

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In re:)
)
UNITED STATES CELLULAR)
CORPORATION)
)
Petition For Waiver of) CC Docket No. 96-45
Sections 54.313, 54.314,)
54.307 and 54.802)
Of the FCC's Rules)

**PETITION FOR WAIVER
EXPEDITED ACTION REQUESTED**

United States Cellular Corporation ("USCC"), pursuant to Section 1.925 of the FCC's Rules(47 C.F.R. Section 1.925),¹ hereby requests a waiver of the "certification" deadlines in Sections 54.313 and 54.314 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. §54.313, §54.314.² USCC requests that the FCC waive those rules to permit USCC to receive "high cost" universal service funding for the first quarter of 2003. USCC also seeks any necessary waiver of Sections 54.307(c) and 54.802(a) of the FCC's Rules [47 C.F.R. §54.307(c), §54.802(a)] to permit it to file line count data with the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC), which it otherwise

¹ Section 1.925(b) of the FCC's Rules provides in pertinent part, that:

"(b) The Commission may grant a request for waiver if it shown that: (i) The underlying purpose of the rule would not be served or would be frustrated by application of the instant case, and that a grant of the requested waiver would be in the public interest;..."

² No fee is required to be submitted with this request.

would have had to file on September 30, 2002, to be eligible for first quarter 2003 support.

Background

USCC, through FCC licensee subsidiaries, provides cellular mobile telephone service in the Milwaukee, Madison, Appleton-Oshkosh-Neenah, Kenosha, Green Bay, Racine, Janesville-Beloit, Eau Claire, Sheboygan, and LaCrosse, Wisconsin Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and in Wisconsin Rural Service Areas (RSAs) 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10.

In November 2001, USCC filed an application with the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin seeking Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC") status in its service area, which covers certain non-rural and rural telephone "exchanges" within Wisconsin.

On December 20, 2002, USCC was granted ETC status in certain "non-rural" Wisconsin "wire centers" served by Ameritech and Verizon and in those rural telephone company exchanges for which it is sought ETC designation and which are covered in their entirety by USCC's wireless service areas.³ With respect to USCC's Wisconsin service area covering parts, but not all, of the service territories of rural telephone companies, USCC was conditionally granted ETC status for its service area within such territories, subject to subsequent FCC approval of the smaller service areas pursuant to Section 54.207 (c)(1) of the FCC's Rules.⁴

³ See Applications of United States Cellular Corporation for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Wisconsin, Final Decision, Docket 8225-TI-102, released December 20, 2002 ("December ETC Decision"), (attached hereto as Attachment A).

⁴ December ETC Decision, Slip Opinion p.9, USCC plans to request FCC approval for such modified service areas in a separate filing.

For state-designated ETCs to receive federal high cost support, it is required under Sections 54.313 and 54.314 of the FCC's Rules that the states must file annual certifications, covering non-rural and rural areas respectively, stating that a particular ETC will use its federal high cost support for its intended purposes. The Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (PSCW) filed the necessary certification with USAC and the FCC on December 30, 2002. A copy of the certification letter is attached hereto as Attachment B.⁵

In order to be eligible to receive support for all of calendar 2003 under Sections 54.313(d)(3)(i) and 54.314(d)(1) of the FCC's Rules, USCC's certification from the PSCW would have had to have been received at the FCC and USAC by October 1, 2002.

However, as noted above, the PSCW did not issue the December ETC Order until December 20, 2002 and the PSCW did not file its certification until December 30, 2002. Thus, pursuant to Sections 54.313(d)(3)(ii) and 54.314(d)(2) of the FCC's Rules, USCC would be eligible for funding beginning in the second quarter of 2003, but not eligible for first quarter funding.

On December 30, 2002, USCC filed with USAC its Wisconsin line counts for funding under the "High Cost Loop," Interstate Access Support, and Interstate Common Line Support programs pursuant to Sections 54.307(c), 54.802, and 54.809 of the FCC's Rules, noting in those filings that it would be filing the instant waiver request to permit USCC to begin receiving USF funding for the first quarter of 2003.

⁵ Docket 96-45 lists the certification letter as having been received on December 31, 2002.

