

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Revision of the Commission's Rules to)	CC Docket No. 94-102
Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced)	
911 Emergency Calling Systems)	
)	
Wireless E911 Phase II Implementation)	
Plan of Nextel Communications, Inc.)	

**NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
PHASE II E911 QUARTERLY REPORT
FEBRUARY 1, 2002**

**To: Chief, Enforcement Bureau
Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau**

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the October 12, 2001 Order of the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") in CC Docket No. 94-102,¹ Nextel Communications, Inc. ("Nextel") respectfully submits this first Phase II Enhanced 911 ("E911") Quarterly Report on Nextel's Phase I and Phase II E911 deployment/implementation progress. Herein, Nextel provides a listing of all pending requests for Phase I and Phase II E911 service and the status of each request, any and all relevant information regarding the network infrastructure and handset upgrades to enable Phase II E911 location capabilities, and to the extent applicable, information regarding Nextel's achievement of its Phase II E911 benchmarks as established in the Nextel Waiver Order.

¹ *In the Matter of Revision of the Commission's Rules To Ensure Compatibility With Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, Wireless E911 Phase II Implementation Plan of Nextel Communications, Inc.*, Order, CC Docket No. 94-102, FCC 01-295, released October 12, 2001 ("Nextel Waiver Order").

BACKGROUND

On November 9, 2000, Nextel requested a waiver of the Commission's Phase II E911 rules to permit the launch of a compliant Phase II E911 service on October 1, 2002, one year after the Commission's implementation deadline.² Because Nextel's Phase II E911 technology is a handset-based Assisted Global Positioning System ("A-GPS") solution, Nextel also sought relief from the Commission's handset penetration rates and associated benchmark dates. In the Nextel Waiver Order, the Commission granted Nextel's requested relief by imposing the following Phase II E911 implementation plan:

October 1, 2002:	Begin selling A-GPS-capable handsets;
December 31, 2002:	10% of all new handsets sold are A-GPS-capable;
December 1, 2003:	50% of all new handsets sold are A-GPS-capable;
December 1, 2004:	100% of all new handsets sold are A-GPS-capable;
December 31, 2005:	95% of all Nextel's entire iDEN customer base is A-GPS-capable.

SUMMARY OF IMPLEMENTATION EFFORTS TO DATE

As the Commission is aware, Nextel launched its Phase II E911 deployment efforts long before the Commission granted its waiver request. Having stated in its November 9, 2000 waiver request that it could deploy an A-GPS-capable handset by October 1, 2002, Nextel, rather than waiting for a Commission decision on its request, immediately requested that Motorola begin its A-GPS iDEN development efforts.

² *Nextel Communications, Inc. and Nextel Partners, Inc. Joint Report on Phase II Location Technology Implementation and Request for Waiver*, filed November 9, 2000 in CC Docket No. 94-102 (hereinafter "November 9 Waiver"). The location capability Nextel will deploy by October 1, 2002 is Nextel's permanent Phase II E911 solution and, based on Nextel's technology trials, will meet the Commission's accuracy requirements. As explained in the waiver proceeding, Nextel had no location technology alternative to deploy as an interim solution. Therefore, Public Safety Answering Points ("PSAPs") will not have to accommodate changing technological solutions from Nextel.

Unlike other wireless technologies and air interfaces, iDEN handsets and infrastructure, which are Motorola proprietary technologies, had no readily available A-GPS capability for Phase II E911 location services. Thus, Motorola had to design, develop and integrate, from “scratch,” the A-GPS capability in the iDEN handsets and infrastructure.³ The first stage of development required the addition of the A-GPS circuitry and antenna to the existing iDEN “baseband” radio since no chipset was (or is) available that permits integration of the A-GPS capability into the baseband of the handset.⁴ By January 2001 – ten months before the Commission acted on Nextel’s waiver request – Motorola had successfully added the A-GPS capability onto the baseband of an existing Nextel handset platform. By March 2001, the A-GPS capability had been developed in a prototype iDEN handset.⁵ By June 2001, Nextel and Motorola conducted a field test of the A-GPS capability in the prototype, using a sub-set of the test locations in the Washington D.C. area where Nextel conducted its Second Quarter 2000

³ Nextel chose this location technology, as it explained in the waiver proceeding, because it was the only technology (“available” or “unavailable”) that met the Commission’s location accuracy requirements in an iDEN application. Thus, to comply with the accuracy requirements and better assist public safety agencies in locating emergency callers on Nextel’s system, Nextel asked its vendor to undertake this A-GPS development effort.

⁴ The availability of a new chipset is critical to Nextel’s ability to deploy the A-GPS capability across its handset lines. Adding the A-GPS capability to the existing handset platforms, as Nextel and Motorola are doing to meet the October 1, 2002 deadline, significantly impacts the form factor (i.e., size) and cost of the handset. Once the new chipsets are available – scheduled for Fourth Quarter 2003 – Motorola will have the capability to include the A-GPS capability in all of Nextel’s handset models without substantial impact on form factor or cost. Because the location capabilities will then be integrated into the circuitry of the phone, rather than being an “add-on” to the existing structure and platform, it will be available in all of Nextel’s handsets at a competitive cost, thereby enabling Nextel to achieve the higher A-GPS handset penetration rates.

⁵ Nextel and Motorola have shown this prototype handset, including the specific GPS circuitry and antenna, to members of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, thus providing a better understanding of the progress as well as the distinction between adding the capability now, prior to the chipset availability, and integrating the capability into the circuitry of the handset platform.

field trial of the varying location technologies, thus providing a near apples-to-apples comparison to the other technologies it previously tested.⁶

The express purpose of this field test was to evaluate progress to date on the prototype's ability to accurately obtain GPS location data in varying radio frequency (RF) environments. However, because the Assisted-GPS solution requires that the network transmit assist data to the handset as part of the location determination process -- and that network assist capability was not ready for testing -- Nextel and Motorola were able to test only the GPS location capabilities in the handset, without the network's assistance. As a result, the time to first fix and indoor sensitivity, the two parameters most greatly affected by the availability of assist data, were not measured.⁷

The June 2001 test results demonstrated that the A-GPS prototype iDEN handset performed well within the Commission's accuracy requirements in nearly every geographic environment. The GPS capability in the prototype handset located the "caller" within 50 meters in excess of 67% of the time in every environment except dense urban and indoor locations. As noted above, this performance was achieved without the network assist portion of the A-GPS location technology. Nextel and Motorola are confident that, with the network assist in place, the A-GPS location capabilities in the iDEN handset will be further enhanced in all environments.

