Nov 1 2000

RECEIVED

NOV 6 2000

FCC MAIL

Magalie, Sec. Docket # 99-339

Federal Communications Comm.

Subject Comment in opposition to petitioners for the reconsideration of the Reported Order on "Video Description.

Over a year ago I heard of the promise of Video Description on TV for those of us who are legally blind at the V.I. Support Group at the Medical Center in Hampton, Va (Brad Rowland, Vist Coord. Consider my disappointment when neither WHRO TV in this area of Virginia nor Cox Cable Communications could give me any hard information about "Video Description" despite repeated telephone calls and assertions in the WHRO newsletter that they were providing video descriptions. Earlier I had heard of this potential at the Braille Institute meeting in San Diego where I formerly lived and where I became legally blind in 1997. I moved to Virginia in 1998 to be near family.

After nearly 3 years of disappointment I finally learned this year through the American Council for the Blind newsletter that the F.C.C. had taken favorable action to require "description video by 2001 by a narrow vote of the Commission. I was elated!!

Now I learn that cable companies and TV networks are asking the FCC to reconsider their

(over)

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

1-04851-00

ruling of last July. These petitions, I understand, cite no new information that was known by the cable companies and TV networks that was not already known when the ruling was made in July.

The petitions should be denied and the July ruling upheld. It is vital to my good life. I am nearly 85 (in March of 2001) and I need Description Video with my TV programs now - not in some postponed future. I hope you take firm and clear action in this matter. If possible and helpful I would try to appear in person and testify. Do your job as members of the FCC. We veterans (Ex POW of WWII and all blind people need descriptive video service as soon as possible!!

Sincerely

757-564-7678 Arthur B. Jebens

Mr. Arthur B. Jebens
144 Riviera
Williamsburg, VA 23188-9206

1-04851-00

Magalie Salas
Secretary, FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
NOV 6 2000
FCC MAIL

Mr. and Mrs. Charles R. Cronin Jr.
339 Mulry Lane
Lawrence, NY 11559

November 2, 2000

Dear Ms. Sales:

Please note that this letter pertains to Docket No. 99-339. It contains comments that are submitted in opposition to petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on Video Description.

My wife MaryEllen is totally blind, and I am visually impaired. We both were grateful for the Federal Communications Commission's decision to require that television networks provide some level of video description. We are distressed to learn that a petition to reconsider this order has been filed. We oppose this request, as it presents no new facts that would warrant reconsideration of this order.

We believe that the provision of video description will improve the quality of our television experience. Descriptive Video gives us access to information that most people with normal vision take for granted. We are saddened that the television networks oppose it so vigorously.

Once again we would like to express our strong opposition to the petition to reconsider the commission's original decision, and we would like to thank the commission for having the courage and foresight to make that decision.

Respectfully,

MaryEllen Cronin
Charles R. Cronin Jr.

MaryEllen Cronin
Charles R. Cronin Jr.

C

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 6 2000

FCC MAIL R

2907 Brook Road
Richmond, VA 23220-1218
November 2, 2000

Ms. Magalie Salas, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St, SW
Washington DC 20554

Dear Ms. Salas. Re: Docket No. 99-339

I write this letter to first thank you, and the Commissioners of the FCC, for your courageous vote requiring networks to begin providing essential information services to people who are blind and visually impaired. I lost my sight from brain tumor surgery in March, 1991, which left me totally blind.

Prior to this I was an Interior Designer with a MFA (Master of Fine Arts) degree May, 1978, in Interior Design from the University of Maryland, College Park, MD. I also operated my own interior design business. Thus I sorely miss this you can see how important it is to me since I cannot see the screen and thus need an alternate means (i.e video description) to know what's happening on television. At present my mother gives me "blow-by-blow" description of my favorite soap opera, "The Young and the Restless."

I look forward in April, 2000, to enjoy television shows with my family and friends and to use the video description to help me understand the visual aspects of the programming

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

NOV 6 2000

FCC MAIL



The value of video description cannot be overemphasized. I know that the petitioners have not provided any new information which was not already known at the time the FCC reached this decision and issued the ruling. This is definitely a crucial component of any petition to consider. These aforementioned comments are submitted "IN OPPOSITION TO PETETIONERS FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE REPORTED ORDER ON VIDEO DESCRIPTION".

