DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FCC MAIL ROOM

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

2001 MAY 11 P 1:01

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Amendment of Section 73.202(b),	)	
FM Table of Allotments,	)	
FM Broadcast Stations.	)	
(Caro and Cass City, Michigan)	)	MM Docket No. 01-33
	)	RM-10060
	)	
	)	MM Docket No. 01-34
(Warsaw and Windsor, Missouri)	)	RM-10061

RECEIVED

ERRATUM

Released: May 11, 2001

By the Chief, Allocations Branch:

1. On May 4, 2001, the Allocations Branch released a *Report and Order* granting allotments in the above-captioned communities. The last sentence of the first paragraph inadvertently omitted footnote one which should read as follows:

We received no other comments or counterproposals.[1]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[1] We note that a pleading styled "counterproposal" was filed by Edward Czelada requesting that we allot Channel 297C3 at Cass City rather than Channel 221C3 and the allotment of Channel 218 C3 at Ubly, Michigan so that he can apply for Channel 218C3 at Ubly, Michigan once the freeze on the filing of applications in the reserved band is lifted. We are not considering this pleading in this proceeding because it was defective when filed. *See Report and Order* in MM Docket No. 98-29 (Indio and Indian Wells, California), 15 FCC Rcd 23,845 (2000). First, we cannot make allotments in the FM reserved band, as those channels are properly requested by application, and there is no exception to this rule when there is a freeze on the filing of new applications for that band. *See* 47 CFR §§73.501 and 73.509; *see also Second Report and Order* in Docket No. 20735, 44 RR2d 235 (1978) (Commission considered but did not adopt, a table of allotments for the FM noncommercial band, but rather retained its current practice of requiring FM stations in the reserved band to be chosen by applications judged strictly on their own engineering terms, using an interference standard). Secondly, the pleading did not include an engineering study, and our own engineering analysis shows that pursuant to the minimum distance separation requirements of Section 73.207(b) and the principal community coverage requirements of Section 73.315(a) of the Commission's Rules, Channel 297C3 cannot be allotted at Cass City.