⁶ Nextel has described its Second Quarter 2000 technology trials in great detail. *See, e.g.*, Response of Nextel Communications, Inc. and Nextel Partners, Inc. To Order Of The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, CC Docket No. 94-102, filed May 21, 2001; Reply Comments of Nextel Communications, Inc. to Further Comments of NENA, APCO and NASNA, CC Docket No. 94-102, filed July 30, 2001.

⁷ Additionally, because Nextel and Motorola were testing a prototype handset, no actual "phone calls" were placed. The phone was instructed by a laptop computer, to which it was tethered, to determine its location using the GPS antenna and circuitry in the prototype. For purposes of testing the prototype handset in a "real world situation," however, the prototype was held to the ear while the GPS information was being gathered by the handset.

Since last June, Motorola and Nextel have engaged in ongoing testing of the prototype handset in Motorola's labs in Florida, using an A-GPS updated Enhanced Base Transceiver System ("EBTS") and Base Station Controller ("BSC"), as well as a Global Locate server, to replicate an A-GPS-ready iDEN network in the lab, as well as in additional field trials. Just last month, Motorola personnel conducted a field trial of the prototype handset (using the form factor that will be used for Nextel's October 1, 2002 A-GPS handset release) and alternative A-GPS antennae to compare and contrast the effectiveness of each antenna type. The test was conducted in the Washington, D.C. area using test points that Nextel used in its Second Quarter 2000 technology field trial, again providing an apples-to-apples comparison. The January 2002 test results, which tested Motorola's latest iteration of the A-GPS handset form factor and A-GPS software, showed improvement in the overall performance of the prototype iDEN A-GPS capable handset. Like the June 2001 field trial, described above, this January trial did not include network assist data because the A-GPS network infrastructure upgrades are not yet available in the iDEN infrastructure.

As Nextel has explained to the Commission previously, achieving the October 1, 2002 deadline requires significant time, resources, effort and cooperation among Nextel and Motorola, as well as with Nextel's Mobile Switching Center ("MSC") vendor, Nortel. Nonetheless, Nextel is committed to achieving the October 1, 2002 deadline, and will continue to work closely with Motorola to meet the milestones in the agreed-upon schedule, e.g., Nortel's software upgrade for Nextel's MSCs, Motorola's delivery of BETA handsets for "First Office Application" testing and other follow-up testing, delivery of Serving Mobile Location Center ("SMLC") and Gateway Mobile Location

Center (“GMLC”) Phase II network infrastructure from Nortel (without which Nextel cannot test the “assist” network functionality of the Assisted-GPS technology), and integration of these network and handset components for full end-to-end testing in a timeframe sufficient to deploy the trunking and other interfacing necessary to deliver Phase II information to Phase II-ready PSAPs.

Because Nextel’s initial launch date is October 1, 2002, Nextel has not yet reached any of the benchmark dates in its Commission-approved implementation plan and therefore has no information to report at this time. Additionally, Nextel has no “information on [] current handset models being activated or sold” or “important events affecting location-capable handset penetration levels, such as the introduction of new handset models,”⁸ since no iDEN A-GPS handset will be available before October 1, 2002. However, Nextel is pleased to report that it has finalized its decision regarding which iDEN handset model Motorola will use to launch the A-GPS capability on October 1, 2002. Additionally, Nextel has completed its research and analysis to determine which additional iDEN handset has sufficient memory and an adequate form factor for incorporating the A-GPS capability in early 2003 to reach the Commission’s 10% benchmark requirement.

With respect to the Commission’s requirement that Nextel provide “information on all pending Phase I and Phase II requests,”⁹ Nextel has attached exhibits listing all pending requests and their current status. While Nextel has fully deployed Phase I E911 service in over 400 PSAP areas, Exhibit A addresses Nextel’s ongoing Phase I

⁸ See Nextel Waiver Order at para. 32.

⁹ *Id.*

deployment efforts, providing a list of every pending Phase I request, the name of the PSAP, the date of the request, whether or not the request is valid, its status, an explanation of the delay if the request is older than six months, and an anticipated Phase I launch date.

With regard to Exhibit A, Nextel reiterates herein that deployment of Phase I E911 has been complicated by a number of factors – many of which are outside Nextel's control. As Nextel outlined in its May 18, 2001 letter to the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau,¹⁰ there are essentially five stages of Phase I deployment, and issues that arise in any of these areas can cause delay in the deployment effort. The five stages are:

- (1) Data Collection – Nextel collects from the PSAP and Local Exchange Carrier (“LEC”) the information necessary to understand the equipment used by the PSAP and LEC, the capacity of the particular 911 system, and the location of certain equipment (e.g., Selective Routers, dispatch centers), among other things.
- (2) Network Recommendation – Based on the data collected, Nextel determines how it will route calls to the Selective Router(s), e.g., how many trunks will be needed based on the number of Selective Routers, which Mobile Switching Center (“MSC” or “switch”) will be routed to each Selective Router and the trunking capacity needed for each Selective Router based on load analyses.
- (3) Routing Decisions/Awaiting Trunk Orders – Using the information collected in the first two phases, Nextel places trunk orders with the LEC. Trunk delivery typically requires 30 to 60, and sometimes 90, days. Once delivered, the trunks are tested. If for any reason the trunks fail the testing process, Nextel is typically required by the LEC to start over – adding an additional 30, 60 or 90 days to the trunk deployment process.

During this time, Nextel and the affected PSAP(s) map out the routing of all 911 calls in the area, ensuring that every 911 call from every Nextel cell site or cell sector is transmitted to a predetermined PSAP. Where multiple PSAPs are involved, or in areas on the border of adjacent PSAPs, this process often requires substantial time, cooperation and joint efforts by all parties.

¹⁰ Letter to Kris Monteith, Chief, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, from Lawrence R. Krevor, Vice President-Government Affairs, May 18, 2001.

- (4) ALI Database Load – Nextel assigns each cell site (or cell sector) a pseudo ANI (“P-ANI”) (a ten-digit telephone number that identifies that particular cell site or sector), and then loads that information into the LEC’s ALI database. This, too, requires input and cooperation from both the LEC and PSAP to ensure the information is loaded correctly to display on the PSAP’s computer terminals in the requested format.
- (5) Carrier Test – This is the final stage of Phase I deployment, ensuring that the 20 digits are transmitted to the correct PSAP and displayed appropriately on the PSAP dispatcher’s screen. Testing must be conducted in coordination with each PSAP to avoid burdening the PSAP during busy times and to preclude inadvertent false calls.

