
Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

Bob Rowe, Commissioner  
Montana Public Service Commission  
1701 Prospect Avenue  
P. O. Box 202601  
Helena, Montana 59620-2601

Virginia J. Taylor  
Richard A. Elbrecht  
California Department of Consumer Affairs  
400 R Street, Suite 3090  
Sacramento, CA 95814-6200

Maureen A. Scott  
Assistant Counsel  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
P. O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Amy E. Dougherty  
Kentucky Public Service Commission  
Post Office Box 615  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Deonne Bruning  
Nebraska Public Service Commission  
300 The Atrium  
1200 N Street  
Post Office Box 94927  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4927

Irene Flannery  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Tom Wilson  
Washington Utilities & Transportation  
Commission  
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW  
Olympia, Washington 98504

Barry Payne  
Indiana Office of the Consumer Counsel  
100 North Senate Avenue  
Room N501  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2208

The Honorable David Baker  
Commissioner  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
244 Washington Street, S.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-5701

Rowland Curry  
Texas Public Utility Commission  
1701 North Congress Avenue  
Austin, Texas 78701

Tiane Sommer  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
244 Washington Street, N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-5701

Sheryl Todd  
Federal Communications Commission  
Auditing & Audits Division  
Universal Service Branch  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Harold Furchtgott-Roth  
Commissioner  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Patrick H. Wood, III  
Chairman  
Texas Public Utility Commission  
1701 North Congress Avenue  
Austin, Texas 78701

Ann Dean  
Maryland Public Service Commission  
16th Floor, 6 Saint Paul Street  
Baltimore, Maryland 21202-6806

Honorable William E. Kennard, Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Nancy C. Garrison  
Catherine O'Sullivan  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Room 3224  
Washington, DC 20530-001

Public Utility Commission of Oregon  
550 Capitol Street, NE  
Salem, Oregon 97310-1380

Lawrence D. Crocker, III  
Public Service Commission of the  
District of Columbia  
717 14th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

Ronald J. Binz  
Debra R. Berlyn  
John Windhausen, Jr.  
Competition Policy Institute  
1156 15th Street, NW, Suite 310  
Washington, DC 20005

John Rother, Esquire  
American Association of Retired Persons  
601 E Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20049

Jack Shreve  
Office of Public Counsel  
111 West Madison Street, Room 812  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Mike Travieso  
Office of People's Counsel  
6th St. Paul Street, Suite 2102  
Baltimore, Maryland 21202

James Maret  
Office of Consumer Advocate  
Lucas State Office Building  
4th Floor  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Eric Swanson  
Office of Attorney General  
445 Minnesota Street  
Suite 1200 WCL Tower  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2130

Anne Becker  
Office of Utility Consumer Counselor  
100 North Senate Avenue, Rm. N501  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2208

Laurie Pappas  
Office of Public Utility Counsel  
1701 N. Congress Avenue, 9-180  
Post Office Box 12397  
Austin, Texas 78711-2397

Richard Hemstad  
William R. Gillis  
Washington Utilities &  
Transportation Commission  
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive  
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Christopher Klein  
Tennessee Regulatory Authority Staff  
460 James Robertson Parkway  
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Kansas Corporation Commission  
1500 SW Arrowhead Road  
Topeka, Kansas 66604-4027

Federal Communications Commission  
Industry Analysis Division  
Common Carrier Bureau  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

James Schlichting  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

David L. Deming  
Senecom Voice Processing Systems  
6 Blossomwood Court  
St. Louis, Missouri 63033-5202