

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 28 2002

FCC - MAILROOM



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

February 11, 2002

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 00-2 /

Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in strong support of continuing the current prohibition on exclusive programming contracts contained in Section 628(c)(2)(D) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which will expire on October 5, 2002, unless the Commission finds that such prohibition continues to be necessary to preserve competition and diversity in the distribution of video programming.

At the Verona Apartments we continue to seek the best possible video services for our residents. Video service that is dependable and affordable is extremely important to our residents. To achieve top-flight service for our residents, we enter into agreements with a provider for a limited number of years and we demand that programming options keep pace with choices that are available elsewhere in the Dallas/Fort Worth area. It would be unthinkable if our current video provider was legally restricted from being able to obtain programming that our residents want - programming such as HBO, the History Channel, Disney, various sports channels, and a whole host of other programs. Recent mergers and consolidations in the video marketplace make us nervous that the ground could suddenly shift from under a given video provider's ability to obtain many of the popular channels. If our apartment community video provider loses the ability to bring in certain channels while the property a couple of blocks away is able to bring in those channels, then we will be faced with a lot of unhappy residents and our ability to attract and retain residents will suffer greatly.

Please continue the current ban on exclusive programming contracts.

Sincerely,
VILLA VERONA LTD.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Thomas E. Huth".

Thomas E. Huth

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 28 2002

FCC - MAILROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



February 11, 2002

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 00-2 /

Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in strong support of continuing the current prohibition on exclusive programming contracts contained in Section 628(c)(2)(D) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which will expire on October 5, 2002, unless the Commission finds that such prohibition continues to be necessary to preserve competition and diversity in the distribution of video programming.


At the Verona Apartments we continue to seek the best possible video services for our residents. Video service that is dependable and affordable is extremely important to our residents. To achieve top-flight service for our residents, we enter into agreements with a provider for a limited number of years and we demand that programming options keep pace with choices that are available elsewhere in the Dallas/Fort Worth area. It would be unthinkable if our current video provider was legally restricted from being able to obtain programming that our residents want - programming such as HBO, the History Channel, Disney, various sports channels, and a whole host of other programs. Recent mergers and consolidations in the video marketplace make us nervous that the ground could suddenly shift from under a given video provider's ability to obtain many of the popular channels. If our apartment community video provider loses the ability to bring in certain channels while the property a couple of blocks away is able to bring in those channels, then we will be faced with a lot of unhappy residents and our ability to attract and retain residents will suffer greatly.

Please continue the current ban on exclusive programming contracts.

Sincerely,
VILLA VERONA LTD.

Spencer Stuart, Jr.

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

February 11, 2002

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 00-2

Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in strong support of continuing the current prohibition on exclusive programming contracts contained in Section 628(c)(2)(D) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which will expire on October 5, 2002, unless the Commission finds that such prohibition continues to be necessary to preserve competition and diversity in the distribution of video programming.

At the Bristlecone Apartments we continue to seek the best possible video services for our residents. Video service that is dependable and affordable is extremely important to our residents. To achieve top-flight service for our residents, we enter into agreements with a provider for a limited number of years and we demand that programming options keep pace with choices that are available elsewhere in the Dallas/Fort Worth area. It would be unthinkable if our current video provider was legally restricted from being able to obtain programming that our residents want - programming such as HBO, the History Channel, Disney, various sports channels, and a whole host of other programs. Recent mergers and consolidations in the video marketplace make us nervous that the ground could suddenly shift from under a given video provider's ability to obtain many of the popular channels. If our apartment community video provider loses the ability to bring in certain channels while the property a couple of blocks away is able to bring in those channels, then we will be faced with a lot of unhappy residents and our ability to attract and retain residents will suffer greatly.

Please continue the current ban on exclusive programming contracts.

Sincerely,
FLORENTEX PROPERTIES, LTD.

Thomas E. Huth

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 28 2002

FCC - MAILROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



February 11, 2002

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 00-2 /

Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in strong support of continuing the current prohibition on exclusive programming contracts contained in Section 628(c)(2)(D) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which will expire on October 5, 2002, unless the Commission finds that such prohibition continues to be necessary to preserve competition and diversity in the distribution of video programming.

At the Grand Treviso Apartments we continue to seek the best possible video services for our residents. Video service that is dependable and affordable is extremely important to our residents. To achieve top-flight service for our residents, we enter into agreements with a provider for a limited number of years and we demand that programming options keep pace with choices that are available elsewhere in the Dallas/Fort Worth area. It would be unthinkable if our current video provider was legally restricted from being able to obtain programming that our residents want - programming such as HBO, the History Channel, Disney, various sports channels, and a whole host of other programs. Recent mergers and consolidations in the video marketplace make us nervous that the ground could suddenly shift from under a given video provider's ability to obtain many of the popular channels. If our apartment community video provider loses the ability to bring in certain channels while the property a couple of blocks away is able to bring in those channels, then we will be faced with a lot of unhappy residents and our ability to attract and retain residents will suffer greatly.

Please continue the current ban on exclusive programming contracts.

Sincerely,
GRAND TREVISO, LTD.


