

ORIGINAL

COVINGTON & BURLING

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N. W.

P.O. BOX 7566

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20044-7566

(202) 662-6000

FACSIMILE: (202) 662-6291

GERARD J. WALDRON

DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(202) 662-5360

DIRECT FACSIMILE NUMBER

(202) 778-5360

GWALDRON@COV.COM

LECONFIELD HOUSE

CURZON STREET

LONDON W1Y 8AS

ENGLAND

TELEPHONE: 44-171-495-5655

FACSIMILE: 44-171-495-3101

BRUSSELS CORRESPONDENT OFFICE

44 AVENUE DES ARTS

BRUSSELS 1040 BELGIUM

TELEPHONE: 32-2-549-5230

FACSIMILE: 32-2-502-1598

March 24, 2000

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2000

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary

Federal Communications Commission
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

445 12th Street, S.W.

12th Street Lobby

Counter TW-A325

Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 96-115; CC Docket No. 96-98; CC Docket No. 99-273;
CC Docket No. 97-172 Ex Parte Communication

Dear Ms. Salas:

We write on behalf of INFONXX, Inc. ("INFONXX"), a competitive directory assistance ("DA") provider, in connection with the Commission's consideration of rules to implement Section 251(b)(3) of the Communications Act of 1934 (the "Act"). In the U S WEST NDA Order, the Commission granted the Bell Company forbearance from imposing Section 272's separate affiliate requirement but explicitly retained the safeguards of Section 272(c)(1) to protect competition in the nonlocal directory assistance marketplace.¹ Specifically, the Commission required that U S WEST "make available to unaffiliated entities all of the in-region directory listing information it uses to provide regionwide directory assistance service at the same rates, terms, and conditions it imputes to itself."² Thus, U S WEST – and presumably any other Bell company granted similar forbearance – must provide in-region directory listing information to all requesting entities at the same rates, terms, and conditions that it would charge its own affiliate.

In theory, retention of Section 272's nondiscrimination safeguards should foster competition on a level playing field. In practice, however, nothing has changed. As the attached letter from SBC demonstrates, incumbent carriers have not changed their position (or their offering) one iota in response to the NDA Order. For this reason, prompt Commission action is needed in the above-captioned rulemaking proceeding to afford competitors nondiscriminatory access to ILECs' directory assistance information at reasonable rates.

* * * * *

¹ Memorandum Opinion and Order, *In re Petition of U S WEST for a Declaratory Ruling Regarding the Provision of National Directory Assistance*, CC Docket No. 97-172, FCC 99-133, ¶ 36 (rel. Sept. 27, 1999) ("NDA Order").

² *Id.* at ¶ 37.

No. of Copies rec'd 043
List ABCDE

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
March 24, 2000
Page 2

Please address any questions to the undersigned.

Sincerely,



Gerard J. Waldron
Russell Jessee
COVINGTON & BURLING
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044
(202) 662-6000 (t)
(202) 662-6391 (f)

Counsel to INFONXX

* Member of the Bar of the Commonwealth of Virginia
Not admitted to the Bar of the District of Columbia

cc: Mr. Greg Cook
Ms. Robin Smollen
Mr. Jordan Goldstein