The line counts submitted on December 30, 2002 supplied data as of the days required by the relevant rules, that is, June 30, 2002 for the High Cost Line filing ("HCL"), June 30, 2002 for Interstate Common Line Support ("ICLS"), and September 30, 2002 for Interstate Access Support ("IAS"). However, according to FCC policy, the "June 30" (HCL, ICLS) and "September 30" (IAS) data filed by December 30 of each year is used to calculate second quarter support in the coming year, whereas the "March 30" (HCL, ICLS) and "June 30" (IAS) data filed on September 30 is used to calculate high cost support for the first quarter of the coming year.⁶ USCC would therefore have to file the Wisconsin "high cost" data otherwise due at USAC on September 30, 2002 to receive first quarter 2003 support. USCC will seek to file such data provisionally with USAC shortly. Thus, in order for USCC to receive high cost support for the first quarter of 2003, the FCC would have to waive Sections 54.307(c), 54.802(a), 54.313(d)(3)(i), and 54.314(d) of its rules.

I. The FCC Should Grant the Requested Waivers

As is noted in the RFB Order, which involved a situation exactly analogous to this one, a waiver is warranted in those circumstances. As was stated by the Wireless Competition Bureau in the RFB Order:

"it would be onerous to deny an ETC receipt of Universal Service Support for an entire quarter as a result of a particular ETC designation having occurred after the filing deadline."

RFB Order, paragraph 8.

⁶ See In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, RFB Cellular, Inc. Petition for Waiver of Sections 54.314(d) and 54.307(c) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, CC Docket 96-45, Order, released December 4, 2002, paragraph 3 (Wireline Competition Bureau) ("RFB Order")

That is precisely what occurred here. Also, in the RFB Order, the FCC noted that the type of waiver granted there and sought here would be consistent with the public interest in that it would promote the FCC's goal of "competitive neutrality" between wireline and wireless carriers. Ibid, paragraph 9. Wireline carriers are not subject to the ETC certification requirements which wireless carriers must meet and the Wisconsin wireline carriers in those areas in which USCC is now an ETC will obtain first quarter 2003 high cost support.

USCC has striven to make its Wisconsin USF filings speedily to minimize any administrative inconvenience to USAC and the FCC. It filed its required line count data on December 30, 2002, the same day that the PSCW filed its necessary certification. Those filings advised USAC that USCC planned to file for first quarter 2003 support. This waiver request is being filed within 15 days of those initial filings.⁷

Conclusion

For the foregoing reason, USCC respectfully requests that the FCC's waive Sections 54.313(d)(3)(i), 54.314(d), 54.307(c), 54.802(a) of its Rules to permit USCC to receive high cost universal support in the first quarter of 2003 for the State of Wisconsin.

⁷ As noted above, in order to further assist USAC, USCC plans to file "provisional" September 30, 2002 data with USAC shortly, which USAC may use to calculate first quarter support if the requested waiver is granted.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES CELLULAR
CORPORATION

By:



/s/ Peter M. Connolly

Peter M. Connolly

Holland & Knight LLP

2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. #100

Washington, DC 20006-6801

(202) 862-5989

pconnoll@hklaw.com

Its Attorneys

January 15, 2003

WAS1 #1149028 v1

ATTACHMENT A

December 20, 2002

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Decision Granting ETC Status

To United States Cellular Corporation

Date Mailed December 20, 2002

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN

Application of United States Cellular Corporation for Designation
as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Wisconsin

8225-TI-102

FINAL DECISION

This is the final decision in this proceeding to determine whether to designate United States Cellular Corporation (US Cellular) as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC), pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2) and Wis. Admin. Code § 160.13. Designation as an ETC makes a provider eligible to receive universal service fund (USF) monies.

Introduction

US Cellular filed an application for ETC designation in November 2001. Staff requested clarification of some parts of the application, and U.S. Cellular filed an amendment to the application on January 14, 2002. The Commission issued a Notice of Proceeding, Investigation and Assessment of Costs and Request for Comments on March 5, 2002. The applicant, and various parties to the docket, jointly submitted a request to delay the filing of comments to allow the applicant to respond to staff data requests and to allow the other parties an opportunity to review those responses. That request was granted. Parties filed comments on July 1, 2002, and reply comments on July 22, 2002. The Commission discussed this matter at its November 7, 2002 open meeting.

