RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 1 0 2002
FCC - MAILROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

George E. Pataki
Governor

STATE OF NEW YORK
OFFICE FOR TECHNOLOGY
STATE CAPITOL, ESP
PO Box 2062
ALBANY, NY 12224
518-473-7041
518-402-2976 FAX

James T. Dillon
Chief Information Officer

William F. Pelgrin
Director

May 8, 2002

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: WT Docket No. 02-55

Dear Mr. Caton:

The New York State Office for Technology, Statewide Wireless Network Project filed comments under cover letter dated, May 2, 2002 in the above captioned Docket. Upon review of the 136 page filed document and its appendices, some errors were noted. As a result, we submit the following errata. Corrected text is in bold-face.

1. Under Table 1: Parameters for Near-Far Example, the definition of ACCP should read "ACCP - Adjacent Channel **Coupled Power**"

2. In Section 4, Spectrum Needs of Public Safety, second paragraph, first sentence, the word "service" should be "**safety**"

3. Footnote 19 should read: "**These stations, when constructed, will remain active until** after the full Digital television transition in Canada, a transition that is yet to be defined."

4. In Section 5.1, Super Regional Planning Committee, first sentence, "all NPSPAC spectral allotments" should read "all NPSPAC **channel** allotments "

No. of Copies rec'd 0+10
List ABCDE

5. In Section 5.3, Frequency Coordination, the third sentence should read: "These would be distributed directly to the current licensees, **with a copy to the Regional Planning Committee,** who would then turn to an **FCC Certified** Public Safety Frequency Coordinator to complete the licensing process."

6. In Section 6.2, Out-of-Band Emissions Requirements for Commercial Spectrum, the second sentence should read: "However, we ask that this be modified so that the OOBE requirements are measured as power coupled into a 12.5 kHz channel, **which is a more typical effective noise bandwidth** utilized in the 800 MHz public safety **band**."

7. In Section 6.2, the next sentence should read: "The following are recommendations that would apply in any location **within** the Public Safety allocations[28]: "

8. In Section 6.2, next to last sentence should read: "This is why we ask that **the OOBE requirement** 1) be a minimum requirement and 2) apply to the 12.5 kHz channel case, essentially making the requirement more stringent by 3 dB."

9. In Section 7.1, Relocation Cost to Public Safety, the seventh line should begin: "**antenna** system equipment. "

10. In Section 7.2, Requirement for a Guard Band, the third line should begin "CMRS **spectrum** blocks, . . . "

11. In Section 9, Conclusion, second paragraph, sixth sentence should begin: "If the entire 800 MHz band **is** to be de-interlaced, . . . "

12. In Section 9, last paragraph, fourth line, change "spectral" to "**spectrum**"

13. In Section 9, add to last paragraph: "**In order to achieve these goals for <u>all</u> of public safety, the Commission must work closely and <u>effectively</u> with Canada and Mexico to harmonize the 700 MHz band.**"

14. In Appendix K, Out-of-Band Emissions (OOBEs), Figure K-1, change Y-axis label to remove duplicate word so that it reads:
    "Required **Separation** Distance (km)"

If you should have any questions please contact me at (518) 489-2400.

Sincerely,



Hanford C. Thomas, Director
Statewide Wireless Network

**Statewide Wireless Network Office, 6C Executive Park Drive, Stuyvesant Plaza, Albany, New York 12203 * 518-489-2400 * 518-489-3831 Fax**
www.oft.state.ny.us/