

July 20, 2001

**VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Cable Services Bureau  
Attn: Price Survey  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**RECEIVED**



**JUL 20 2001**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Re: MM Docket No. 92-266

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please find enclosed filing diskettes containing the questionnaire responses to the FCC's Annual Cable Industry Price Survey that have been completed for 46 systems owned by Cox Communications, Inc. ("Cox"). The survey responses are being submitted pursuant to the Cable Service Bureau's Order (DA 01-1219), released May 17, 2001 in the above-referenced proceeding. In addition to the diskettes enclosed, an electronic version of the 46 systems was sent to John Scott via email on July 19, 2001.

Cox estimates that its personnel spent more than 600 hours or roughly 13 hours per questionnaire, to complete this year's survey forms.

In addition, it should be noted that the sampled systems had difficulty answering some of the questions in the survey. The following list summarizes the most significant problems the systems encountered and explains how Cox attempted to resolve the issue.


- ◆ Lines B1 and B2 ask the system to estimate the total number of households in its franchise and system areas. Lines B3 and B4 ask for the number of households passed in those same areas. The sampled Cox systems relied on 2000 census data when responding to Lines B1 and B2 and used actual system data to respond to Lines B3 and B4. Because the 2000 census data does not provide homes on military installations and Cox's homes passed do, Lines B3 and B4 are greater than Lines B1 and B2 in some areas. Accordingly, the survey responses from the affected systems report, erroneously, that the number of households passed is actually greater than the number of households in the area. Unfortunately, more accurate data for the number of area households was not available.

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List A B C D E

- ◆ Cox regards information concerning its actual customer counts for new services such as high-speed data, digital telephony, and digital television to be extremely commercially sensitive. This information also is not relevant to a survey of cable rates. Cox accordingly is not providing responses to Line B16 (regarding the number of Internet access subscribers), Line B18 (regarding the number of telephony customers) and Lines B14 and C30; (regarding the number of digital customers) on a system or franchise basis. However, Cox has provided this information on a consolidated company-wide basis.

I hope this information is useful to the staff as it evaluates the 46 survey responses submitted.

Sincerely yours,



Faye W. Eden  
Manager, Rate Regulation

FWE/aph

Enclosures

Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

**RECEIVED**

**JUL 20 2001**

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of )

Implementation of Section 3 of the Cable  
Television Consumer Protection and Competition  
Act of 1992 )

Statistical Report on Average Rates for Basic  
Service, Cable Programming Services and  
Equipment )

) MM Docket No. 92-266

) CUID Nos. See Attachment A

To: The Cable Services Bureau

**CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE**

1. My name is Faye W. Eden and I am the Manager, Rate Regulation, CoxCom, Inc.
2. Pursuant to the above-captioned Order, I certify that I have examined this report, and that all statements of fact contained therein are true, complete and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and are made in good faith. Willful false statements made on this form are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment (US Code Title 18, Section 1001), and/or forfeiture (US Code, Title 47, Section 503).

*Faye W. Eden*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Faye W. Eden  
Manager, Rate Regulation

Date: July 19, 2001

**ATTACHMENT A**

**Cox Communications, Inc.**

**CUID NUMBERS & COMMUNITY NAMES**

Amarillo	TX0152	Oklahoma City	OK0187
Ball	LA0226	Omaha (Non-upgrade)	NE0111
Baton Rouge	LA0055	Omaha (Non-upgrade)	NE0155
Bibb	GA0131	Orleanes	LA0286
Bryan	TX0043	Phoenix	AZ0053
Clark	NV0015	Roanoke County	VA0151
Coffeyville	KS0019	Rogers	AR0031
Columbia County	AR0137	Rogers	AR0639
Duchesne	UT0093	San Diego City (SD So)	CA0335
Dumas	AR0121	San Marcos (SD No)	CA0600
Encinitas (SD No)	CA1341	Santa Barbara	CA1279
Enid	OK0003	Scottsdale	AZ0138
Escambia	FL0001	Sierra Vista	AZ0018
Fairfax	VA0301	South Windsor	CT0128
Farmington	AR0036	St. Bernard	LA0172
Greenville	MS0031	St. Charles (W)	LA0320
Haskell (Town)	OK0250	Tucson	AZ0159
Humboldt County	CA0673	Tulsa	OK0061
Lake Tanglewood	TX0898	Virginia Beach	VA0166
Lubbock Rebuild	TX0004	Westwego	LA0171
Mission Viejo	CA1345	Wichita	KS0080
Moore	OK0143	Woonsocket	RI0020
Newport News	VA0031	Youngtown	AZ0111

DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

- o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the ECFS system.

- o Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.

- Other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the ECFS system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed by contacting an Information Technician at the FCC Reference Information Center, at 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Washington, DC, Room CY-A257. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician.

*One diskette.*