US Cellular requested ETC designation for the southern half of Wisconsin, plus the Door County Peninsula. The territories for which ETC designation is requested are served by Ameritech, Verizon and several rural telecommunications carriers.

Findings of Fact

1. The wireless industry, its customary practices, its usual customer base and US Cellular's desire not to obtain state USF money create an unusual situation.
2. It is reasonable to adopt different ETC eligibility requirements and obligations for US Cellular.
3. It is reasonable to require US Cellular to meet only the federal requirements for ETC status in order to be eligible for ETC designation.
4. It is reasonable to relieve US Cellular from ETC obligations other than those imposed under federal law.
5. It is reasonable to require that US Cellular not apply for state USF funds and that if it ever does, all state requirements for and obligations of ETC status shall again be applicable to it.
6. US Cellular meets the federal requirements for ETC designation.
7. It is in the public interest to designate US Cellular as an ETC in certain areas served by rural telephone companies.
8. It is reasonable to grant US Cellular ETC status in the non-rural wire centers indicated in its application, to the extent that the wire centers are located within the state.
9. It is reasonable to grant US Cellular ETC status in the areas for which it has requested such designation where the request includes the entire territory of a rural telephone company, to the extent such areas are located within the state.
10. It is reasonable to grant US Cellular ETC status in the areas for which it has requested such designation where the request does not include the entire territory of a rural

telephone company, to the extent the areas are located within the state, conditioned upon the FCC approving the use of the smaller areas.

Conclusions of Law

The Commission has jurisdiction and authority under Wis. Stats. §§ 196.02, 196.218 and 196.395 Wis. Admin. Code ch. 160, 47 U.S.C. §§ 214, 254, and other pertinent provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, to make the above Findings of Fact and to issue this Order.

Opinion

ETC status was created by the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”), and codified in 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2). Under FCC rules, the state commissions are required to designate providers as ETCs. 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2), 47 C.F.R. § 54.201(b). Designation as an ETC is required if a provider is to receive federal universal service funding. ETC designation is also required to receive funding from some, but not all, state universal service programs.

The FCC established a set of minimum criteria that all ETCs must meet. These are codified in the federal rules. 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1), 47 C.F.R. § 54.101(a). The 1996 Telecommunications Act states that “States may adopt regulations not inconsistent with the Commission’s rules to preserve and advance universal service.” 47 U.S.C § 254(f). A court upheld the states’ right to impose additional conditions on ETCs in *Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel v. FCC*, 183 F.3d 393, 418 (5th Cir. 1999). While states must designate multiple ETCs if more than one provider meets the requirements and requests that status in a non-rural area, it must determine that it is in the public interest before designating more than one ETC in a rural area. 47 C.F.R. § 54.201. The Commission has already designated one ETC in each rural area.

In the year 2000, the Commission promulgated rules covering ETC designations and requirements in Wisconsin. Wis. Admin. Code § PSC 160.13. Those rules govern the process

for ETC designation and set forth a minimum set of requirements for providers seeking ETC designation from the Commission. The application filed by US Cellular asks that it be designated as an ETC for federal purposes only. It states that it is not seeking designation as an ETC for state purposes and, therefore, is not required to meet the additional state requirements.

States must examine the federal requirements, but are allowed to create additional requirements. Wisconsin has done so. The Commission's requirements for ETC designation clarify and expand upon the more basic FCC rules. There is no provision in the rule for designation as an ETC for federal purposes only. If a provider seeks to be designated as an ETC, it must follow the procedures and requirements in Wis. Admin. Code § PSC 160.13 and, if such a designation is granted, that designation serves to qualify the provider for both state and federal universal service funding. However, Wis. Admin. Code § 160.01(2)(b) provides that:

Nothing in this chapter shall preclude special and individual consideration being given to exceptional or unusual situations and upon due investigation of the facts and circumstances involved, the adoption of requirements as to individual providers or services that may be lesser, greater, other or different than those provided in this chapter.

