

The proposal to extend the USF is a very poor plan aimed at gathering more money for those that charge the most for the least amounts of service. Not only will it discourage new startups from forming (and therefore bringing more competition to the market), it will also hurt the consumers by forcing them to go with the incredibly overpriced services. This is clearly an unfair advantage for them, especially when they were supposed to have been split apart to encourage competition and competitive rates.

While I'm all for technology in the schools, is wireless really a necessity? I think the greater good is the consumer base that will be affected. It is an open market and should remain as open as it can to support growth and development. This proposal will limit the growth and availability of ISPs. A consumer has a right to choose who they will have service for, and to find an ISP to fit their needs. Will all consumers be lumped together as one similar body?

I want a choice. I need this technology to operate and stay in business. I urge you to reconsider this proposal and think of the multitude of consequences that will fall just to earn a few more USF dollars.