Please hear my plea!

Sincerely yours
Jan Y. Flowers

Jan Y. Flowers

513 Middle River Drive
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33304-3605
November 3, 2000

RECEIVED
NOV 6 2000
FCC MAIL R

Magalie Salas, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Docket No. 99-339

Dear Ms. Salas,

I am writing in support of the FCC's July 21st ruling providing video description for television programming and in opposition to "Petitions to Reconsider" of the reported order on video description. As an individual who is blind and watches television, I can't tell you the number of times a program has ended and I have had no idea what happened. I've had to wait until the next day to talk to my visually unimpaired colleagues at work to discover the show's ending. Needless to say I was looking forward to April of 2002 when I could turn on my television and enjoy the visual aspects of the television programming I was watching.

It is my understanding that the petitions for reconsideration are not providing any information which was not already known at the time the FCC reached its decision and issued its ruling.

Please let the FCC's July 21st ruling stand.

Sincerely,

Joseph F. Smith, Jr.

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

NOV 6 2000
FCC MAIL

1134 Woodhill Rd
Houston, Texas 77008
Nov. 3, 2000

Magalie Salas Secretary
F.C.C. Federal Communications Commission
445 12th. Street S.W.
Washington D. C. 20554

Dear Sirs,

Reference: Docket No. 99-339

While I am not Totally blind like some of my friends I appreciate Commissioners of the F.C.C courageous vote requiring the networks to begin providing the essential information service to people who are blind and visually impaired

My letter is in opposition of petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on Video Description.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,
Virginia R. Galindo

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

DOCKET No. 99-339

RECEIVED

NOV 6 2000

FCC MAIL R

November 4th, 2000

Dear Ms. Salas and FCC:
We are writing to express our appreciation for July vote in support of video description (DVS), and in opposition to petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on VIDEO DESCRIPTION.
Providing description of the visuals in TV programs for the visually impaired population will be a step further in improving the quality of information, entertainment and education for the blind/visually impaired population.
As parents of a blind young child, we look forward to our son experiencing a fuller understanding of television programming, which will surely enrich his development of concepts that we (the sighted) get incidentally. This is certainly a long-awaited event for thousands of people nationwide. Just as the closed-captioning feature that the deaf population enjoys today, DVS will make television programming richer, more accesible and real , reaching a broader audience. It will also mean the acknowledgement of the blind/visually impaired as part of the viewing community. We believe DVS, like other physical access of the handicapped to buildings or employment, is not a privilege but a right that the communications technology has made possible and attainable.
We applaud the FCC's July 21st ruling and urge you to stand strong in your position. Any consideration made by the opposing petitioners does not equal the immense significance that DVS has on equal access to information media. Thank you for your support.

Sincerely,

Maria Mercado
Ernesto Raices

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 6 - 2000

FCC MAIL ROOM

**Focusing on Maine's Blind and Visually Impaired Since 1905.**

**Augusta Bangor Brewer Ellsworth Houlton Lewiston Portland Rockland Saco**

October 31, 2000

Magalie Salas, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Document No. 99-339

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is written in Opposition to Petitioners for Reconsideration of the Reported Order on video description. I am a blind practicing lawyer, and in behalf of myself and in behalf of The Iris Network I want to express our appreciation for your vote requiring the television networks to begin providing the essential service of video description for television programming. The Iris Network, formally called the Maine Center for the Blind and Visually Impaired, focuses on serving the blind and visually impaired of the State of Maine.

Television is a major source of information and entertainment. It is important. It is essential for anyone who cannot see the screen to have an alternate means for understanding what is going on.

I and many of our constituents at The Iris Network are looking forward to April, 2002, when the audio descriptions will be available.

As I am sure the record in your proceedings shows, as our population ages so does visual impairment. The largest growing segment of our population loosing their vision or suffering vision loss are over 80 years of age. Thus, providing audio services on the television networks becomes more and more essential to our population at large, and especially to elderly people who perhaps must rely on television more than their younger friends who are more able to get out and around.