In Exhibit A, some of the listed Phase I requests are more than six months old.

For each of those requests, Nextel includes an explanation of the delay based on the five stages listed above. Some delays, such as those in the State of Illinois, are the result of technical issues related to incompatible carrier/PSAP/LEC technologies. In many areas of Illinois, the LEC was unable – until October of 2001 – to support a Call-path Associated Signaling (“CAS”) or Hybrid CAS (“HCAS”) solution. Because Nextel’s network can only support CAS or HCAS Phase I signaling, Nextel could not timely deploy Phase I until the LEC resolved this technical hurdle. Since the LEC resolved the HCAS issue in October, Nextel has made significant Phase I deployment progress in those areas of Illinois.

Exhibit B provides a listing of every Phase II request Nextel has received to date, the name of the PSAP, the date of the request, the date of Nextel’s response,¹¹ which explained to the PSAP Nextel’s waiver and Phase II implementation plan, and whether the PSAP’s Phase II request is valid. Because Nextel continues to focus its efforts on continued deployment of Phase I E911 and the timely development of its A-GPS iDEN

¹¹ In some cases, Nextel has responded twice to the PSAP’s Phase II request. This reflects a response provided prior to the Commission’s decision to grant Nextel’s waiver request and a follow-up response notifying the PSAP that the waiver was granted.

capability, Nextel has not yet begun determining the validity of each PSAP's Phase II request. Nextel has requested that each of these PSAPs provide it the documentation required in the *Richardson Order* for determining the request's validity,¹² but to date only a very few have even attempted to fulfill the *Richardson Order's* validation requirements.¹³ Once Nextel determines the validity of these requests, Nextel can begin prioritizing them for deployment by October 1, 2002 or, if after that date, within six months after receiving a valid request.

CONCLUSION

As required in the Nextel Waiver Order,¹⁴ Nextel is providing this Quarterly Report to the Executive Directors and counsel of the Association of Public Safety Communications Officials-International, Inc. ("APCO"), the National Emergency Number Association ("NENA") and the National Association of State Nine One One Administrators ("NASNA"). Should any of these organizations or their individual PSAP members have questions or concerns about Nextel's submission, Nextel encourages them

¹² *In the Matter of Revision of the Commission's Rules To Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, Petition of City of Richardson*, Order, CC Docket No. 94-102, FCC 01-293, released October 17, 2001 at paras. 14-16 ("*Richardson Order*").

¹³ For example, rather than establishing that it had fulfilled the *Richardson Order's* requirements, one state communications department informed Nextel that upgrades to the Automatic Location Information ("ALI") database – which are addressed in the *Richardson Order* – are not the responsibility of any PSAP in its state. Therefore, the *Richardson Order* does not apply to its PSAPs, and whether or not the ALI database is Phase II-ready is irrelevant to those PSAPs' readiness for Phase II. As Nextel stated in its Comments on Sprint's Petition for Reconsideration of the *Richardson Order*, the ALI database is a linchpin in the Phase II deployment process. If it has not been upgraded to accept from the carrier and transmit to the PSAP latitude and longitude information, any PSAP relying on that ALI database will not receive Phase II information. Comments of Nextel, filed January 18, 2002 in CC Docket No. 94-102.

¹⁴ Nextel Waiver Order at para. 32.

to contact the signatories below as soon as possible to ensure rapid and efficient deployment of Nextel's Phase I and Phase II E911 services.

Respectfully submitted,
Nextel Communications, Inc.

By: 
Robert S. Foosner
Senior Vice President – Government Affairs

Lawrence R. Krevor
Vice President – Government Affairs

Laura L. Holloway
Senior Director – Government Affairs

James B. Goldstein
Senior Attorney – Government Affairs

2001 Edmund Halley Drive
Reston, VA 20191
(703) 433-4141

February 1, 2002

Nextel
E911 Phase 1 Active Deployments
Status

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
AL	Jackson	Jackson County 911	10/08/2001	Yes	Call Routing		Feb-02
AL	Marshall	Marshall County	10/26/2001	Yes	Call Routing		Jan-02
Total AL Requests		2					
AR	Craighead	Craighead County	07/04/2001	Yes	Loading ALI; trunk	Delay getting log-ons from SBC for database	Mar-02
AR	Crittenden	Crittenden County Sheriff's Department	11/03/2000	Yes	Loading ALI	PSAP not originally technically capable; Delay getting log-ons from SBC for database	Mar-02
AR	St Francis	St. Francis County 911	01/02/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
Total AR Requests		3					
CA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	03/30/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	State working technical issues	Mar 02
Total CA Requests		1					
CO	Arapahoe	Arapahoe County Sheriffs Department	09/07/1998	Yes	Mapping/ routing	Funding delays, Cell site addressing issues, delays in LEC WID testing	Mar-02
CO	Aspen-Pitkin	Aspen-Pitkin County Communications	01/01/1998	Yes	Mapping/ routing	Funding delays, Cell site addressing issues, delays in LEC WID testing	Mar-02
CO	Denver	City of Denver	06/23/1997	Yes	Mapping/ routing	Funding delays, Cell site addressing issues, delays in LEC WID testing	Feb-02
CO	Montrose	Montrose County	01/15/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
Total CO Requests		4					
DE	Delaware	State of Delaware	10/11/2001	Yes	Data collection		Apr-02
DE Requests		1					
FL	Brevard	Brevard County Fire-Rescue-EMS	02/07/1999	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Technical issues with PSAP	Mar-02
FL	Charlotte	Charlotte County	12/06/2001	Yes	Trunks ordered		Apr-02
FL	Citrus	Citrus County Sheriff's Office	01/11/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
FL	Hendry	Clewiston Police Department	05/16/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP delay with providing information	Mar-02
FL	Hillsborough	Hillsborough County Sheriff	09/27/2000	Yes	Carrier to test	11/01: Trunk Issues with Verizon, Lost Paperwork, Lack of Support Verizon unresponsive in fixing technical issue 1/15/02: Verizon responds, testing to resume on trunks	Feb-02
FL	Lake	Lake County Sheriffs Office	08/23/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Technical issues with Sprint on routing	Feb-02
FL	Marion	Marion County Sheriffs Department	03/06/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Routing at PSAP	Feb-02
FL	Osceola	Osceola County Sheriffs Office	09/15/2000	No	On-hold	PSAP not 20-digit capable	TBD
FL	Pasco	Pasco County Fire and Rescue	10/02/2000	Yes	Carrier to test	11/01: Trunk Issues with Verizon, Lost Paperwork, Lack of Support Verizon unresponsive in fixing technical issue 1/15/02: Verizon responds, testing to resume on trunks	Feb-02
FL	Pinellas	Pinellas County Emergency Communications-9-1-1	06/28/2000	Yes	Carrier to test	11/01: Trunk Issues with Verizon, Lost Paperwork, Lack of Support Verizon unresponsive in fixing technical issue 1/15/02: Verizon responds, testing to resume on trunks	Feb-02

