

ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

BELLSOUTH

BellSouth Corporation
Suite 900
1133-21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3351

mary.henze@bellsouth.com

RECEIVED

JAN - 5 2001

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Mary L. Henze
Executive Director
Federal Regulatory Affairs

202 463-4109
202 463-4631 Fax

January 5, 2001

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CC Dkt. 96-115, Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network and Other Customer Information; CC Dkt. 96-98, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; CC Dkt. 99-273, Provision of Directory Listing Information Under the Telecommunications Act

Dear Ms. Salas:

On January 4, the undersigned and Sid White of BellSouth, Mike Alarcon of SBC, and Marie Breslin of Verizon met, in separate meetings, with Anna Gomez (Office of Chairman Kennard), Jordan Goldstein (Office of Commissioner Ness), and Rebecca Beynon (Office of Commissioner Furtchgott-Roth). Jan Rogers of SBC participated in the Gomez and Goldstein meetings via conference call.

The purpose of the meetings was to discuss the status of competition in the Directory Listings market and the potential scope of Commission action in this proceeding. All material provided is attached.

This notice is being filed pursuant to Sec. 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's rules. If you have any questions concerning this filing, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,


Mary L. Henze

cc: A. Gomez
J. Goldstein
R. Beynon

Directory Assistance Listings

January 4, 2001

BellSouth, Qwest, SBC and Verizon

DA Listings Competitive Facts

Proof of Competitive DA Listings and DA Services market:

- LEC DA listing revenue losses range from 20%-30% for 2000. (BellSouth, SBC, Qwest)
- LEC DA call volumes have decreased 50%-60% since 1995. (BellSouth, SBC, Qwest, Verizon)

Market-based LEC DA listing prices have not increased since issuance of UNE Remand Order of November, 1999.

LEC provided DA databases are not the only reliable source of DA listing information. See attached Appendix.

InfoNXX and other database and competitive DA providers continue to prosper despite their claims that market-based pricing cannot be sustained. These competitive facts demonstrate that competition is flourishing.

Prescribing Cost-Based Rates for DA Listings Presumes Market Failure

DA Listings market is competitive as facts demonstrated by LECs

DA competitors such as InfoNXX, Metro One and others continue to grow and prosper:

- InfoNXX - 800% revenue growth between 1995-1999
 - Number of employees has more than quadrupled since 1995
 - Inc. magazine named InfoNXX to its list of America's 500 fastest growing private companies in its October, 2000 issue
- Metro One - Revenue growth of 110% for 3Q00 over 3Q99
 - Net Income growth of 280% for 3Q00 over 3Q99

**The DA Listings Market is Not a Case of Market Failure, Thus
No Commission Action is Warranted**

Alternate Sources of Wholesale DA Information

Per December 2000 “Call Center Magazine”

Searching Farther for Customer Data, pg. 78-88

- **Targus Information** (www.targusinfo.com)
 - “links virtually every US telephone number with name, address and buying profile preferences to create rich customer files” (Targus also provides Caller ID Calling Name information to carriers)
- **HotData** (www.hotdata.com)
 - appends demographic/psychographic information “to customer files, with a close to 100% accuracy rate in real time . . . receives its data from 15 different sources, ranging from the US Postal Service to private companies”
- **CAS** (www.cas-online.com)
 - provides “detailed analysis that shows the quality of every phone number matched;” customers “are receiving the highest quality phone numbers available”

Alternate Sources of Wholesale DA Information

- Acxiom (www.acxiom.com)
- Donnelley Marketing/infoUSA (www.connelleymarketing.com)
- Executive Marketing Services (www.emsphone.com)
- Experian (www.experian.com)
- Equifax Direct Marketing Solutions (www.equifax.com)
- Gryphon Networks (www.gryphonnetworks.com)
- Harte Hanks (www.harte-hanks.com)
- ICOM (www.i-com.com)
- PerformanceData (www.performancedata.com)
- The Polk Company (www.polk.com)
- QAS North America (www.qas.com/us)

“Call Center Magazine,” *Searching Farther for Customer Data*, pg. 78-88



January 03, 2001



HOME

CONTACT US

ADD TO PORTFOLIO

VIEW PORTFOLIO

INC. MAGAZINE

MY inc.com

ADVICE

PRODUCTS

SERVICES

DISCUSSIONS

RESEARCH

INDUSTRY

SEARCH SITE SEARCH HELP

Inc. 500: Search Results



Inc. 500

- Overview
- Search All Lists
- The 2000 List
- Company Spotlights
- The Hall of Fame
- Articles and Stories
- How to Apply
- For More Info
- Inc. Magazine
- Return to Inc. Sites

INC.COM

\$100,000

Seed money MONEY BUSINESS

for your business.