I understand that the Petitioners have not provided any new information which was not already known at the time the FCC reached its decision and issued its ruling on July 21, 2000. Accordingly we respectfully request that the Petition for Reconsideration be denied.

Sincerely,

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Jeremiah D. Newbury
Chairman of Advisory Board of Trustees
The Iris Network

cc: Steven Obremski
President, The Iris Network

189 Park Avenue, Portland, Maine 04102 • (207) 774-6273 • 1-800-715-0097 • Fax: (207) 774-0679 • www.theIris.org

2127 Hallston Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
November 1, 2000

Magalie Salas, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Docket No. 99-339
The following comments are in opposition to petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on video description.

I thank you for the foresight you demonstrated with the July 21st ruling to provide video description for television programming in the future. I look forward to the time when television is as important to me as it was from 1948 to 1991, when I was sighted and it provided not only entertainment but education. The description via the ear rather than the eye will allow me to know what is happening. Your decision for description will provide continued education to not only the blind population but also to those folks who are not sure what is going on nor what is intended for the viewer to glean from the presentation.

May I suggest you take the time to view a movie or program twice and under a controlled environment. For each viewing close or cover the eyes. Let the first presentation be a regular "sighted folks" version and the second presentation be a "video description" version. Once you have experienced this, I think you will realize your decision to provide description is a great and wonderful service allowing continued education to a degree one cannot imagine nor measure. The movie "Shindler's List" was video described by its producer as a service to the community who has thanked Stephen Spilberg more than once. My sighted friends, who are educated, viewed the movie a few weeks before I shared the video described one and they were in awe of the understanding the description gave them. My video described "The Hunt for Red October" movie has also been shared with many sighted folk. One last experience: I shared "Mary Poppins" with a totally blind 8-year-old boy, after which he asked, "Ms. Ewing, what is a plaid coat?"

I am sure you realize the efforts from the petitioners have provided no new knowledge, thought or information to you than when your decision in favor of video description was reached. I encourage you to hold fast to your decision in that the enhancement of communication will reinforce the Mission Statement and Purpose of the FCC. The gift to have a level of awareness raised is an exciting gift, one most appreciated, one you are in the process of providing. And I

Thank You,

Carol Ann Ewing

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Glenn R. McCully
635 7th Street NE Apt. #218
Auburn, WA 98002

November 1, 2000

RECEIVED

NOV 6 2000

FCC MAIL R

Magalie Salas-Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Secretary Salas:

On July 21, 2000 your agency courageously pass a ruling (docket #99-339), requiring television networks to begin offering audio description services to blind Americans by April 2002. I applaud this wonderful decision and look forward to enjoying television even more when this service begins. This ruling demonstrates your agencies dedication to people with disabilities and your desire to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990.

Recently petitions for reconsideration have been filed by representatives of the major television and motion picture studios and by the National Federation of the Blind (NFB). These groups want to see this ruling overturned. I do not support their efforts and strongly urge you to deny these petitions.

The television and motion picture industries only motivation for wanting this ruling overturned is corporate greed. They feel the minimal expense of providing this service will lower the absurdly huge profits they currently enjoy. The only people they truly care about are the shareholders of their companies. The National Federation of the Blind is the only blind consumer organization in the country that is against this ruling. Let me assure you they do not speak for the majority. In my personal opinion this organization consists mostly of self-righteous delusional zealots who wish to deny this service to everyone just to advance their own misguided political agenda. They are not a credible representation of blind people and their comments should be ignored.

The evidence these two groups have submitted with their petition for reconsideration was already considered by your agency before the July ruling. Neither group has any new information to introduce to support their arguments. Since this evidence was rejected earlier, reconsideration at this time would not be a worthwhile endeavor.

Audio description for the blind is just as important as providing closed captioning to he deaf. The costs are similar and the technology is just as easy to

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

implement. Equal access is a basic human right afforded to all people with disabilities. Audio description for television should be given the same priority we give to wheelchair ramps, service animals, and closed captioning.

Please support the rights of the blind by not overturning this ruling. Audio description is a great idea and needs to be available for anyone who wishes to use it. The solution to this problem is simple. If a blind person does not wish to have or use this service they can choose not to turn it on just as they would change the channel if a program they do not like comes on the one they are watching.