EXHIBIT A

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
FL	Polk	Polk County 9-1-1-Sheriffs Department	02/04/2000	Yes	Carrier to test	11/01: Trunk Issues with Verizon, Lost Paperwork, Lack of Support Verizon unresponsive in fixing technical issue 1/15/02: Verizon responds, testing to resume on trunks; Verizon new NPA assignment	Feb-02
FL	St Johns	St Johns County Sheriffs Office	07/05/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Mapping delays from PSAP; Validation sheet from Intrado to load database	Feb-02
FL	Sumter	Sumter County 9-1-1	04/12/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Data Collection took 4 months to complete	Feb-02
Total FL Requests		12					
GA	Henry	Henry County	01/17/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Delays in obtaining data information	Mar-02
GA	Catoosa	Catoosa County	01/20/1999	Yes	Validation/Loading ALI database	PSAP originally not ready until recently	Feb-02
GA	Riverdale	City of Riverdale	07/04/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Delays in obtaining data information	Mar-02
GA	Whitfield	Whitfield County E9-1-1	10/08/1999	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP originally not ready until recently	Feb-02
Total GA Requests		4					
IL	Bureau	Bureau County Sheriffs Department	05/30/2000	No	Waiting trunk order	Small LEC that is not 20 digit capable	Feb 2002
IL	Cook	Alsip	11/15/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Bedford PD	06/15/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Blue Island Emergency Telephone System	09/20/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Chicago	01/27/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01; LEC/PSAP will not move forward without contract, and negotiations are ongoing.	Mar-02
IL	Cook	City of Countryside	03/22/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	City of Hometown	12/20/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Country Club Hills PD	11/27/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Evanston PD	11/28/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Glenwood	11/29/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Kenilworth	04/02/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Lansing PD	11/27/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Lincolnwood ETSB	10/30/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Northfield	10/31/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Oak Lawn Emergency Comm	01/10/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Orland Park	09/22/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	South Com (Olympia Fields, Matteson & Richton Park)	09/14/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	South Holland PD	11/28/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Southwest Central 911 System	10/21/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Vernon Hills PD	10/30/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02

EXHIBIT A

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
IL	Cook	Village of Evergreen Park	11/09/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Village of Flossmoor	11/21/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Village of Hazel Crest	11/28/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Village of Homewood	11/14/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Village of LaGrange	11/02/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Village of Lincolnshire	03/23/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Village of Lynwood & Thornton	11/17/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Village of McCook	01/10/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Village of Midlothian	11/15/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Village of Morton Grove	01/09/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Village of Niles	04/18/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Cook	Village of Oak Park	10/19/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Village of River Forest	01/03/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Village of Riverdale	10/16/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Cook	Village of Westchester	12/11/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Cook	Village of Willow Springs PD	02/06/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	DuPage	DuPage	08/15/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Hickory Hills	Hickory Hills Police Department	10/28/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Kankakee	Kankakee	07/28/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Lake	CENCOM - Roundlake Beach	05/31/2000	Yes	ALI load in progress	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Lake	City of Waukegan	06/19/2000	Yes	ALI load in progress	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Lake	Deerfield PD	03/06/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Lake	Fox Lake	06/14/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Lake	Village of Winthrop Harbor	03/14/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Lake	Waukegan Police Department	06/19/2000	Yes	ALI load in progress	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IL	Madison	Madison County ETBS	12/18/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IL	Monroe	Monroe County Emergency Telephone System Board	04/25/2001	Yes	ALI load in progress	Delay in LEC loading database	Apr-02
IL	Randolph	Randolph County Sheriff Department	03/06/2001	Yes	Data collection	Contract issue with LEC/New procedures developed by LEC	Apr-02
IL	Will	Bolingbrook PD	11/17/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02

EXHIBIT A

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
IL	Will	Will County 911 Emergency Telephone System	07/06/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Wilmette	Wilmette PD	10/30/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IL	Winnebago	Winnebago	06/13/2000	No	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP not 20 digit capable	TBD
Total IL Requests		50					
IN	Adams	Adams County Sheriff Office	01/14/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
IN	Dearborn	Dearborn County Communications	08/04/1998	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Originally no sites in county; technical issues with LEC	Apr-02
IN	Delaware	Delaware County 911	06/21/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IN	Fulton	Fulton	08/29/2000	Yes	ALI load in progress	LEC delays providing DS3 trunks	Mar-02
IN	Grant	Grant	01/10/2001	Yes	ALI load in progress	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IN	Hancock	Hancock	02/16/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
IN	Hendricks	Hendricks County Sheriff's Department	06/18/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
IN	Henry	Henry County Sheriff's Department	01/03/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
IN	Huntington	Huntington	01/23/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IN	Jasper	Jasper	06/26/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	LEC delays providing DS3 trunks + problems with ordering with 2 different LECs	Mar-02
IN	Johnson	Johnson County Sheriff	01/02/2002	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Jul-02
IN	LaGrange	LaGrange	01/18/2001	Yes	ALI load in progress	Working ALI load issues with LEC	Mar-02
IN	Marshall	Marshall County Sheriff	04/06/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IN	Monroe	Monroe County Central Emergency Dispatch	09/14/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
IN	Morgan	Morgan County Sheriff's Department	12/27/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Jun-02
IN	Newton	Newton County Police Department	01/14/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
IN	Porter	Porter	09/14/1998	Yes	ALI load in progress	6mo delay due to LEC facilities availability; LEC technical issue and Carrier trunking issues	Feb-02
IN	Ripley	Ripley County Communications	04/11/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	6mo delay due to LEC facilities availability; LEC	Apr-02
IN	Shelby	Shelby County Sheriff's Department	12/28/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Jun-02
IN	Union	Union County	07/01/1998	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	6mo delay due to LEC facilities availability; LEC technical issue and Carrier trunking issues	Apr-02
IN	Wabash	Wabash County	01/17/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
IN	Wayne	Wayne County	09/10/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order		Mar-02
IN	White	White	06/26/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	LEC delays providing DS3 trunks + problems with ordering with 2 different LECs	Mar-02
IN	Whitley	Whitley	01/12/2001	Yes	Data collection	LEC delays providing DS3 trunks + problems with ordering with 2 different LECs	Apr-02
Total IN Requests		24					
KS	Johnson	MARC- City of Prairie Village	07/30/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	LEC issues for ordering trunks & Delay in PSAP approving call routing	Feb-02
KS	Leavenworth	MARC- Leavenworth County	07/30/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	LEC issues for ordering trunks & Delay in PSAP approving call routing	Feb-02
KS	Riley	Riley County Emergency Management	10/01/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order		Mar-02
KS	Sedgwick	Sedgwick	07/25/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP CPE issue recently resolved	May-02

