ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

NOV 3 2000

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

AT&T

Robert W. Quinn, Jr.
Vice President
Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000
1120 20th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
202 457-3851
FAX 202 263-2655
WIRELESS 202 256-7503
EMAIL rwquinn@att.com

November 3, 2000

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW, Room TWB-204
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Meeting:
In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Local Telecommunications Act of 1996, Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 96-98

In the Matter of Application by Verizon New England, Inc. Bell Atlantic Communications, NYNEX Long Distance Company, and Verizon Global Networks to Provide In-Region InterLATA Services in Massachusetts, CC Docket No. 00-176

Comments Requested On The Application By SBC Communications, Inc. For Authorization Under Section 271 Of The Communications Act To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Service In The States Of Kansas And Oklahoma, CC Docket No. 00-217

Access Charge Reform, CC Docket 96-262; Request for Emergency Relief of the Minnesota CLEC Consortium and the Rural Independent Competitive Alliance, DA 00-1067; Mandatory Detarriffing of CLEC Interstate Access Services, DA 00-1268

In the Matter of the Funding Mechanism of the Universal Service Fund, CC Docket No. 96-45

Dear Ms. Salas:

On Thursday November 2, 2000, John Langhauser, Mark Rosenblum, Len Cali, and I, all of AT&T, met with Dorothy Attwood, Chief of the Common Carrier

Recycled Paper

Bureau, Yog Varma, Deputy Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau, and Glenn Reynolds, Associate Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau to discuss various issues related to the aforementioned dockets. We stated that the Commission should deny the Verizon Massachusetts 271 application for the reasons set forth in the Reply Comments filed on November 2, 2000.

Further, we discussed the need for the Commission to resolve the USF contribution lag which results in inequitable and discriminatory contributions by interexchange carriers. Further, that the Commission must act quickly to raise the ceiling on lines at individual customer locations for which CLECs can obtain the UNE-P from the three-line limit that currently exists. In addition, we pointed out that Commission should act quickly to resolve the ambiguity being asserted by ILECs regarding the availability of line sharing for UNE-P customers. Finally, that the Commission must curtail the practice of CLECs charging access rates to interexchange carriers extraordinarily higher than the incumbent LECs serving the areas in which the CLECs compete.

The positions expressed by AT&T were consistent with those contained in the Comments and ex parte filings previously made in the aforementioned dockets. Two copies of this Notice are being submitted for each of the referenced proceedings in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Quinn Jr.

cc: Dorothy Attwood
Yog Varma
Glenn Reynolds