



STATE OF NEW YORK  
OFFICE FOR TECHNOLOGY  
STATE CAPITOL, ESP  
PO Box 2062  
ALBANY, NY 12224  
518-473-7041  
518-402-2976 FAX

George E. Pataki  
Governor

James T. Dillon  
Chief Information Officer

William F. Pelgrin  
Director

May 8, 2002

Mr. William F. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: WT Docket No. 02-55

Dear Mr. Caton:


The New York State Office for Technology, Statewide Wireless Network Project filed comments under cover letter dated, May 2, 2002 in the above captioned Docket. Upon review of the 136 page filed document and its appendices, some errors were noted. As a result, we submit the following errata. Corrected text is in bold-face.

1. Under Table 1: Parameters for Near-Far Example, the definition of ACCP should read "ACCP - Adjacent Channel **Coupled Power**"
2. In Section 4, Spectrum Needs of Public Safety, second paragraph, first sentence, the word "service" should be "**safety**"
3. Footnote 19 should read: "**These stations, when constructed, will remain active until** after the full Digital television transition in Canada, a transition that is yet to be defined."
4. In Section 5.1, Super Regional Planning Committee, first sentence, "all NPSPAC spectral allotments" should read "all NPSPAC **channel** allotments "

5. In Section 5.3, Frequency Coordination, the third sentence should read: “These would be distributed directly to the current licensees, **with a copy to the Regional Planning Committee**, who would then turn to an **FCC Certified Public Safety Frequency Coordinator** to complete the licensing process.”
6. In Section 6.2, Out-of-Band Emissions Requirements for Commercial Spectrum, the second sentence should read: “However, we ask that this be modified so that the OOB requirements are measured as power coupled into a 12.5 kHz channel, **which is a more typical effective noise bandwidth** utilized in the 800 MHz public safety band.”
7. In Section 6.2, the next sentence should read: “The following are recommendations that would apply in any location **within** the Public Safety allocations<sup>28</sup>: ”
8. In Section 6.2, next to last sentence should read: “This is why we ask that **the OOB requirement** 1) be a minimum requirement and 2) apply to the 12.5 kHz channel case, essentially making the requirement more stringent by 3 dB.”
9. In Section 7.1, Relocation Cost to Public Safety, the seventh line should begin: “**antenna** system equipment. ”
10. In Section 7.2, Requirement for a Guard Band, the third line should begin “CMRS spectrum blocks, . . . ”
11. In Section 9, Conclusion, second paragraph, sixth sentence should begin: “If the entire 800 MHz band is to be de-interlaced, . . . ”
12. In Section 9, last paragraph, fourth line, change “spectral” to “**spectrum**”
13. In Section 9, add to last paragraph: “**In order to achieve these goals for all of public safety, the Commission must work closely and effectively with Canada and Mexico to harmonize the 700 MHz band.**”
14. In Appendix K, Out-of-Band Emissions (OOBs), Figure K-1, change Y-axis label to remove duplicate word so that it reads:  
“Required **Separation** Distance (km)”

If you should have any questions please contact me at (518) 489-2400.

Sincerely,



Hanford C. Thomas, Director  
Statewide Wireless Network