Thank you for your support of blind people and for providing this wonderful technology

Sincerely,

Glenn R McCully

Glenn R. McCully

LINDA J. SANDERS

Services for the Visually and Hearing Impaired
428 S. Washington, Suite 201
Marion, IN 46953
Phone (765) 664-9999
Fax (765) 664-9999

RECEI
NOV 6 2000
FCC MAIL R

October 31, 2000

Magalie Salas, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20443

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing in regards to Docket No. 99-339.

It has just come to my attention that the FCC has received petitions to reconsider the July ruling requiring television networks to begin providing video descriptions of their programming.

I would strongly encourage you to see that your ruling stands! Over 12 million Americans live with a visual impairment which would prevent them from receiving the full benefits of television programming. Video description would bridge this gap.

Your original ruling spoke volumes to this segment of the population, letting them know that your are aware of their difficulty accessing televised programming - something that the sighted population takes for granted.

May I encourage you to spend one evening - even one hour - in front of your television with the screen blocked from your view. If there is any doubt in your mind as to the validity of this need, that will put your concerns to rest.

It is also my understanding that no new information has been provided that the commission was not aware of at the time the original ruling.

In conclusion, I would like to thank you for your support of the visually impaired. Your efforts are vital in this matter.

Sincerely,

Linda J. Sanders
Director of Hearing Services

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 6 2000

FCC MAIL R

2304 Ridle Avenue
Wilmington, DE 19806
November 2, 2000

Magalie Sales, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Secretary Sales:

My husband and I, who are both visually impaired, thank your commission for your courageous vote requiring the networks to begin providing description to viewers who are blind or visually impaired.

We believe that we have the right to know what is going on on the TV screen. We know how vital it is because we have enjoyed many movies which have description added. Also, we have found pleasure in description on LIMITED channels so we know it can be done. We were so excited to hear that, in April, 2002, we would have this service on all the networks. It will be wonderful to have description for the visual aspects of programming.

Please let us reaffirm our belief in the value of descriptive TV. Further, we would like to point out that the petitioners have not provided any new information which was not already known at the time the FCC reached its decision and the ruling was issued. As you already know, this is a crucial component of any petition to reconsider.

This letter concerns Docket No. 99-339. This letter is in opposition to petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on video description.

Thank you very much for your interest, courtesy and attention.

Sincerely yours,

Ada M. Stokes
E. W. Stokes
Ada M. Stokes and E. W. Stokes

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 6 2000

FCC MAIL RO

November 01, 2000

Ms. Magalie Salas, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Salas:

Reference is made to Docket No. 99-339

The purpose of this letter is to submit comments in opposition to petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on **VIDEO DESCRIPTION.**

I most emphatically support **video description** and wish to thank you for the vision of the new millennium as demonstrated by the Commission's July vote. Such responsiveness to the many visually impaired citizens of this country is sincerely appreciated.

I have been totally blind for over 50 years. During the first part of this time I have relied upon the help of my parents and others to describe to me what was on the TV screen. Now I find myself more and more listening to TV alone. Besides the entertainment content shown on TV, there is much important information, such as severe weather warnings, graphical presentations, and statistical data shown that I can not access. The content of many plays, comedies (where the joke in large part depends upon non-verbal communication) and sitcoms, are mainly lost. Potentially life saving warnings I am told appear in the corner of the TV screen, but I do not know the information they convey.

In the last several years, WGBH-TV has developed and perfected a system of **visual description** that has been used for some programs on Public Broadcast TV and a very limited number of Cable TV stations in conjunction with such programs as Masterpiece Theater, Mystery, Nature, and Scientific American Frontiers. Recently they have been joined by the Turner Classic Movie Network who airs described movies such as Casablanca and the Hunchback of Notre Dame I do not think you (who are sighted) can even imagine the increased level of enjoyment I have found in listening these TV programs and better understanding the movies. But, they only are the "tip of the iceberg" that can be experienced if **video description** is more extensively used. Unfortunately nothing is gained without some expense and effort and the competition for sponsors does not permit expenditures that are not required. This logic also prevents public service warnings (like severe weather) from being described.