[Click here to enter](#)

[Return to Results Page](#)

#334

(2000)
800%
(5-year growth)

Company: InfoNXX

Location: Bethlehem, PA

Description: Provides enhanced directory assistance for wireless phones

Web site URL: <http://infonxx.com>

Industry: -- none listed --

Sector: -- none listed --


Revenue:* 3,924,000 --> 36,015,000

Profit:* loss --> 6% to 10%

Employees:* 250 --> 1150

* Numbers are for 5 years prior to win and 1 year prior to win

Information is current as of the year of the ranking. Company location and description may have changed.



Copyright 2000 The Morning Call, Inc.

The Morning Call (Allentown)

November 4, 2000, Saturday SECOND EDITION

SECTION: BUSINESS, Pg. B26

LENGTH: 562 words

HEADLINE: LEHIGH VALLEY BUSINESS MAKES 'INC. 500' LIST;
INFONXX, A BETHLEHEM- AREA DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE COMPANY, RANKED 334.

BYLINE: CHRISTIAN BERG, The Morning Call

BODY:

Infonxx Corp., a Bethlehem-area company that provides directory assistance and other services to wireless telephone customers, has been named to Inc. magazine's annual list of America's 500 fastest-growing private companies.

Infonxx (pronounced Info-N-X-X) ranked No. 334 on the 2000 Inc. 500 list, published in the magazine's Oct. 15 issue.

"We're pretty excited," said Charlie Anderson, Infonxx's marketing director. "Obviously, it establishes credibility with existing customers and potential customers. You don't grow at those clips unless you have a successful business model."

The company, based in Hanover Township, Northampton County, posted 800 percent revenue growth between 1995 and 1999, growing from \$3.9 million to \$36 million, according to the magazine. Infonxx employment has more than quadrupled during the same period, growing from 250 to 1,150.

Since submitting information to Inc., Infonxx has grown even more quickly, Anderson said. The company will have more than 2,500 employees by the end of this year.

"Not only are we growing markets, employees and revenue size, but we're growing at a faster clip," Anderson said. "We expect to handle more than 15 million calls in the month of December."

Anderson also said the company has earnings between 5 percent and 10 percent of revenues.

"We're profitable, and it hasn't been just a shaky thing," Anderson said. "We're not a dot-com. We have assets and we make money. We're a company that wants to grow revenue at these super fast clips, but we also want to grow earnings."

Infonxx offers its services from six call centers nationwide -- Hanover Township; Oakville, Conn.; Riverside, Calif.; Tucson, Ariz.; San Antonio; and its newest facility in Greensboro, N.C., which opened in September.

The 9-year-old company provides directory assistance service to customers who use wireless phone service provided by companies that include Verizon Wireless, Alltel, AirTouch, Cellular One and Primeco, according to the company's Web site.

Infonxx also provides directory assistance services for brokerage houses, universities and banks.

In addition to directory assistance, Infonxx operators also offer Yellow Page searches, a restaurant guide, movie listings, personal phone books, local

event information, emergency road service, local weather reports and automatic call connection.

The rapid growth of wireless phone use bodes well for Infonxx's future, Anderson said.

"We've got a strategy that works, and we see ourselves in a high-growth area with wireless carriers," he said.

The company was founded in Manhattan in 1991 by Robert A. Pines and Evan Marwell, former Harvard University roommates who got the idea for the company when they noticed that their monthly cell phone directory assistance bill was higher than the cost of their basic cellular phone service.

Infonxx moved to the Lehigh Valley in 1993, thanks in part to efforts of the Northampton County Development Corp. and the Governor's Response Team, which helps businesses relocate to Pennsylvania.

Infonxx was one of 21 Pennsylvania companies to make the Inc. 500 list but the only Lehigh Valley firm among them. The state's highest-rated company was Omicron Systems of Philadelphia, a computer company that ranked No. 38.