EXHIBIT A

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
KS	Wyandotte	MARC - Wyandotte County	07/30/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	LEC issues for ordering trunks & Delay in PSAP approving call routing	Feb-02
Total KS Requests		5					
KY	Boone	Boone County Communication Center	08/06/1997	Yes	Data collection	Initially, PSAP was not technically ready; currently, Nextel's Remote Switching Office (RSO) has exhausted its facilities and we are transferring all trunking and cell sites to a new switch resulting in additional complications in Phase I deployment	Jun-02
KY	Campbell	Campbell County Police	01/01/1998	Yes	Data collection	Initially, PSAP was not technically ready; currently, Nextel's Remote Switching Office (RSO) has exhausted its facilities and we are transferring all trunking and cell sites to a new switch resulting in additional complications in Phase I deployment	Jun-02
KY	Grant	Grant County Public Safety Communications Center	01/01/1998	Yes	Data collection	Initially, PSAP was not technically ready; currently, Nextel's Remote Switching Office (RSO) has exhausted its facilities and we are transferring all trunking and cell sites to a new switch resulting in additional complications in Phase I deployment	Jun-02
KY	Kenton	Covington Police Department	01/01/1998	Yes	Data collection	Initially, PSAP was not technically ready; currently, Nextel's Remote Switching Office (RSO) has exhausted its facilities and we are transferring all trunking and cell sites to a new switch resulting in additional complications in Phase I deployment	Jun-02
Total KY Requests		4					
LA	Ascension	Ascension Parish Communications District	05/09/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	3mo delay for data collection from PSAP; 3mo delay PSAP boundary maps	Mar-02
LA	Orleans	Orleans Parish Communication District	05/22/2000	Yes	Routing/trunks ordered	Numerous delays from PSAP providing call routing, ALI database validation	Mar-02
LA	St. Bernard	St. Bernard Parish Communications District	08/02/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order		Feb-02
LA	St. John the Baptist Parish	St. John Parish Sheriffs Office	01/04/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	4mo delay call routing and 5mo data collection with PSAP	Mar-02
LA	St. Mary	Chitimacha Tribal Police Department	05/22/2000	Yes	Carrier to test	7mo delays in call routing	Mar-02
LA	St. Tammany	St Tammany Parish Communication District	02/13/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Numerous delays from PSAP providing call routing, ALI database validation	Mar-02
LA	Washington	Washington Parish	03/31/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	6mo delay for data collection from PSAP; 1mo delay PSAP boundary maps	Mar-02
Total LA Requests		7					
MA	Massachusetts	Massachusetts Statewide Emergency Telecom Board	10/01/2001	Yes	Data Collection		Apr-02
Total MA Requests		1					
MD	Anne Arundel	Anne Arundel County Police Department	12/09/1999	No	PSAP ordering trunks	PSAP not able to handle 20-digits	TBD
Total MD Requests		0					
MI	Allegan	Allegan County Central Dispatch	06/06/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Antrim	Antrim	10/04/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Routing at PSAP	Mar-02
MI	Arenac	Arenac	12/01/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Barry	Barry County Central Dispatch	07/05/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Benzie	Benzie County Sheriffs Department	06/27/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Berrien	Berrien	09/11/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Calhoun	Calhoun County Sheriff	01/23/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Charlevoix-Cheboygan-Emmet	CCE Central Dispatch Authority	08/02/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02

EXHIBIT A

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
MI	City of Flint	City of Flint	12/06/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Crawford	Crawford	02/23/2001	Yes	Data collection	Working data issues	Apr-02
MI	East Lansing (Ingham)	Meridian Township Police	04/13/2001	Yes	Data collection	Need boundary verification from PSAP	Apr-02
MI	East Lansing (Ingham)	Michigan State University Police Department	04/13/2001	Yes	Data collection	Need boundary verification from PSAP	Apr-02
MI	East Lansing (Ingham)	East Lansing Police Department	04/13/2001	Yes	Data collection	Need boundary verification from PSAP	Apr-02
MI	Eaton	Eaton County Central Dispatch	07/10/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Genesee	Genesee County Communications Center	07/11/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Gladwin	Gladwin County E911	10/25/2000	Yes	ALI load in progress	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Grand Rapids	Grand Rapids Police Department	04/24/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Mar-02
MI	Grand Traverse	Grand Traverse County 911 Central Dispatch	06/30/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Greenville	Greenville	12/11/2000	Yes	Data collection	Working data issues	Apr-02
MI	Hillsdale	Hillsdale County Central Dispatch	07/12/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Huron	Huron County Central Dispatch	07/06/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Ingham	Ingham County 911	04/26/2001	Yes	Data collection	Need boundary verification from PSAP	Apr-02
MI	Ionia	Ionia County Central Dispatch	07/06/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Trunk Orders	Feb-02
MI	Kalamazoo	Kalamazoo County Sheriff Department	03/29/2001	Yes	Data collection	Need boundary verification from PSAP	Apr-02
MI	Kalkaska	Kalkaska County Sheriff	04/12/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Lapeer	Lapeer County 911	07/12/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Leelanau	Leelanau	12/04/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Lenawee	Lenawee	11/14/2000	Yes	ALI load in progress	Working ALI load issues with LEC	Feb-02
MI	Livingston	Livingston	11/17/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Macomb	Macomb County Sheriff	04/18/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Manistee	Manistee County 911	07/24/2000	Yes	Data collection	Working data issues	Apr-02
MI	Meceola	Meceola Central Dispatch	06/26/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Monroe	Monroe County Central Dispatch	07/11/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Muskegon	Muskegon	05/20/2000	Yes	Data collection	Working data issues	Apr-02
MI	Newaygo	Newaygo County 911	04/04/2001	Yes	Data collection	Working data issues	Apr-02
MI	Oakland	Oakland	07/20/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Ogemaw	Ogemaw County Sheriff	03/29/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Ottawa	Ottawa County Central Dispatch Authority	08/01/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Roscommon	Roscommon County Central Dispatch	07/18/2000	Yes	Data collection	Working data issues	Apr-02
MI	Saginaw	Saginaw County 911 Communications Center	07/13/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Sanilac	Sanilac	02/12/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	St. Clair	St. Claire County Sheriff Department	03/06/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Feb-02
MI	Washtenaw	Washtenaw Central Dispatch Authority	07/14/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Wayne	Conference of Eastern Wayne	04/10/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Wayne	Conference of Western Wayne	09/11/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Wayne	Detroit (City of)	03/16/2001	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
MI	Wexford	Wexford County Sheriff Department	07/13/2000	Yes	Data collection	No LEC HCAS solution avail until 4Q01	Apr-02
Total MI Requests		47					
MO	Cooper	Cooper	10/29/2000	Yes	ALI load in progress	Working ALI load issues with LEC	Jan-02
MO	Greene	Greene	01/31/2000	Yes	Pending Test	LEC changes to ALI database and pANI procedures ALI load issues with LEC	Feb-02
MO	Jasper	Jasper	10/30/2000	Yes	Pending Test	LEC changes to ALI database and pANI procedures ALI load issues with LEC	Feb-02
MO	MARC	MARC - Kansas City, City of Independence, City of Blue Springs, City of Pleasant Valley and City of Liberty	07/30/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	LEC issues for ordering trunks & Delay in PSAP approving call routing	Mar-02
MO	St Louis	St Louis	11/30/2000	Yes	ALI load in progress	5mo delay with LEC database issues	Feb-02
MO	Taney	Taney	10/30/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Delay due to LEC changes ALI database procedures; Routing at PSAP	Feb-02
MO	Warren	Warren County Emergency Services	07/19/2001	Yes	ALI load in progress	ALI load issues with LEC	Jan-02
Total MO Requests		7					
MS	Pike	McComb Police Department	12/11/2000	Yes	Data collection	PSAP originally not ready until recently	Apr-02
Total MS Requests		1					

