

[/Title (in the matter of \)) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 2 /Title (Price Cap Performance Review for Local \)) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 4 /Title (REPLY COMMENTS OF THE COALITION FOR) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (John T. Nakahata) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Evan R. Grayer) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Jill F. Kasle) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 1 /Title (Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis LLP) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 1 /Title (Attorneys for the Coalition for) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (December 3, 1999) /Page 1 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 1 /Title (TABLE OF CONTENTS) /Page 4 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 1 /Title () /Page 4 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 1 /Title () /Page 4 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 1 /Title () /Page 4 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Page) /Page 4 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 7 /Title (THE CALLS PLAN SECURES AFFORDABLE UNIVERSAL SERVICE AND PROPOSES A MEANS TO SUSTAIN UNIVERSAL SERVICE AND PROMOTE INVESTMENT IN BROADBAND-CAPABLE NETWORKS.) /Page 11 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (CALLS' Proposal To Identify Explicit Universal Service Support And To Remove That Support From Interstate Access Charges Is Fully Consistent With FCC Policy.) /Page 12 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (The CALLS Plan Maintains Affordable, Comparable Rates.) /Page 13 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (\$650 Million Is A Reasonable Estimate Of The Amount Of Explicit Support Necessary To Ensure That Interstate Rates In Rural And High Cost Areas Remain Affordable During This Five-Year Period.) /Page 15 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (UNE Zones Are The Appropriate Geographic Unit For Targeting Universal Service Support And Calculating Per Line Support.) /Page 19 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Additional Lifeline Support Does Not Reduce Support For Service To Rural Areas, Nor Does It Reduce Existing Lifeline Support.) /Page 21 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Permitting Price Cap LECs To Collect Universal Service Contributions As An Explicit Line Item Is Consistent With Section 254(d) and (e) Of The Communications Act, The Fifth Circuit's Rulings, And Prior FCC Decisions.) /Page 22 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Recovery Of Access-Related USF Contributions Solely From ILEC End-Users, As Suggested By CompTel, Would Violate Section 254(d).) /Page 23 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 1 /Title (THE CALLS PLAN SIMPLIFIES CONSUMER BILLS, KEEPS RURAL RATES AFFORDABLE, AND IMPROVES CONSUMER WELFARE FOR RESIDENTIAL CONSUMERS IN ALL INCOME GROUPS.) /Page 24 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 7 /Title (Primary Residential Line Customers.) /Page 24 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Rural Consumers) /Page 24 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Low Income Consumers) /Page 25 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Simplified Bills) /Page 25 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Higher Volume Long Distance Users) /Page 26 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Low Volume Long Distance Consumers) /Page 26 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Non-Primary Residential Lines) /Page 28 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark

[/Title (Multiline Business Lines) /Page 29 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 6 /Title (THE RATE STRUCTURES PROPOSED BY CALLS ARE LEGAL, RATIONAL AND CONSISTENT WITH A COMPETITIVE MARKET AND PACKET-BASED SERVICES) /Page 29 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 3 /Title (The Proposed Economically Rational Rate Structures Are Fully Consistent With The Communications Act.) /Page 30 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Section 254(k) of the Communications Act) /Page 30 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Smith v. Illinois Bell, 282 U.S. 133 (1930)) /Page 31 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Section 254(g) of the Communications Act) /Page 33 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 2 /Title (Consolidation Of The SLC, PICC And CCL Simplifies Consumer Bills And Enhances Local Service Competition.) /Page 34 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (PICCs and Local Service Competition) /Page 34 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Bill Simplification) /Page 37 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (The Plan's Geographic SLC Deaveraging Is Pro-Competitive And, With The \$650 Million In Universal Service Support For Rural And High Cost Areas, Will Support Investment In Broadband-Capable Rural And Residential Networks.) /Page 39 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Restructuring Local Switching Into A Combination Of Per Line And Per Minute Recovery Is Reasonable And Is Not Anticompetitive) /Page 43 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Multiline Business PICCs) /Page 46 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Payphone Issues) /Page 47 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Count 4 /Title (THE CALLS PLAN REDUCES ACCESS RATES AND RATE LEVELS WILL BE REASONABLE.) /Page 48 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (The CALLS Plan Promotes Competition and Investment By Creating Regulatory Certainty.) /Page 50 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (The CALLS Plan's Substantial Mandated Reductions in Local Switching and Transport Rates Make Unnecessary the Continued Application of an X-factor In Excess of Inflation to Switched Access Charges or Other Reductions of Switched Access Charges.) /Page 53 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (Competition Rather than Continuation of an X-factor in Excess of Inflation is a Superior Means of Reducing End User Charges Where Appropriate.) /Page 57 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (No Changes to the CALLS Plan's Treatment of Special Access are Warranted.) /Page 63 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark
[/Title (CONCLUSION) /Page 63 /View [/FitB] /OUT pdfmark