US Cellular's request for ETC status presents an unusual situation. The wireless industry, its customary practices, and its usual customer base are quite different than those of wireline companies. Additionally, US Cellular has stated that it has no desire to obtain state USF money. The Commission finds that under the particular circumstances of this case, it is reasonable to adopt different ETC requirements for US Cellular to meet, and to grant ETC status to US Cellular with certain limitations.

Because US Cellular only wishes to obtain federal USF support, the Commission shall adopt the federal requirements for ETC status as the requirements that US Cellular must meet to obtain ETC status. The federal requirements are found in 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1), 47 C.F.R.

§§ 54.101(a), 54.405 and 54.411. Further, the Commission relieves US Cellular from ETC obligations other than those imposed under federal law. However, since US Cellular will not be subject to the state requirements and state obligations, the Commission requires that US Cellular not apply for state USF money. If US Cellular ever does apply for state USF money, then all of the state requirements for and obligations of ETC status shall again be applicable to US Cellular.¹

The Commission finds that US Cellular has met the requirements for ETC designation; it will offer supported service to all customers in its designation areas and will advertise these services. In the FCC Declaratory Ruling *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Western Wireless Corporation Petition for Preemption of an Order of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission*, FCC 00-248 (released 8/10/00), par. 24 (South Dakota Decision) the FCC has stated:

A new entrant can make a reasonable demonstration to the state commission of its capability and commitment to provide universal service without the actual provision of the proposed service. There are several possible methods for doing so, including, but not limited to: (1) a description of the proposed service technology, as supported by appropriate submissions; (2) a demonstration of the extent to which the carrier may otherwise be providing telecommunications services within the state; (3) a description of the extent to which the carrier has entered into interconnection and resale agreements; or, (4) a sworn affidavit signed by a representative of the carrier to ensure compliance with the obligation to offer and advertise the supported services.

If this is sufficient for a new entrant, it would seem to be even more so for someone who has already started to serve portions of the exchanges. US Cellular submitted an affidavit ensuring compliance and, as mentioned earlier, is not only providing service in other areas of the state but also in parts of the areas for which it has requested ETC status.

¹ Thus, for example, while US Cellular must offer a federally acceptable Lifeline program, it can only request Lifeline USF support at the federal level.

The Commission finds that US Cellular meets the requirement to offer service to all requesting customers. It has stated in its application and comments that it will do so. It has submitted an affidavit to this effect with its application. Much was made of US Cellular's language stating that it will make "commercially reasonable" efforts to improve coverage and will expand service if it is "economically reasonable" to do so. Many commenters argued that this shows that the applicant will not meet the same standard that is applied to wireline providers. However, this is a case where "the devil is in the details." It is true that the purpose of universal service programs is to ensure that customers who might not otherwise be served at affordable rates by a competitive market still receive service. However, like for wireline companies, access to high cost assistance is what helps ensure that service is provided. For US Cellular, access to high cost assistance is exactly what will make expanding service to customers requesting service in the areas for which it is designated as an ETC "commercially reasonable" or "economically feasible". As the FCC has said:

A new entrant, once designated as an ETC, is required, as the incumbent is required, to extend its network to serve new customers upon reasonable request. South Dakota Decision, par. 17.

US Cellular, like wireline ETCs, must fulfill this mandate, and access to high cost funding is what will help make doing so possible. The issue of "dead spots" is not significantly different from a LEC ETC that does not have its own lines in a portion of an exchange, perhaps a newly developed area. After obtaining a reasonable request for service, the LEC is required to find a way to offer service, either through extending its own facilities or other options. So too, US

Cellular must be given a reasonable opportunity to provide service to requesting customers, whether through expansion of its own facilities or some other method.²

US Cellular has also stated in its affidavit, application and comments that it will advertise the designated services as required under 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1)(B), including the availability of low income programs.

Other objections to US Cellular's designation focus on an alleged inability to meet certain additional state requirements in Wis. Admin. Code § PSC 160.13. These are moot, however, since the Commission has adopted different requirements for US Cellular.

Some of the exchanges for which US Cellular seeks ETC status are served by non-rural ILECs Ameritech and Verizon. Under Wis. Admin. Code § 160.13(3) and 47 U.S.C. § 251(e)(2), the Commission must designate multiple ETCs in areas served by such non-rural companies. However, the Commission may only designate multiple ETCs in an area served by a rural company if designating more than one ETC is in the public interest. Some of the exchanges for which US Cellular seeks ETC status are served by rural telephone companies.