Reporter Christian Berg

610-820-6517

christian.berg@mcall.com

LOAD-DATE: November 6, 2000

One password for all your accounts.

YAHOO!
Finance

[[Latest Headlines](#) | [Market Overview](#) | [News Alerts](#)]

Monday October 30, 4:07 pm Eastern Time

Press Release

Related Quotes

MTON 13 15/16 -5'16
delayed 20 mins - disclaimer

Metro One Telecommunications Reports Record Third Quarter Revenue of \$42.9 Million

Record EPS of \$0.23

PORTLAND, Ore.--(BUSINESS WIRE)--Oct. 30, 2000--Metro One Telecommunications, Inc. (Nasdaq:MTON - news), a leading provider of enhanced telecom services, including its Enhanced Directory Assistance® (EDA), today reported financial results for the third quarter ended September 30, 2000. These results were consistent with preliminary results announced on October 17, 2000.

Revenue for the third quarter of 2000 increased to a record \$42,953,000, up 110% from 1999 third quarter revenue of \$20,469,000, and up 17% from 2000 second quarter revenue of \$36,589,000. Net income for the third quarter was \$2,764,000, or \$0.23 per diluted share, compared with net income of \$677,000, or \$0.06 per diluted share, in the prior year's third quarter.

Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2000 reached \$109,253,000, more than double revenue of \$52,113,000 recorded for the first nine months of 1999. Net income for the first nine months of 2000 was \$5,041,000, or \$0.42 per diluted share, compared with net income of \$1,464,000, or \$0.12 per diluted share, for the same period in 1999.

"Our record results demonstrate the continued strength of our business, as well as our ability to increase our efficiencies through effective operations management," said Tim Timmins, president and chief executive officer of Metro One Telecommunications. "We achieved these results in spite of surprises such as the Verizon strike; even so, this one-time event resulted in a positive earnings contribution of approximately \$0.02 per share as East Coast Verizon customers chose to call us for directory assistance and information services during August."

Metro One Telecommunications, Inc. is a leading developer and provider of enhanced telecom services, including its EDA®. The Company operates a network of strategically-located call centers throughout the U.S. Metro One handled approximately 210 million requests for directory assistance during the first three quarters of 2000 and approximately 142 million requests in fiscal year 1999, on behalf of its carrier customers. Metro One has recently been included in Fortune's comprehensive annual list of America's 100 Fastest-Growing Companies and Forbes annual list of the 200 Best Small Companies in America. For more information about Metro One Telecommunications, visit the company's website at <http://www.metro1.com>.

Metro One will host a conference call webcast on Monday, October 30th at 2:00 p.m. Pacific

Standard Time to review third quarter results and future operating trends, including guidance on the outlook for the future. To access the webcast, go to Metro One's website at www.metro1.com. An archived webcast replay of the call will also be available at that website.

This press release contains forward-looking statements regarding the Company's beliefs about its business prospects and disclosures about what management believes is currently effecting its revenues and earnings for the third quarter and full year ending December 31, 2000. These statements involve risks and uncertainties. Important additional factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those that are detailed in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission including recent filings of Forms 10-K and 10-Q. These can be accessed through Metro One's website at <http://www.metro1.com> or Nasdaq's website at www.nasdaq.com. The forward-looking statements should be considered in light of those risks and uncertainties.

-0-

METRO ONE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
Statements of Income
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended :	
	9/30/2000	9/30/1999	9/30/2000	9/30/1999
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Revenues	\$ 42,953	\$ 20,469	\$ 109,253	\$ 52,113
Costs and expenses:				
Direct operating	26,879	12,136	69,439	30,481
General and administrative	12,219	7,374	32,205	19,795
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	39,098	19,510	101,644	50,276
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income from operations	3,855	959	7,609	1,837
Other income	50	(3)	(8)	112
Interest and loan fees	(931)	(253)	(2,267)	(425)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	(881)	(256)	(2,275)	(313)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income before income taxes	2,974	703	5,334	1,524
Income tax expense	210	26	293	60
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income	\$ 2,764	\$ 677	\$ 5,041	\$ 1,464
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Income per common share				
Basic	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.13
Diluted	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.12
Shares used in per share calculation				
Basic	11,648	11,405	11,581	11,383
Diluted	11,984	12,016	11,952	12,012