I applauded the Commission's action to require **video description** by April 2002 when I could more fully enjoy the entertainment, education, and public service offered by television. But, now this is threatened by petitioners that have not provided any new information that was not already known at the time the Commission reach its decision and issued its ruling in July. I most sincerely, and humbly, ask that the Commission reject the Petitions to Reconsider and thereby reaffirm its July ruling. This would be a giant step in helping not only me but all who are so impaired as to be unable to see the TV screen to enjoy and profit to the fullest the information and entertainment content required to be broadcast by the TV stations.

Thank you for considering my comments in opposition to petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on **VIDEO DESCRIPTION.**

Sincerely yours,

Barbara Z. Gardner

(Mrs.) Barbara Z. Gardner
416 Sugar Maple Lane
Springdale, OH 45246
Phone: (513) 782-2668

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED
NOV 6 2000
FCC MAIL RO

906 Jefferson
Valparaiso, IN 46383
November 1, 2000

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Docket No. 99-339
Comments In Opposition To "Petitioners For Reconsideration"
Of The Reported Order On Video Description

Dear Commissioners:

Thank you for voting to require television networks to provide descriptive programming, beginning April, 2002. I am legally blind, and I can assure you that described television is very important to the visually impaired.

For several years, I have enjoyed watching (hearing) descriptive videos from the Library of Congress talking book program. Modern videos and television programs seem to contain more and more "visual" time; description is the only way for a person with low vision to understand the content.

It is my understanding that the petitioners for reconsideration have presented no new information, different from that which you already knew at the time of your original ruling. Therefore, I urge you to stand firm in your decision to require descriptive television programming.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

Verne R. Sanford

(Mr.) Verne R. Sanford

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED
NOV 6 2000
FCC MAIL ROOM

01-November-2000

Magalie Salas, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554-0000

REFERENCE: Docket No. 99-339

SUBJECT: "In opposition to Petitioners for Reconsideration of the Reported Order on Video Description".

I am blind. I lost my vision over quite a period of time. To adapt, I made many changes in my life. Some of these include magnifiers, large print text, readers, audio read outs and voice control of my computer. Just as deaf have close captioning on televisions, so should the blind have video description. I was first exposed to video description on VHS tapes this summer while attending Leader Dogs for the Blind training in Rochester, Michigan. It greatly improved my television entertainment and provided me with a level of program understanding that was new to me and of great improvement. Video Description should be required of all television viewing.

As an Amateur Radio Operator for many years, I use auditory readout of VFOs and radio operations to preclude any errors by myself in

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

operations. Just as my radio equipment provides needs for me to operate given my blindness, so should television viewing be required to provide Video Description.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely Yours,

Kenneth G. Knight
ARS: N0YGM
5815 Eldora Drive
Colorado Springs, CO 80918-1709

Cc: access@fcc.gov & info@acb.org

THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
445 12TH STREET
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

NOVEMBER 1, 2000

RECEIVED
NOV 6 2000
FCC MAIL ROOM

635 CALEY ROAD
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406 (610) 265-8741

REFERENCE: DOCKET 99-339 (PROVIDE VIDEO DESCRIPTION FOR BLIND)

DEAR COMMISSIONERS.

WE GREATLY APPRECIATED YOUR PASSING THE VIDEO DESCRIPTION VIEWING FOR TELEVISION. AS A BLIND CONSUMER IT WILL PROVIDE AM IMMENSELY IMPROVED OPPORTUNITY FOR ME TO ENJOY TELEVISION THAT THE SIGHTED NOW ENJOY.

I AM STRONGLY OPPOSED TO THE PETITIONERS WHO WANT YOU TO RECONSIDER THE ORDER TO START DESCRIPTIVE VIDEO THAT BECOMES EFFECTIVE ON APRIL, 2002.

AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR PROVIDING ME THIS LONG AWAITED SERVICE.