The Commission finds that designating US Cellular as an additional ETC in these areas is in the public interest. In its determination, the Commission is guided by the Wis. Stat.

§196.03(6) factors to consider when making a public interest determination:

- (a) Promotion and preservation of competition consistent with ch. 133 and s. 196.219.
- (b) Promotion of consumer choice.
- (c) Impact on the quality of life for the public, including privacy considerations.
- (d) Promotion of universal service.
- (e) Promotion of economic development, including telecommunications infrastructure deployment.
- (f) Promotion of efficiency and productivity.

² US Cellular mentions meeting this requirement through use of its own facilities, use of unbundled network elements and/or resale. If it plans to resell wireline service it will, of course, have to apply to this Commission for certification as a reseller or competitive local exchange carrier.

- (g) Promotion of telecommunications services in geographical areas with diverse income or racial populations.

The Commission finds that designating US Cellular as an ETC in areas served by rural companies will increase competition in those areas and, so, will increase consumer choice. While it is true that US Cellular is currently serving in at least some of these areas, the availability of high cost support for infrastructure deployment will allow US Cellular to expand its availability in these areas. Further, designation of another ETC may spur ILEC infrastructure deployment and encourage further efficiencies and productivity gains. Additional infrastructure deployment, additional consumer choices, the effects of competition, the provision of new technologies, a mobility option and increased local calling areas will benefit consumers and improve the quality of life for affected citizens of Wisconsin. As a result, the Commission finds that it is in the public interest to designate US Cellular as an ETC in the areas served by rural telephone companies for which it has requested such designation.

The areas for which US Cellular is granted ETC status vary. Wis. Admin. Code § 160.13(2) states that the areas in which a provider shall be designated as an ETC depend on the nature of the ILEC serving that area. If the ILEC is a non-rural telephone company, the designation area is the ILEC's wire center. The FCC has urged states not to require that competitive ETCs be required to offer service in the entire territory of large ILECs. It has found that such a requirement could be a barrier to entry. *Report and Order in the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, FCC 97-157 (released 5/8/97) pars. 176-177 (First Report and Order). Wisconsin's rule provision resolves this federal concern. As a result, US Cellular is granted ETC status in the Ameritech and Verizon wire centers for which it requested such status, to the extent that such wire centers are located within the state.

Wis. Admin. Code § 160.13(2) provides that if the ILEC is a rural telephone company the ETC designation area is different. For an area served by a rural telephone company, the designation area is generally the entire territory (study area) of that rural company. A smaller designation area is prohibited unless the Commission designates and the FCC approves a smaller area. 47 C.F.R. § 54.207(b). US Cellular's application contained a list of rural telephone company areas for which it requested ETC status. The list contained a number of inaccuracies which make determining whether it is seeking that status in the entire territory of some non-rural companies difficult. The Commission has prepared an attachment showing the rural areas for which it believes US Cellular is seeking ETC status. If this list is not accurate, US Cellular is ordered to submit to the Commission a revised list, in the same format as the attachment to this order, by January 2, 2003.

The Commission also grants ETC status to US Cellular in the areas for which it is seeking designation for the entire territory of a rural telephone company, to the extent that such exchanges are located within the state. Finally, where US Cellular is asking for ETC designation in some, but not all, parts of the territory of a rural telephone company, the Commission conditionally grants ETC status in the areas for which US Cellular has requested such designation, to the extent that such exchanges are located within the state. However, US Cellular must apply to the FCC for approval of the use of a smaller area in such a designation. 47 C.F.R. § 54.207(c)(1). If the FCC approves use of the smaller area, then US Cellular's ETC status for the smaller area(s) becomes effective. If the FCC does not approve use of the smaller area(s), then US Cellular's conditional ETC status for such an area is void. In such a case, if US Cellular determines that it then wants to apply for ETC status in the entire territory of the rural company, it may submit a new application requesting such designation.