METRO ONE TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
Balance Sheets
(Dollars in thousands)

	9/30/2000 ----- (Unaudited)	12/31/1999 -----
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,277	\$ 9,564
Short-term investments	--	400
Accounts receivable	39,323	15,357
Prepaid costs and other current assets	1,493	985
	-----	-----
Total current assets	47,093	26,306
Furniture, fixtures and equipment, net	50,952	38,225
Other assets	2,223	944
	-----	-----
Total assets	\$ 100,268 -----	\$ 65,475 -----
Accounts payable	\$ 6,224	\$ 2,909
Accrued liabilities	5,110	2,390
Accrued payroll and related costs	4,791	3,839
Operating line of credit	11,500	--
Current portion of capital lease obligations	49	159
Current portion of long-term debt	8,647	5,259
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	36,321	14,556
Capital lease obligations	--	17
Long-term debt, less current portion	24,616	18,923
	-----	-----
Total liabilities	60,937	33,496
Common Stock	42,619	40,308
Accumulated deficit	(3,288)	(8,329)
	-----	-----
Shareholders' equity	39,331	31,979
	-----	-----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 100,268 -----	\$ 65,475 -----

Contact:

Metro One Telecommunications, Inc.
Timothy A. Timmins, 503/643-9500
President and Chief Executive Officer
Duane Fromhart, 503/643-9500
Vice President, Finance
or
Morgan-Walke Associates, Inc.
Jim Byers or Danielle Schod, 415/296-7383
Eric Gonzales, 212/850-6698 (Wire Services)
Peter DeLauson, 415/296-7383 (Media Inquiries)

BELLSOUTH
EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

BellSouth Corporation
Suite 900
1133-21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3351

mary.henze@bellsouth.com

Mary L. Henze
Executive Director
Federal Regulatory Affairs

202 463-4109
202 463-4631 Fax

November 9, 2000

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554



RECEIVED

NOV 9 2000

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY


Re: CC Dkt. 96-115, Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network and Other Customer Information; CC Dkt. 96-98, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; CC Dkt. 99-273, Provision of Directory Listing Information Under the Telecommunications Act

Dear Ms. Salas:

On November 9, the attached letter and material was sent to Yog Varma, Deputy Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau on behalf of BellSouth, Verizon, Qwest, and SBC.

This notice is being filed pursuant to Sec. 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's rules. If you have any questions concerning this filing, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,


Mary L. Henze

cc: A. Gomez
J. Goldstein
R. Benyon
K. Dixon
D. Shetler
Y. Varma

No. of Copies made 075
List AG 10/11

BellSouth Corporation
Suite 900
1133-21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3351

mary.henze@bellsouth.com

Mary L. Henze
Executive Director
Federal Regulatory Affairs

202 463-4109
202 463-4631 Fax

November 9, 2000

Mr. Yog Varma
Deputy Bureau Chief
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
NOV 9 2000
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: CC Dkt. 96-115, Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network and Other Customer Information; CC Dkt. 96-98, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; CC Dkt. 99-273, Provision of Directory Listing Information Under the Telecommunications Act

Dear Mr. Varma,

On Wednesday, November 1, 2000 representatives from BellSouth, Verizon, SBC, and Qwest met with you and your staff to discuss issues concerning DA Services and the pricing of Directory Listing Services. During that discussion you asked the companies for additional information on the state of competition in the DA listings market. In response to your request, the companies have prepared the attached paper with supporting documentation which clearly illustrates that directory assistance listings are a competitive wholesale service and should have market based pricing.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (202) 463-4109 if you have questions regarding this submission.

Sincerely,



Mary L. Henze

cc: A. Gomez
J. Goldstein
R. Benyon
K. Dixon
D. Shetler

THE COMPETITIVE MARKET FOR DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE LISTINGS

INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (ILECs)¹ will show that the marketplace for DA and DA listing services is highly competitive, with numerous successful third party providers of these services. The ILECs will also show that these providers often have competitive advantages over the ILECs. They differentiate themselves from the ILECs by offering services that are broader in scope and have more enhancements than the services provided by the ILECs. Furthermore, the ILECs will show that they have suffered substantial competitive losses to these third party DA and DA listing providers, thus validating the fact that competition is robust in these markets.