JOSEPH WALLACE (BLIND) AND VIRGINIA WALLACE

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED
NOV 6 2000
FCC MAIL ROOM

Joseph M. Lucasiewicz
3336 Dolin Avenue
Spring Hill, FL 34606

Magalie Salas, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Salas:

I would like to thank the commission for their July 21st ruling on providing video description for television programming for the blind and visually impaired.
I sincerely urge the commission to reject any "Petitions to Reconsider" the July ruling. I feel very strongly that the petitioners have not provided any new information for reconsideration.
I am submitting this official filing of protest and opposition to the Petition For Reconsideration of the reported order on video description. (Docket # 99-339)

Joseph M. Lucasiewicz

Joseph M Lucasiewicz

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED
NOV 6 - 2000
FCC MAIL ROOM

1622 Geneva Court
Charlotte, N.C. 28209
10/30/2000

Magalie Salas, Secretary
The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Dear Ms. Salas

I am submitting comments in opposition to petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on video description. Docket No. 99-339.

As a visually impaired person I wish to personally express my sincere appreciation to the FCC for the July vote requiring the networks to begin providing "video description" to the blind and visually impaired! What a wonderful difference this will make to so many beginning April 2002!

Fortunately at this stage of my disease I am still "sighted" enough to watch TV. I don't know how long I'll have that privilege. I'm thinking of the countless number of people who aren't so blessed. Many are going blind. Not only am I thinking of their future but mine as well.

In my opinion there is no way to adequately describe the value of this service. Its like putting a "little light" into an otherwise "dark world."

It is my understanding that the petitioners have not provided

any new information that was not already known at the time of your ruling. Its hard to imagine there are those out there who care so little. Surely if they or one of their loved ones were visually impaired they wouldn't be opposing this ruling.

Please stand by your decision! Thank you for your time and consideration in this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Barbara McCurry

RECEIVED
NOV 6 - 2000
FCC MAIL ROOM

11-3-00

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I WANT TO THANK THE FCC COMMISHONERS FOR VOTING TO REQUIRE THE NETWORK TO BEGIN PROVIDING VIDEO DESCRIPTION FOR TV PROGRAMS IN THE FUTURE.

PLEASE DO NOT CHANGE YOUR MINDS RE THE ABOVE. ONLY BLIND AND VISUALLY CAN APPRECIZE THIS BENEFIT!

I HOPE YOU WILL NEVER NEED THIS SERVICE!

SINCERELY,

ELINOR J. SMITH
2938 ISAAC'S PL
GREENSBORO, NC 27408

DOCKET NO. 99-339.

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 6 - 2000

FCC MAIL ROOM

November 3, 2000

To Whom It May Concern:

We, the following signed, a group of visually impaired Vermonters wish to thank the FCC for their vote requiring network transmission of video description. We all enjoy and find video description of videotapes to be a great addition to our quality of life. Extending it to television can only be a great benefit and enhancement to the lives of people with vision impairments. Since this requirement will be a boon to us and our families and the petitioners for reconsideration have brought no new information to the FCC we are: "In opposition to Petitioners for reconsideration of the reported order on video description"' Reference Docket # 99-339

Sincerely,

Central VT PALS Support Group
10 Main Street
Montpelier, VT 05602

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Shirley Hartley - Shirley Hartley

Patricia - Patricia Carruth

Winnifred Carey - Winnifred Carey

[illegible] - Salvatore Pacilio

Maria Pacilio - Maria Pacilio

Robert Alexander - Robert Alexander

Ethel Cribley - Ethel Cribley

Eunice C. Palaoro - Eunice Palaoro

Anita B. Green - Anita Green

Virginia J. Dunning - Virginia Dunning

Donna Strutton - Donna Strutton

Lucia McCarthy - Lucia McCarthy

Mary Graham - Mary Graham

Phyllis Walker - Phyllis Walker

Doris Robbins - Doris Robbins

Linda Picken - Linda Picken

Item #71994

[illegible] - Verna Walbridge

Anna Herbert - Anna Herbert

Item #71994

Docket No # 99-339

Attn: Magalie Salas

Commissioners of the FCC -

Thank you for your Votes, To require the Networks To Begin providing essential information Service To people who Are Visually impaired, & Blind.

What A wonderful thing for People who Can't see the screen or make it out.

I Can't Begin To Explain To you all the Value of this Video Description, To us.

If you Can provide the American Council of the Blind With any New information, that there is reconsideration of the reported Order or Video description, How great it would Be - for us in the dark -

Thank you for your Time.

Verline A Ross

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE