

FCC 00M-47

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JUL 17 10 32 11 AM '00

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

FCC 00M-47
00209

In re Applications of)	MM Docket No. 99-153
)	
READING BROADCASTING, INC.)	File No. BPCT-940407KF
)	
For Renewal of License of)	
Station WTVE(TV), Channel 51)	
Reading, Pennsylvania)	
)	
and)	
)	
ADAMS COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION)	File No. BPCT-940630KG
)	
For Construction Permit for a New)	
Television Station to Operate on)	
Channel 51, Reading, Pennsylvania)	

ORDER

Issued: July 11, 2000 Released: July 12, 2000

On July 10, 2000, Adams Communications Corporation (“Adams”) filed a Motion to Compel Production of Document in Advance of Examination of Michael Parker (“Motion”). It is not yet time for a reply pleading. However, Mr. Parker’s testimony is set for July 25. See Order FCC 00M-46, issued July 7, 2000. In the interest of time it would make sense to have a seemingly relevant document that is sought by Adams submitted on an expedited schedule for in camera inspection.

Specifically, Adams seeks to discover a memorandum dated October 12, 1998, from Mr. Joshua Reznik to Mr. Howard Topel of Fleishman & Walsh. The memorandum was described in earlier discovery. Reading Broadcasting Inc. (“Reading”) has withheld the document on a claim of attorney-client and work product privilege. There is no suggestion that the document was improperly withheld.

Reading now must submit the memorandum for in camera inspection accompanied by points and authorities on privilege **by July 13, 2000**. Adams must file its responsive pleading on controlling points and authorities **by July 14, 2000**.

In addition, Reading must file any Opposition to Adams' Motion **by July 14, 2000.**¹

If the Enforcement Bureau chooses to comment on the question of privilege and/or on the Adams' Motion, it must file its Comment **by July 17, 2000.**

All parties are on notice that there will be no additional discovery or in camera inspection with respect to the July 25 testimony of Mr. Parker.

SO ORDERED.²

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION



Richard L. Sippel
Administrative Law Judge

¹ Reading may wish to oppose the use of the document in connection with Mr. Parker's testimony for a variety of reasons. But there should be no delay in submitting the memorandum for review on the question of privilege.

² Copies of this Order were e-mailed to each counsel in the a.m. on the date of issuance.