InfoNXX has shown nothing to challenge these basic competitive facts. The FCC should reaffirm its prior conclusions made in the UNE Remand Order that the market for DA and DA listing services continues to be competitive. No price regulation of ILEC DA listing inputs is necessary or advisable.

THE COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES OF NON-REGULATED DA LISTING PROVIDERS

Services offered by listing providers that obtain some of their data from ILECs and from other sources are viable competitive alternatives to the wholesale DA listing services provided by the ILECs.

The services offered by independent, non-regulated DA listing providers can be more attractive than the services provided by the ILECs because ILEC DA listing services are usually limited in scope to company-specific and limited regional subscriber listing information while competing providers can offer comprehensive nationwide, or even worldwide, DA listing information. These competing providers are able to offer “one stop shopping” as a viable alternative, since many can and do offer a single national listing database which relieves the user’s burden of obtaining listings from a multitude of ILECs.

¹ BellSouth, SBC, Verizon, Qwest each provided input to this document

The national listing databases marketed by competing providers offers comparable, if not the same, accuracy and reliability as that marketed by the ILECs. since the listing information and the associated updates can be obtained directly from the incumbent. In fact, competing providers such as MasterFiles and LSSi often use the comparable accuracy and reliability of their listings to promote their national databases against those of the ILECs. As an example, MasterFiles' website promotes their Reach411 National DA as "accurate data at affordable prices".² InfoNXX's website says they "offer a true alternative to telephone company DA" and that their national offering "provides superior service and 100% data accuracy".³ It could even be said that having a broad national scope with comparable listing information quality offers these competing providers a competitive edge over the ILECs.

The competitive edge these independent DA and DA listing providers enjoy because of their national footprint is further broadened by the enhanced service offerings that they are permitted to market. For example, traditional DA and DA listing service offerings have been supplemented with features such as concierge services, driving directions from a live operator, and even access to voice portals. Because these competing providers are not limited by the same regulatory constraints as the ILECs, they have aggressively marketed these enhancements to prospective customers as differentiators. This has resulted in companies such as Metro One, InfoNXX and Volt Delta significantly eroding the ILECs' customer base. In fact, Metro One promotes itself as serving one-half of the US population on a local basis.⁴ InfoNXX claims that it " provides tremendous value". Volt Delta, in a November 1996 press release spoke to their "selection of Acxiom® Corporation as Prime Listing Source for National Directory Assistance Service". In this press release, "VoltDelta and Acxiom® Corporation announced an agreement wherein Acxiom would be the provider of listing data for use on VoltDelta's DirectoryExpress, a transaction-based national directory assistance solution".⁵ Companies such as these are driving

² See Attachment 2 – www.masterfiles.com/reach411.asp

³ See Attachment 2 – www.infonxx.com/national.html

⁴ Reference - Metro One marketing brochure

⁵ Reference - www.voltdelta.com/news.html

competitive intensity and taking a leadership position in an already robust market situation for DA and DA listing services.

SUCCESS DRIVERS IN THE DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE MARKET

In the directory assistance market, how competing providers develop a quality service and price it to their customers is driven by more than just one service component. The importance of a national listing database to a competitive service offering was addressed above. Competing, independent providers have been successful in developing such database capabilities. However, as important as national listing information is to the DA service offering, it is not the only driver impacting how DA providers differentiate themselves from the ILECs. Pricing structures and service quality are also driven by operating systems and employees. Systems are integral to the service offering because the features, functions and level of automation may vary to differing degrees between providers. The technology infrastructure utilized by a DA provider will impact their ability to succeed. Specifically, leading edge technology enables companies to differentiate their service offerings and price competitively. Moreover, employees are also an integral component because individual providers serve user needs with workers of various employment lengths and levels of experience. For example, operators serve as the primary customer interface and have a direct impact on how customer's perceive a provider's service quality.

In any listing database, these three service elements each add value to the others and function jointly as DA "success drivers". Indeed, as outlined, national listings, operating systems and skilled employees all contribute to service quality and competitive pricing structures. It is the combination of these success drivers that justifies differences in price among the multiple alternatives/substitutes for DA listing information, although market-based pricing is not appreciably different for comparable service offerings. Ultimately, these service components all affect the ability of third party DA providers to secure marketshare.

