

ORIGINAL



Leonard J. Cali
Vice President
Federal Government Affairs

RECEIVED

JUN 22 2001

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

June 22, 2001

Suite 1000
1120 20th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
202 457-2120
FAX 202 263-2794
lcali@att.com

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW, Room TWB-204
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Meeting:

Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 96-98; CC Docket No. 98-147, Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability; Application by Verizon-New York, Inc., Verizon Long Distance, Verizon Enterprise Solutions, Verizon Global Networks, Inc. and Verizon Select Services, Inc., for Authorization to Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in Connecticut, CC Docket No. 01-100; AT&T and Concert Objection to International Settlements Policy Modification Request for a Change in the Accounting Rate for International Message Telephone Service with Mexico, ARC-MOD-20010530-00123; Applications for Transfer of Control to AT&T Corp. of Licenses and Authorizations Held by MediaOne Group, Inc., CS Docket No. 99-251.

Dear Ms. Salas:

Yesterday, David Rudd and I, both on behalf of AT&T, discussed with Commissioner Michael J. Copps and Jordan Goldstein, Senior Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps, matters related to the referenced proceedings. In particular, we reviewed AT&T's position that the provision of competitive local telecommunications services to both residential and business customers would be impaired without access to unbundled network elements ("UNEs"), including ILEC loops and switching, at cost-based rates and with nondiscriminatory provisioning. I noted that AT&T's experience in providing local services using its own switches confirms the need for

access to unbundled local switching, particularly in light of loop provisioning problems. We also discussed that, as specified in AT&T's May 14, 2001 letter in CC Docket 01-100, AT&T does not oppose Verizon's application to provide in-region interLATA services in Connecticut. In addition, I explained AT&T and Concert's objection, filed June 20, 2001, to Worldcom's May 30th request for an international settlements policy modification to change the accounting rate for service with Mexico, and AT&T's views concerning the ownership condition relating to the Commission's approval of the merger of AT&T and MediaOne. In every case, the positions expressed on behalf of AT&T at the meeting are reflected in AT&T's written submissions in the referenced proceedings.

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, I have submitted two copies of this Notice for each referenced proceeding.

Sincerely,

Leonard J. Cali/PCA

cc: Commissioner Copps
J. Goldstein