ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JAN 16 2001

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

AT&T

Robert W. Quinn, Jr.
Vice President
Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000
1120 20th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
202 457-3851
FAX 202 263-2655
WIRELESS 202 256-7503
EMAIL rwquinn@att.com

January 16, 2001

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW, Room TWB-204
Washington, DC 20554

96-262

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Meeting:

In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Local Telecommunications Act of 1996, Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 96-98

Comments Requested On The Application By SBC Communications, Inc. For Authorization Under Section 271 Of The Communications Act To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Service In The States Of Kansas And Oklahoma, CC Docket No. 00-217

Access Charge Reform, CC Docket 96-262; Request for Emergency Relief of the Minnesota CLEC Consortium and the Rural Independent Competitive Alliance, DA 00-1067; Mandatory Detarriffing of CLEC Interstate Access Services, DA 00-1268

Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, CC Docket No. 98-147

Inter-Carrier Compensation for ISP Bound Traffic, CC Docket No. 99-68

Dear Ms. Salas:

On Friday January 12, 2001, Frank Simone and I met with Dorothy Attwood, Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau, Glenn Reynolds, Deputy Chief of the Common

Recycled Paper

Carrier Bureau and Brent Olsen, Deputy Chief of the Policy and Program Planning Division which touched on issues raised in the aforementioned dockets. Specifically, we stated that the Commission should deny the SBC applications in Kansas and Oklahoma on pricing grounds and for failure to abide by existing FCC rules with respect to delivering local traffic as set forth in AT&T's filings in this proceeding. Further, we discussed the need to recognize that carriers incur costs terminating local traffic which they must be permitted to recover consistent with our filing s in the reciprocal compensation docket. In addition, we stated that the Commission must act quickly to raise the ceiling on lines at individual customer locations for which CLECs can obtain the UNE-P from the three-line limit that currently exists. Finally, we stated that the Commission must curtail the practice of CLECs charging access rates to interexchange carriers extraordinarily higher than the incumbent LECs serving the areas in which the CLECs compete consistent with AT&T's filings.

The positions expressed by AT&T were consistent with those contained in the Comments and ex parte filings previously made in each of the aforementioned dockets. Two copies of this Notice are being submitted for each of the referenced proceedings in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Quinn Jr.

cc: Dorothy Attwood
Glenn Reynolds
Brent Olsen