THE SUCCESS OF COMPETING NON-REGULATED DA PROVIDERS

Within the last decade many new providers of DA services have entered the market. These companies have proven to be viable suppliers to customers, and they have experienced

significant growth in their businesses. They have proven that they know how to manage call centers, source listings, price their services, make money and deliver service to their customers.

Attachment 1 outlines briefly a number of these competing providers, describing the service offering(s), the listing source (if known), and a sampling of their customer bases. It is clear that the companies listed herein are succeeding in the competitive DA market.

Four of these competing providers - **MetroOne**, **Excell**, **Teltrust**, and **InfoNXX** have been especially successful at creating viable marketing plans, and they are each seeing success in the marketplace. The aforementioned companies are growing not only in call volumes but also in the number of major customers they serve. Each company is handling millions of calls and is thriving in the Directory Assistance market. Following is a brief overview of each company⁶:

- **MetroOne** is a prominent supplier of Directory Assistance that has seen impressive growth in recent years. MetroOne posted record revenues in 1998 of \$45.1 million, 73% above 1997 revenues. "Revenues for the first six months of 2000 were \$66,300,000 double the revenue from the same period the prior year. The company handled 126 million requests for DA/EDA during the first months of 2000 and 142 million requests in 1999"⁷. Major customers include AT&T, Sprint PCS, US Unwired and Nextel.
- **Excell** has experienced double-digit growth in the 1990's. In fact, they enjoyed annual sales in excess of \$200 million in 1998. In 1997, Excell was handling more than 40 million DA calls per month and managing major call centers in Arizona and Florida. Major customers include AT&T, Frontier and Bell Canada.
- **TelTrust** has been a major player in the Directory Assistance business for a number of years. By 1999, Teltrust was serving several DA markets, including the wireless market where volumes exceeded two million calls per month. They have several large call centers located throughout the country, and they list US Cellular, Muchas Voces, Total Tel and G-5 as major customers.

⁶ Independent market research

⁷ *The Operator Daily* - August 1, 2000

- **InfoNXX** has seen significant growth in their business. They have opened several new, large call centers in the last couple years to help keep up with their rapid growth. Much of their market focuses on cellular and wireless providers, and they have won contracts in recent years with companies like Cellular One, Verizon and AIRTOUCH.

PRIOR FCC DECISIONS SUPPORT A CONCLUSION THAT THE DA MARKET IS COMPETITIVE

In fact, the Commission correctly concluded in the UNE Remand Order that the provisioning of DA service and the provision of DA listings is competitive, and that other providers are flourishing in the marketplace (see Attachment 1 – Competing Providers). The fact that ILECs themselves subscribe to the services of competitors like LSSi and Volt Delta provides additional support for this conclusion that the market for DA listings is competitive and robust.

It is clear that demand for DA is growing and that the market is competitive and robust, and ILECs are losing market share to competing providers. ILECs are experiencing the effects of competitive erosion in both DA and DA listing services. Losses in DA call volumes have occurred over the last several years and range from 50-60%⁸. Recent losses in DA listings revenue range from 20-30%⁹.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the information provided clearly shows that there is a robust competitive marketplace for DA listing services. Many of these third party DA listing providers have competitive advantages over the ILECs in terms of the national scope of their available listings, and “one-stop-shopping”. These providers solicit customers by offering accurate data at affordable prices. They also have been very successful in taking business away from the ILECs. Many third party DA providers now obtain their DA listing information from third party non-ILEC providers. This healthy competition in the DA listings market has led to a proliferation of new and successful DA service providers. Consequently, ILECs have suffered market share erosion which validates the existence of true competition.

⁸ BellSouth, SBC, Qwest, and Verizon

⁹ BellSouth, SBC, and Qwest

Finally, the FCC has already correctly concluded in the UNE Remand Order, that DA and DA listings services are competitive and that alternative providers are flourishing in the marketplace. Thus, the FCC found no need to regulate prices in these areas. InfoNXX has provided absolutely no basis for the FCC to disturb its well reasoned decision set forth in the UNE Remand proceeding. InfoNXX wrongly asserts that access to and pricing of ILEC DA listings is the only driver impeding their ability to compete. Nothing presented by InfoNXX proves otherwise. There is no reason to believe that InfoNXX would either subscribe or continue to subscribe to individual ILEC listing services even if the Commission took action leading to price standardization. Simply stated, if InfoNXX gets its intended result from this proceeding, it is not likely that the purchasing behavior either of itself or other competing providers will be altered. The FCC should either close this phase of the proceeding or should issue an order that reaffirms their conclusion that the marketplace is indeed competitive.

