

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
LAW OFFICES
BLOOSTON, MORDKOFKY, JACKSON & DICKENS
2120 L STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

HAROLD MORDKOFKY
BENJAMIN H. DICKENS, JR.
JOHN A. PRENDERGAST
GERARD J. DUFFY
RICHARD D. RUBINO
MARY J. SISAK
D. CARY MITCHELL
MICHAEL B. ADAMS, JR.
SARAH LEEPER *

ARTHUR BLOOSTON
1914 - 1999

(202) 659-0830
FACSIMILE: (202) 828-5568

AFFILIATED SOUTH AMERICAN OFFICES

ESTUDIO JAUREGUI & ASSOCIATES
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

ROBERT M. JACKSON
OF COUNSEL

PERRY W. WOOFER
LEGISLATIVE CONSULTANT

RECEIVED
ORIGINAL

NOV 9 2000

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

EUGENE MALISZEWSKYJ
DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING
PRIVATE RADIO

SEAN A. AUSTIN
DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING
COMMERCIAL RADIO

November 9, 2000

* ADMITTED ONLY IN CALIFORNIA
SUPERVISION BY JOHN PRENDERGAST.
A MEMBER OF THE DC BAR

WRITER'S CONTACT INFORMATION

(202) 828-5515
rmj@bmjd.com

Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

**Attn: Patrick Forster, Senior Engineer
Room 3-A104
Policy Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau**


**Re: CC Communications,
Implementation Plan of Wireless E-911 Phase II
Automatic Location Identification
Notice Pertaining to CC Docket No. 94-102**

Dear Ms. Salas:

On behalf of CC Communications, we are transmitting herewith its Report on Implementation of Wireless E-911 Phase II Automatic Location Identification.

Please refer any inquiries or correspondence in connection with this matter to our offices.

Very truly yours,


Robert M. Jackson

Attachment
cc(w/att): Donald A. Mello

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

014

CC COMMUNICATIONS
50 West Williams Avenue
Fallon, Nevada 89406

ORIGINAL

Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary
Office of the Secretary
445 - 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Attention: Patrick Forster, Senior Engineer
Room 3-A104
Policy Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau**

**Re: Implementation Plan of Wireless E-911 Phase II
Automatic Location Identification
Notice Pertaining to CC Docket No. 94-102**

E-911 PHASE II STATUS REPORT

Dear Ms. Salas:

In accordance with the Third Report and Order in CC Docket No. 94-102 and the Commission's related Public Notice, Mimeo DA 00-2099, released September 14, 2000, we hereby submit our report on the status of implementation plans for Wireless E-911 Phase II Automatic Location Information ("ALI"), as follows:

Background/Contact Information

1) Carrier Identifying Information:

CC Communications
(formerly named Churchill County
Telephone and Telegraph System)
TRS Number: 808143

2) Contact Information: Donald A. Mello, General Manager
CC Communications
50 West Williams Avenue
Fallon, Nevada 89406
Tel: 775-423-7171
FAX: 775-423-2326

and

Robert M. Jackson
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens
2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20037
Tel: 202-828-5515
FAX: 202-828-5568
E-Mail: rmj@bmjd.com

E-911 Phase II Location Technology Information

1) Type of Technology: We are the licensee of a Frequency Block B cellular system serving the Nevada 1 - Humboldt RSA. Switching functions for our system are performed by Verizon's Sacramento, California MSA ("the Sacramento MSA") Motorola EMX 2500 cellular switch. We are a government-owned entity which is owned by the government of Churchill County, Nevada.

For our E-911 Phase II deployment, we presently intend to utilize the same ALI technology (be it handset-based or network-based) and the same brand of ALI equipment as deployed by Verizon for its Sacramento MSA system. As we understand it, Verizon presently intends to deploy a handset-based solution provided by XY Point Corporation of Seattle, Washington.

We may discontinue our switching arrangement with Verizon, and install our own switch, within the next year; but no assurance can be given at this time that we will be able to do so. Because we are only now beginning to explore the purchase and installation of our own switch, no assurance can be given that it will be a Motorola switch or that the switch ultimately selected will be compatible with the XY Point Corporation equipment. If we install our own switch and it is not compatible with the XY Point Corporation equipment, we will most likely deploy a combination of network-based and handset-based ALI solutions.

2) Testing and Verification: We anticipate using the same testing methodology employed by Verizon for the deployment of Phase II ALI capability in its Sacramento MSA cellular system. In the event we install our own switch, we will employ testing and verification procedures recommended by the equipment vendor and comply with the testing and verification guidelines set forth in the Commission's OET Bulletin No. 71. We intend to purchase ALI equipment on a "turn-key" basis, with testing and verification to be performed by the equipment vendor prior to our acceptance of the system.

Since there is very little information available from the ALI equipment vendors, it is difficult to determine at present

precisely what this testing methodology will entail. However, we would anticipate regular testing of random locations throughout our service area, beginning in areas where the PSAP has requested Phase II deployment.

3) Implementation Details and Schedule: We plan to adhere to the implementation schedule established by the Commission in the Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, released September 8, 2000. However, our ability to do so will depend, in large measure, on the ability of equipment manufacturers to have their products operational and delivered in a timely manner. It is anticipated that the equipment installation will be performed by the equipment vendor under a "turn-key" contract.

4) PSAP Interface: At present, we anticipate employing the same PSAP interface technology to be employed by Verizon in the operation of its Sacramento MSA cellular system.

There are three PSAPs in our service area, all operated by County Sheriffs Departments. In our opinion, the PSAPs will need to apply for financial assistance to deploy ALI, which, as we understand it, they have not done.

We have considerable experience in PSAP equipment and technology. Being government owned, we will continue to provide financial and technical support to the PSAP located in Churchill County. Their current PSAP equipment was purchased and installed, and is maintained, by us. At present, we provide a link from the PSAP to our subscriber records server for data dips to retrieve name and address information on the landline telephone operations side of our business. We anticipate that the same level of support will be provided for wireless ALI technology.

5) Existing Handsets: We will continue to keep abreast of our current handset suppliers' ALI deployment plans. Our subscribers will be informed beginning sometime in 2001, by way of bill inserts, of the coming availability of ALI-capable handsets and given the opportunity to acquire them, when available. Subscribers will also be informed of the December 31, 2005 date by which basically all or substantially all handsets must be ALI-capable.

6) Location of Non-Compatible Handsets: We will provide, at a minimum, Phase I ALI information for handsets that are incompatible with the Phase II technology if the local PSAP has Phase I capability and has requested the delivery of Phase I information.


Beginning with the October 1, 2001 date for starting to sell and activate ALI-capable handsets, we will tout their advantages to new subscribers and recommend that non-compatible handsets be restricted for use at campus locations. We will use a "best practices" solution in connection with providing ALI to non-

compatible handsets, assuming, of course, that the PSAP is equipped to utilize Phase II data. It appears that such solutions are currently in development and, at this stage, we are not committed to any particular solution.

7) Other Information: To date, we have received no Phase I or Phase II E-911 ALI requests from the PSAPs.

Respectfully submitted

CC Communications

By: 
Robert M. Jackson
Its Attorney

Dated: November 9, 2000