

The rule would be an severe burden on small operators setting up neighborhood access service on a not-for-profit basis. It is not right to tax a new service in order to support the plain old telephone system. This rule does not serve the best interests of poor people or those without telephone service. Wireless service can provide access to remote areas at a lower cost than wired. This rule looks like the old phone monopoly trying to prevent any change to the status quo. It does not serve the public interest in any way.