Attachment 1

Non-regulated DA and DA Listing Providers	Listing Source	Customers
<p><i>Volt Delta NDA</i> Directory Express (NDA) Info Express (EDA)</p>	<p>Axion/Go2TM (for wireless listings)</p>	<p>(DA Vendor for Cincinnati Bell)</p>
<p><i>LSSi</i></p>	<p>Known source: Carriers -- self-maintained database</p>	<p>Sprint Local, Verizon, McLeodUSA, Consolidated Comms, Telegate, British Telecom, France Telecom Resells access into their database to others --</p>
<p><i>Master Files</i> Reach Dir. Asst. (Nat'l EDA) Reach411.com (NDA)</p>	<p>Known source: Carriers</p>	<p>Resells access into the databases of carriers Also resells listings in a batch process mode</p>
<p><i>Teltrust</i></p>	<p>Dips LSSi database</p>	<p>Cox Comm's, Verizon, Salient, RCN, U S Cellular, CellularOne, Centennial Cellular, Omnipoint Comm's, Ameritech (CC only), Bell So. (CC only), Kansas Cellular/ Alltel, Little Three Comm's, Nevada Bell, NextLink, Total Tel, Vartech Comm's, Telefonos Publicos, The Travelers group, J. C. Penney, Time, Inc , The San Antonio Spurs, Bally's Hotel, Nordstrom, Flying J, Four Seasons, USAA, Las Vegas Hilton (started in payphone market)</p>
<p><i>MetroOne</i></p>	<p>Known source: Carriers and list compilers -- self-maintained database</p>	<p>AT&T wireless, Airgate PCS, Alamosa PCS, Alltel Ent , GST, Georgia PCS, Horizon PC, Illinois PCS, Integra, Iowa Wireless, Louisiana Unwired, Meretel Comms, Midwest Wireless, Nevada Bell Wireless, Nextel, Northern PCS, Pac Bell Wireless, Poka Lambo PCS, Roberts Wireless, Rural Cellular, Southwest PCS, Sprint PCS, SwiftTel Comms, Telecorp Comms, Triton PCS, US Unwired, Ubiqui Tel, Vangaurd, Verizon Wireless, Via (Central) Wireless, Washington/Oregon Wireless, AirTouch, Indus, Inc.</p>
<p><i>InfoNXX</i></p>	<p>Unknown -- only obtain minimal data from some carriers</p>	<p>Verizon, Alltel, AirTouch, CellularOne, PrimeCo , Mobile Comm's, Mobile Commerce Partner, Quixi</p>

Non-regulated DA and DA Listing Providers	Listing Source	Customers
<i>Excell Agent Services</i> DirectoryNet.com	Experian-based/multiple sources including some carrier data	AT&T(?), Frontier LD Bell Canada, Telstra (Stellar) Reuses information in Web-based services such as DirectoryNet.
<i>MCI</i>	Known source: Carriers -- self-maintained database	MCI local, PIC'd and dial-around toll, Web-based services
<i>AT&T -- Local & National DA</i>	Unknown -- formerly purchased from list compilers and carriers -- current source is unknown	AT&T local, PIC'd and dial-around toll users, and web-based services.
<i>555-1212.com and AnyWho.com</i>		Web-based services
<i>InfoUSA</i>	InfoUSA -- compiles information from a variety of sources	Web-based service -- Resells directory lists (msn.com, Switchboard.com, Zip2.com, 411Locate.com, Boston Medical Center)
<i>411Locate.com</i>	InfoUSA -- users can update on-line	Web-based service
<i>msn.com</i>	InfoUSA -- users can update on-line	Web-based service
<i>Zip2.com</i>	InfoUSA -- users can update on-line	Web-based service
<i>Boston Medical Center</i>	InfoUSA -- users can update on-line	Accesses web-based service
<i>Switchboard.com</i>	InfoUSA -- users can update on-line	Web-based service
	Other Known Listing Sources Experian (Metromail)/LSSi FDR Qwest Dex - Data Products Group	