EXHIBIT A

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
NC	Carteret	Carteret County	12/07/2001	Yes	Data collection		Jun-02
NC	Chatham	Chatham County Police Department	12/11/2001	Yes	Data collection		Jun-02
NC	Cleveland	City of Shelby	10/04/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Original request never received, delayed data collection and implementation	Apr-02
NC	Craven	Craven County	01/30/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
NC	Durham	Durham County	01/23/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
NC	Edgecombe	Edgecombe County 911	09/12/2001	Yes	Data collection		Mar-02
NC	Greene	Greene County Department of Emergency Services	01/28/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
NC	Halifax	Halifax County Communications	01/04/2001	Yes	Mapping	9mo to complete data collection now waiting for PSAP to return Map/routing	Mar-02
NC	Lancaster	Lancaster County E911	10/12/2001	Yes	Data Collection		TBD
NC	Moore	Moore County Department of Emergency Services	12/12/2001	Yes	Data collection		
NC	Nash	Nash County	09/13/2000	Yes	Testing	ALI database needs to be built by PSAP + testing problems	Jan-02
NC	Pitt	Pitt County Communications Department	12/11/2001	Yes	Data Collection		Feb-02
NC	Union	Monroe Public Safety Communications	08/25/2000	Yes	Carrier to test	6 mo delay from PSAP returning maps, routing and ALI database	Mar-02
Total NC Requests		13					
NJ	New Jersey	New Jersey	03/17/1998	Yes	Data collection	State Requirement for Contract + State delay in implementation ALI Database	Mar-02
Total NJ Requests		1					
NM	Dona Ana -Las Cruces	Mesilla Valley Regional Dispatch Authority	08/07/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Data issues	May-02
Total NM Requests		1					
NV	Washoe	Washoe	01/01/1998	No	Data collection	PSAP technical & funding issues	On hold
Total NV Requests							
NY		New York City	07/30/2001	Yes	Data collection	PSAP delays Sept 11	Apr-02
NY	Suffolk	Suffolk County Police Dept	03/07/2001	Yes	Data collection	PSAP delays Sept 11	Apr-02
Total NY Requests		2					
OH	Bulter	OKI - Bulter	08/06/1997	Yes	Data collection	No PSAP funding avail + Switch transition	Jun-02
OH	Cincinnati	Cincinnati	04/19/2001	Yes	Data collection	No PSAP funding avail + Switch transition	Jun-02
OH	Clermont	OKI-Clermont County Department of Public Safety	04/11/2000	Yes	Data collection	No PSAP funding avail + Switch transition	Jun-02
OH	Clinton	OKI-Clinton	08/06/1997	Yes	Data collection	No PSAP funding avail + Switch transition	Jun-02
OH	Delaware	Delaware County Emergency Services	07/12/2001	Yes	Data collection	No PSAP funding avail + Need boundary verification from PSAP	Jun-02
OH	Hamilton	Hamilton County 911	08/31/2000	Yes	Data collection	No PSAP funding avail + Switch transition	Jun-02
OH	Hocking	Hocking County Emergency	03/01/2001	Yes	Data collection	No PSAP funding avail + Need boundary verification from PSAP	Jun-02
OH	Warren	OKI - Warren	08/06/1997	No	Data collection	PSAP won't be ready until equipment is in place	On hold
Total OH Requests		8					
OR	Oregon	State of Oregon	09/24/2001	No	CPE issue	Oregon not HCAS capable	On hold
SC	Beaufort	Beaufort County E9-1-1	05/27/1998	Yes	CPE/SR issue	Stand alone ALI database technical issues	Feb-02
SC	Berkeley	Berkeley County	01/22/2002	Yes	Data collection		Jul-02
SC	Florence	Florence City Police	12/12/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Waiting for mapping/routing information from PSAP	Feb-02
SC	Laurens	Laurens County 911	11/16/2001	Yes	Data collection		May-02
SC	Orangeburg	Orangeburg County 911 Center	07/16/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Waiting for mapping/routing information from PSAP	Feb-02
Total SC Requests		5					
TN	Tennessee	Tennessee Emergency Comm Board	06/25/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Technical and ALI database issues	Feb-02
Total TN Requests		1					
TX	Austin	Austin County	08/02/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP was not willing to load ALI database until contract is finalized.	Feb-02