The Commission grants this conditional status after having considered the changing market and the reason why the limitations on ETC designation in rural areas was created. Originally there were concerns about “cherry picking” or “cream skimming.” At that time the USF support was averaged across all lines served by a provider within its study area. The per line support was the same throughout the study area. The concern was that competitive companies might ask for ETC designation in the parts of a rural company’s territory that cost less to serve. It could thereby receive the averaged federal high cost assistance while only serving the low cost areas of the territory, while the ILEC received federal high cost assistance but had to serve the entire territory, including the high cost areas. First Report and Order, par. 189. As a result, the FCC found that, unless otherwise approved by both the state and the FCC, a competitor seeking ETC status in the territory of a rural company must commit to serving the entire territory. First Report and Order, par. 189.

However, since that time the USF funding mechanisms have changed. Currently, a competitive ETC gets the same amount of federal high cost assistance per line as the ILEC. An ILEC has the option to target the federal high cost assistance it receives so that it receives more USF money per line in the parts of the territory where it costs more to provide service, and less federal USF money in the parts of the territory where it costs less to provide service. *In the Matter of Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan*, FCC 01-157 (released 5/23/01), par. 147. (MAG Order) Since the competitive ETC receives the same per line amount as the ILEC, if it chooses to only serve the lower cost parts of the territory then it receives only the lower amount of federal USF money. As a result, as recognized by the FCC, the concerns about “cherry picking” and “cream skimming” are largely moot. *In the Matter of Reconsideration of Western*

Wireless Corporation's Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Wyoming, FCC 01-311 (released 10/16/01), par. 12.

In the MAG Order, rural telephone companies were given the opportunity to choose a disaggregation and targeting method or to not disaggregate and target USF support. MAG Order, pars. 147-154. Companies were allowed to choose one of three targeting paths. Some of the companies in whose territory US Cellular is seeking ETC designation chose Path One (no targeting) and some chose Path Three (targeting). If a competitive ETC is named in all or part of the service territory of a rural company, that company may ask the Commission to allow it to choose another Path. The FCC believed that state involvement in path changes gave competitors some certainty as to the amount of per line support available while preventing a rural company from choosing or moving to a different path for anti-competitive reasons. MAG Order, par. 153. Some of the companies in whose territory US Cellular is seeking ETC designation have disaggregated and targeted USF support, and some have not. However, the Commission may allow a company to change paths when a competitive ETC is designated in a rural company's territory.

Order

1. US Cellular is granted ETC status in the non-rural wire centers indicated in its application, to the extent the wire centers are located within the state.
2. US Cellular is granted Cellular ETC status in the areas for which it has requested such designation where the request includes the entire territory of a rural telephone company, to the extent the areas are located within the state.

3. US Cellular is granted ETC status in the areas for which it has requested such designation where the request does not include the entire territory of a rural telephone company, to the extent the areas are located within the state, conditioned upon the FCC approving the use of the smaller areas.

4. US Cellular shall file a revised list of rural areas for which it is seeking ETC status by January 2, 2003 if the list attached to this order is inaccurate. The revised list shall use the same format as the attachment.

5. US Cellular must request that the FCC approve the use of an area smaller than the entire territory of certain rural telephone companies (listed in an attachment to this order) when granting ETC status in those areas.

6. If the FCC does not approve the use of areas smaller than the entire territory of a rural telephone company when granting ETC status in those areas, then the conditional grant of ETC status in this order is void.

7. US Cellular shall not apply for state USF support. If it ever does file for such support the state eligibility requirements for and obligations of ETC status shall immediately apply to it.

8. Jurisdiction is maintained.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, _____

By the Commission:

Lynda L. Dorr
Secretary to the Commission

PRJ:g:\order\pending\8225-TI-102
See attached Notice of Appeal Rights

Notice of Appeal Rights

Notice is hereby given that a person aggrieved by the foregoing decision has the right to file a petition for judicial review as provided in Wis. Stat. § 227.53. The petition must be filed within 30 days after the date of mailing of this decision. That date is shown on the first page. If there is no date on the first page, the date of mailing is shown immediately above the signature line. The Public Service Commission of Wisconsin must be named as respondent in the petition for judicial review.

Notice is further given that, if the foregoing decision is an order following a proceeding which is a contested case as defined in Wis. Stat. § 227.01(3), a person aggrieved by the order has the further right to file one petition for rehearing as provided in Wis. Stat. § 227.49. The petition must be filed within 20 days of the date of mailing of this decision.

