

INDIANA UNIVERSITY  
PURDUE UNIVERSITY  
INDIANAPOLIS

February 10, 2000



Ms. Magalie Roman Salas  
Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Room TW-A324  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

**RECEIVED**

FEB 11 2000

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

UNIVERSITY INFORMATION  
TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering

Dear Ms. Salas:

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Indiana University closely followed the Calling Party Pays rulemaking proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Indiana University to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Indiana University currently has over 93,000 full and part-time students and 16,000 full and part time employees based over eight campuses throughout the state of Indiana. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to 900 numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

ES 0010  
902 West New York Street  
Indianapolis, Indiana  
46202-5157


317-274-9900  
Fax: 317-274-3657

**We agree that verbal notification to calling parties is a critical prerequisite to the implementation of CPP in a way that protects consumers. But this kind of notification by itself would not protect our institution from unauthorized CPP calls. A student or employee can hear the notification, but the institution will never be able to bill that student or employee for his/her charges. Without some means to screen and block calls, it will take very little time for our campus population to learn that "free" calls can be made to CPP numbers, the cost of which will ultimately be borne by Indiana University. Even a small percentage of calls made to CPP numbers would have a direct and immediate impact on our already constrained budget.**

**We understand that the record before the Commission reflects a range of views on how large institutions might control the level of unauthorized CPP calls. We have considered the many options available and have consistently supported the numbering solution advocated by ACUTA in its written comments and oral presentations in this proceeding. The most efficient, cost-effective, and administratively simple way to deal with the problem of unauthorized CPP calls is by assigning one or more identifiable Service Access Codes to CPP numbers. With very little effort, and at almost no cost, our PBXs could be programmed to recognize the designated CPP SAC(s) in exactly the same way that they are programmed to recognize the numbering patterns of other chargeable calls. The SAC solution would also save our institution the considerable expense and disruption of replacing the PBXs we have in use with costly, next-generation equipment that could distinguish CPP calls without identifiable numbering.**

**As a non-profit educational institution, we are always concerned when we face the prospect of uncertain or uncontrollable external costs. On our campus, wireless telephones have become increasingly popular, particularly with students. Thus, our concern about the likelihood of unrecoverable costs associated with CPP calls is well placed. Given the re-allocation of financial responsibility caused by CPP, the importance of enabling subscribers to block, or track, CPP calls is undeniable. The Commission would best serve the public interest -- and accommodate the needs of educational institutions such as ours -- by assigning a unique SAC to all CPP numbers. We appreciate the opportunity to offer the Commission our views on this matter, and we look forward to the successful implementation of CPP in a manner that will take into account the needs of all affected parties.**

**Sincerely,**



**J. Michael Lucas  
Director, Telecommunications Division**