Richard K. Brownjohn

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

0

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAR 28 2002
FCC - MAILROOM



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

February 11, 2002

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 00-2/


Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in strong support of continuing the current prohibition on exclusive programming contracts contained in Section 628(c)(2)(D) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which will expire on October 5, 2002, unless the Commission finds that such prohibition continues to be necessary to preserve competition and diversity in the distribution of video programming.

At the Verona Apartments we continue to seek the best possible video services for our residents. Video service that is dependable and affordable is extremely important to our residents. To achieve top-flight service for our residents, we enter into agreements with a provider for a limited number of years and we demand that programming options keep pace with choices that are available elsewhere in the Dallas/Fort Worth area. It would be unthinkable if our current video provider was legally restricted from being able to obtain programming that our residents want - programming such as HBO, the History Channel, Disney, various sports channels, and a whole host of other programs. Recent mergers and consolidations in the video marketplace make us nervous that the ground could suddenly shift from under a given video provider's ability to obtain many of the popular channels. If our apartment community video provider loses the ability to bring in certain channels while the property a couple of blocks away is able to bring in those channels, then we will be faced with a lot of unhappy residents and our ability to attract and retain residents will suffer greatly.


Please continue the current ban on exclusive programming contracts.

Sincerely,
VILLA VERONA LTD.


Richard K. Brownjohn

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

0



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

February 11, 2002

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 00-2 /

Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in strong support of continuing the current prohibition on exclusive programming contracts contained in Section 628(c)(2)(D) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which will expire on October 5, 2002, unless the Commission finds that such prohibition continues to be necessary to preserve competition and diversity in the distribution of video programming.

At the Grand Treviso Apartments we continue to seek the best possible video services for our residents. Video service that is dependable and affordable is extremely important to our residents. To achieve top-flight service for our residents, we enter into agreements with a provider for a limited number of years and we demand that programming options keep pace with choices that are available elsewhere in the Dallas/Fort Worth area. It would be unthinkable if our current video provider was legally restricted from being able to obtain programming that our residents want - programming such as HBO, the History Channel, Disney, various sports channels, and a whole host of other programs. Recent mergers and consolidations in the video marketplace make us nervous that the ground could suddenly shift from under a given video provider's ability to obtain many of the popular channels. If our apartment community video provider loses the ability to bring in certain channels while the property a couple of blocks away is able to bring in those channels, then we will be faced with a lot of unhappy residents and our ability to attract and retain residents will suffer greatly.

Please continue the current ban on exclusive programming contracts.

Sincerely,
GRAND TREVISO, LTD.

Thomas E. Huth

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 28 2002

FCC - MAILROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



PALLADIUM

February 11, 2002

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 00-2 /


Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in strong support of continuing the current prohibition on exclusive programming contracts contained in Section 628(c)(2)(D) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which will expire on October 5, 2002, unless the Commission finds that such prohibition continues to be necessary to preserve competition and diversity in the distribution of video programming.

At the Bristlecone Apartments we continue to seek the best possible video services for our residents. Video service that is dependable and affordable is extremely important to our residents. To achieve top-flight service for our residents, we enter into agreements with a provider for a limited number of years and we demand that programming options keep pace with choices that are available elsewhere in the Dallas/Fort Worth area. It would be unthinkable if our current video provider was legally restricted from being able to obtain programming that our residents want - programming such as HBO, the History Channel, Disney, various sports channels, and a whole host of other programs. Recent mergers and consolidations in the video marketplace make us nervous that the ground could suddenly shift from under a given video provider's ability to obtain many of the popular channels. If our apartment community video provider loses the ability to bring in certain channels while the property a couple of blocks away is able to bring in those channels, then we will be faced with a lot of unhappy residents and our ability to attract and retain residents will suffer greatly.

Please continue the current ban on exclusive programming contracts.

Sincerely,
FLORENTEX PROPERTIES, LTD.



Richard K. Brownjohn

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

PALLADIUM

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAR 28 2002
FCC - MAILROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



February 11, 2002

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 00-2 /

Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in strong support of continuing the current prohibition on exclusive programming contracts contained in Section 628(c)(2)(D) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which will expire on October 5, 2002, unless the Commission finds that such prohibition continues to be necessary to preserve competition and diversity in the distribution of video programming.

At the Grand Treviso Apartments we continue to seek the best possible video services for our residents. Video service that is dependable and affordable is extremely important to our residents. To achieve top-flight service for our residents, we enter into agreements with a provider for a limited number of years and we demand that programming options keep pace with choices that are available elsewhere in the Dallas/Fort Worth area. It would be unthinkable if our current video provider was legally restricted from being able to obtain programming that our residents want - programming such as HBO, the History Channel, Disney, various sports channels, and a whole host of other programs. Recent mergers and consolidations in the video marketplace make us nervous that the ground could suddenly shift from under a given video provider's ability to obtain many of the popular channels. If our apartment community video provider loses the ability to bring in certain channels while the property a couple of blocks away is able to bring in those channels, then we will be faced with a lot of unhappy residents and our ability to attract and retain residents will suffer greatly.

Please continue the current ban on exclusive programming contracts.

Sincerely,
GRAND TREVISIO, LTD.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Spencer Stuart, Jr." followed by a period.
Spencer Stuart, Jr.

No. of Copies rec'd. 0
List ABCDE