

This is an objection to NPRM 02-33. Broadband data services are not telecommunications as presented by Comcast cable in your recent decision. Most wireless internet service and other broadband providers provide service using tariffed circuits already taxed under the USF. Adding USF charges would in fact be a double tax on downstream service providers, adding yet another barrier to competition. And should this actually be enacted the money from wisps should be set aside to allow schools to purchase, wireless equipment and services, which is currently not allowed under e-rate. Effectively, by charging USF on wireless and other broadband services, it will take money away from businesses who are extending service into areas that the ilc's have little intention of providing service to and put it in a fund which services tariffed services.

Thank you for your consideration

Ken King

vp Technical Operations

Wisper Broadband

from the folks who are extending broadband services