EXHIBIT A

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
TX	Dallas	City of Addison	03/02/2000	Yes	ALI loading	PSAP was not willing to load ALI database until contract is finalized.	Feb-02
TX	Dallas	City of Garland	07/23/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Numerous delays with PSAP to return routing information/ALI database	Feb-02
TX	Dallas	Dallas County Communications & Central Services	12/27/2001	Yes	Data collection		Jun-02
TX	El Paso	El Paso County	09/25/2000	Yes	Testing	Delay with NDA and Contract with PSAP	Feb-02
TX	Galveston	Galveston County	10/13/2000	Yes	ALI loading	Waiting for PSAP to return Map/routing information	Feb-02
Total TX Requests		6					
VA	Alexandria	City of Alexandria	03/23/1999	No	Technology issue	Technology issue - No HCAS solution avail	On hold
VA	Arlington	Arlington County Emergency Communications Center	03/23/1999	No	Technology issue	Technology issue - No HCAS solution avail	On hold
VA	City of Hopewell	City of Hopewell	07/26/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Carrier Switch Transition/rehoming	Mar-02
VA	City of Petersburg	City of Petersburg	10/10/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order		Apr-02
VA	Dinwiddie	Dinwiddie County	10/16/2001	No	Data collection	PSAP CPE	On hold
VA	Emporia	Emporia Police Department	09/17/2001	Yes	Data collection		Mar-02
VA	Fairfax	Fairfax County Police Department	03/23/1999	No	On-hold	Technology issue - No HCAS solution avail	On hold
VA	Gloucester	Gloucester County Dept of Information Technology	10/30/2001	No	CPE issues	On-hold	On hold
VA	Henrico	Henrico County Police Department	07/29/1999	Yes	Carrier to test	Carrier Switch Transition/rehoming; 4mo delay - E911 Freeze by State	Feb-02
VA	New Kent	New Kent Sheriff's Department	09/17/2001	Yes	Data collection		Mar-02
VA	Piedmont - Valley PSAP Managers	Piedmont - Valley PSAP Managers	02/13/2001	No	On hold	Technology issue - HCAS solution	On hold
VA	Powhatan	Powhatan County	07/13/1999	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Carrier Switch Transition/rehoming; Error in cell plot	Feb-02
VA	Prince George	Prince George County Police	12/10/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order		Jun-02
VA	Prince William	Prince William County Public Safety	03/23/1999	Yes	Carrier to test	Carrier Switch Transition/rehoming; PSAP delay with ALI database; Log on issues with LEC	Mar-02
VA	Richmond City	Richmond City	04/24/1999	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Carrier Switch Transition/rehoming	Feb-02
VA	South Hampton	City of Franklin Police Department	08/30/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Data issues	Feb-02
VA	Stafford	Stafford County Sheriff's Office	07/26/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Call routing and trunks delay	Feb-02
VA	Wight	Isle of Wight County	09/25/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order		Feb-02
VA	Williamsburg	City of Williamsburg	02/06/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	Carrier Switch Transition/rehoming ALI database need built by PSAP	Feb-02
Total VA Requests		16					
WA	Adams	Adams County Sheriff Office	08/17/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Clallam	Clallam County Sheriff's Department	10/08/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Clark	Clark	01/01/1998	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Cowlitz	Cowlitz County 911 Communications Center	05/10/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Grays Harbor	Grays Harbor	03/20/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Island	Island	04/11/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Jefferson	Jefferson	03/27/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Kitsap	Kitsap	01/01/1998	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD

EXHIBIT A

State	PSAP County	PSAP Name	Request For Service Date	Valid Request	Status	Comments for Delay	Projected Date for Phase I Deployment
WA	Kittitas	KITTCOM 911	12/22/2001	No	On hold	PSAP withdrawn request based on funding issues with LEC	TBD
WA	Lewis	Lewis	01/01/1998	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Mason	Mason	06/13/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Pacific	Pacific	02/23/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Pierce	Pierce	06/19/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	San Juan	San Juan County	08/17/2001	No	On hold	PSAP withdrawn request based on funding issues with LEC	TBD
WA	Skagit	Skagit	05/21/1998	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Snohomish	Snohomish	07/20/2001	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Spokane	Spokane	04/19/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Thurston	Thurston	03/22/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
WA	Yakima	Yakima	02/22/2000	Yes	Routing/Waiting trunk order	PSAP & LEC Contract issues; PSAP delay until contract issues resolved with LEC	TBD
Total WA Requests		17					

Total PSAP Requests Pending 243

NEXTEL PHASE II
REQUEST STATUS

EXHIBIT B

STATE	CITY	PSAP	REQUEST DATE	DATE OF NEXTEL RESPONSE	VALID PSAP REQUEST
AL	Huntsville	Huntsville - Madison County	06/01/2001	06/26/2001	TBD
AL	Cullman	Cullman County	06/07/2001	07/03/2001	TBD
AL	Irondale	City of Irondale	11/16/2001	12/18/2001	TBD
AL	Athens	Limestone County	05/22/2001	07/03/2001	TBD
CA	San Francisco	City & County of San Francisco	07/31/2001	08/21/2001	TBD
CO	Fort Collins	Larimer County	05/08/2001	05/29/2001	TBD
CO	Colorado Springs	El Paso - Teller County	04/05/2001	04/19/2001	TBD
CO	Boulder	Arapahoe County	10/11/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
CT	Middletown	State of Connecticut	07/19/2001	08/20/2001	TBD
FL	Yulee	Nassau County	04/05/2001	05/07/2001	TBD
FL	Winter Park	Orange County	01/12/2001	07/05/2001	TBD
FL	West Palm Beach	Palm Beach County	04/06/2001	04/17/2001	TBD

NEXTEL PHASE II
REQUEST STATUS

EXHIBIT B

STATE	CITY	PSAP	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF NEXTEL RESPONSE	VALID PSAP REQUEST
FL	Ocala	Marion County FI	06/15/2001	06/26/2001	TBD
FL	Fort Myers	Lee County	09/28/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
FL	Daytona Beach	Volusia County	06/20/2001	07/03/2001	TBD
FL	Pinellas	Pinellas County	01/22/2002	01/28/2002	TBD
FL	Bushnell	Sumter County	01/09/2002	01/28/2002	TBD
FL	Sanford	Seminole County	12/05/2001	01/11/2002	TBD
FL	Viera	Brevard County	10/08/2001	01/11/2002	TBD
GA	Morrow	City of Morrow	09/21/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
GA	Conyers	Rockdale County	04/04/2001	04/19/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
GA	Conyers	City of Conyers	07/20/2001	08/20/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
IL	Belleville	St. Clair, County	03/06/2001	04/17/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
IL	Greenville	Bond County	12/10/2001	01/11/2002	TBD
IN	Wabash	Wabash County	08/12/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
IN	Madison	Jefferson County	04/20/2001	07/03/2001	TBD
IN	Brookville	Franklin County	04/13/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
IN	Angola	Steuben County	10/23/2000	05/07/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD

NEXTEL PHASE II
REQUEST STATUS

EXHIBIT B

STATE	CITY	PSAP	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF NEXTEL RESPONSE	VALID PSAP REQUEST
KY	Louisville	Louisville/Jeffers on County	11/06/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
LA	Slidell	St. Tammany Parish	04/04/2001	04/19/2001	TBD
LA	Lafayette	Lafayette	05/17/2001	05/29/2001	TBD
MD	Annapolis	Anne Arundel County	04/06/2001	04/17/2001	TBD
MN	St. Louis Park	City of St. Louis Park	04/06/2001	04/19/2001	TBD
MN	Saint Paul	State of Minnesota	04/06/2001; 06/25/2001	04/17/01; 07/03/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
MO	Kansas City	Counties of Kansas & Missouri	11/19/2001	12/18/2001	TBD
MO	Warrenton	Warren County	07/19/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
MO	Ozark	Christian County	10/16/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
MO	Carthage	Jasper County	04/04/2001	04/17/2001	TBD
MS	Pascagoula	Jackson County	04/04/2001	05/29/2001	TBD

NEXTEL PHASE II
REQUEST STATUS

EXHIBIT B

STATE	CITY	PSAP	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF NEXTEL RESPONSE	VALID PSAP REQUEST
NC	Smithfield	Johnston County	08/03/2001	08/20/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
NC	Salisbury	Rowan County	08/16/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
NC	Edenton	Chowan County	08/16/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
NC	Dobson	Surrey County	02/07/2001	12/18/2001	TBD
NC	Asheboro	Randolph County	08/11/2001	12/18/2001	TBD
NC	Boone	Watauga County	10/30/2001	12/18/2001	TBD
NJ	Trenton	State of New Jersey	03/07/2001	04/17/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
NV	Henderson	City of Henderson	04/11/2001	05/07/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
NY	Spyracuse	Onondaga County	04/03/2001	04/17/2001; 11/19/01	TBD
NY	Cortland	Cortland County	06/07/2001	07/03/2001	TBD
OH	Troy	Miami County	07/23/2001	08/20/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
OH	Delaware	Delaware County	07/12/2001	08/20/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
OH	Cincinnati	County of Hamilton	04/03/2001	04/17/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD

NEXTEL PHASE II
REQUEST STATUS

EXHIBIT B

STATE	CITY	PSAP	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF NEXTEL RESPONSE	VALID PSAP REQUEST
OH	Cincinnati	Cincinnati	04/19/2001	01/11/2002	TBD
PA	Media	Delaware County	05/11/2001	05/29/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
RI	North Providence	State of Rhode Island	05/02/2001	06/26/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
SC	Greenville	Greenville County	11/14/2001	12/18/2001	TBD
SC	Spartanburg	Spartanburg County	03/26/2001	04/17/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
TX	Texas City	Galveston County	07/23/2001; 10/15/2001	08/20/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
TX	San Antonio	Bexar Metro	04/20/2001	05/07/2001; 11/19/2001; 01/28/2002	TBD
TX	Dallas	City of Dallas	01/12/2001	04/19/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
TX	Lewisville	Denco Area 9-1-1 District	04/04/2001; 05/18/2001	04/17/2001; 05/29/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
TX	Houston	Greater Harris County	02/28/2001	04/17/2001	TBD

NEXTEL PHASE II
REQUEST STATUS

EXHIBIT B

STATE	CITY	PSAP	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF NEXTEL RESPONSE	VALID PSAP REQUEST
TX	Richardson	City Of Richardson	03/20/2000	01/28/2002	TBD
TX	Fort Worth	Tarrant County	04/18/2001	05/07/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
UT	Murray	Salt Lake Valley	04/05/2001	04/17/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
VA	Yorktown	County of York	03/14/2001	04/17/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
VA	Williamsburg	James City County	04/04/2001	04/17/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
VA	Virginia Beach	City of Virginia Beach	03/13/2001	04/17/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
VA	Suffolk	City of Suffolk	03/26/2001	04/19/2001	TBD
VA	Richmond	County of Henrico	08/18/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
VA	Richmond	City of Richmond	09/06/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
VA	Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth	03/20/2001	04/19/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
VA	Norfolk	City of Norfolk	04/01/2001	04/17/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD

**NEXTEL PHASE II
REQUEST STATUS**

EXHIBIT B

STATE	CITY	PSAP	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF NEXTEL RESPONSE	VALID PSAP REQUEST
VA	Newport, News	City of Newport News	03/27/2001	05/07/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
VA	Hampton	City of Hampton	03/21/2001	04/17/2001; 11/16/2001	TBD
VA	Chesapeake	City of Chesapeake	03/28/2001	04/19/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
VA	Chesterfield	Chesterfield County	02/08/2001	01/11/2002	TBD
VA	Annandale	Fairfax County	07/12/2001	08/21/2001; 11/19/2001	TBD
WA	Port Angeles	Clallam County	10/08/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
WA	Ellensburg	Kittritas County	08/20/2001	11/16/2001	TBD
WA	Port Hadlock	Jefferson County	10/31/2001	12/18/2001	TBD
WA	Seattle	King County	10/24/2001	12/18/2001	TBD

AFFIDAVIT

I, Barry J West, an officer of Nextel Communications, Inc. ("Nextel"), declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.16 of the Federal Communications Commission's Rules that to the best of my knowledge and belief the foregoing is true and correct.



Barry J. West
Executive Vice President and Chief Technology Officer

Dated: 1/31/02

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rochelle L. Pearson, hereby certify that on this 1st day of February 2002, caused a copy of the attached Phase II E911 Quarterly Report of Nextel Communications, Inc. to be served by hand delivery to the following:

Tom Sugrue, Chief
Wireless Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Room 7-C485
Washington, DC 20054

David Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Room 7-C485
Washington, DC 20054


*John K. Ramsey
Executive Directory
APCO International
351 N. Williamson Boulevard
Daytona Beach, FL 32114-1112

*Mark Adams
Executive Director
National Emergency Number Association
P.O. Box 360960
Columbus, Ohio 43236

*Evelyn Bailey, President
NASNA
Vermont Enhanced 911 Board
94 State Street
Drawer 20
Montpelier, VT 05620-6501

*Robert M. Gurss
Counsel to APCO
Shook Hardy & Bacon, LLP
600 14th Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005

*James Hobson
Counsel to NENA
Miller & Van Eaton, PLLC
1155 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036


Rochelle L. Pearson

*Via First Class Mail