If this decision is an order after rehearing, a person aggrieved who wishes to appeal must seek judicial review rather than rehearing. A second petition for rehearing is not an option.

This general notice is for the purpose of ensuring compliance with Wis. Stat. § 227.48(2), and does not constitute a conclusion or admission that any particular party or person is necessarily aggrieved or that any particular decision or order is final or judicially reviewable.

Revised 9/28/98

APPENDIX A

This proceeding is not a contested case under Wis. Stat. Ch. 227, therefore there are no parties to be listed or certified under Wis. Stat. § 227.47. However, an investigation was conducted and the persons listed below participated.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN
(Not a party, but must be served)
610 North Whitney Way
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, WI 53707-7854

MS STEPHANIE L MOTT ATTY
REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN
PO BOX 2018
MADISON WI 53701-2018

MR NICK LESTER
WSTA
6602 NORMANDY LN
MADISON WI 53719

MR BRUCE C REUBER
INTERSTATE TELCOM CONSULTING INC
PO BOX 668
HECTOR MN 55342-0668

MR CHARLES A HOFFMAN
MASLON EDELMAN BORNER BRAND LLP
90 S SEVENTH ST #3300
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-4140

MR LARRY L LUECK
NSIGHT TELSERVICES/NORTHEAST TEL CO
PO BOX 19079
GREEN BAY WI 54307-9079

Docket 8225-TI-102

MR JUDD A GENDA ATTY
AXLEY BRYNELSON LLP
2 E MIFFLIN ST STE 200
MADISON WI 53703

MS LISA VOLPE
AT&T WIRELESS
1150 CONNECTICUT AVE NW 4TH FL
WASHINGTON DC 20036

APPENDIX B

**Exchanges Served by Rural Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers
for which ETC Status was Requested**

Rural Incumbent LEC	Exchanges for which ETC Status WAS Requested	Exchanges for which ETC Status WAS NOT Requested
Amherst Telephone Company	Amherst, Custer, Rosholt,	(none)
Belmont Telephone Co.	Belmont	(none)
Bergen Telephone Co.	Bergen	(none)
Black Earth Telephone Co.	Black Earth	(none)
Burlington, Brighton and Wheatland Tel. Co.	Bohners Lake, Wheatland	(none)
Central State Telephone Co.	Auburndale, Junction City, Lindsey, Necedah, Pittsville, Vesper,	Cranmoor, Mill Creek
CenturyTel of Fairwater Brandon Alto, LLC (1910)	Brandon	(none)
CenturyTel of Forestville, LLC (2050)	Brussels, Forestville, Little Sturgeon	(none)
CenturyTel of Central Wisconsin, LLC (2055)	Alma Center, Arcadia, Argyle, Bangor, Black Creek, Black River Falls, Benton ^{CC} , Blair, Centerville, Darlington, Denmark, Ettrick, Fairchild, Fountain City, Galesville, Gratiot, Holmen, Hixton, Kingston, Luxemburg, Markesan, Melrose, Merrillan, Mindoro, Montfort, Muscoda, New Franklin, Nichols, Osseo, Pickett, Rosendale, Seymour, Shiocton, Shullsburg, Taylot, Trempealeau, Wautoma, Whitehall, Wiota	Augusta, Cleghorn, Fall Creek

Rural Incumbent LEC	Exchanges for which ETC Status WAS Requested	Exchanges for which ETC Status WAS NOT Requested
CenturyTel of the Midwest – Kendall, LLC (2815)	Baraboo, Berlin, Green Lake, Kendall, Mazomanie, North Freedom, Princeton, Red Granite	Ashland, Bayfield, Cornell, Hurley, Saxon, Ladysmith, Marinette, McAllister, Oconto, Oconto Falls, Peshtigo, Stanley, John, Pattison, Washburn
CenturyTel of Monroe County, LLC (3810)	Cashton, Cataract, Norwalk, Ontario, Sparta, Wilton	(none)
CenturyTel of Larsen-Readfield, LLC (3070)	Larsen, Readfield	(none)
CenturyTel of Southern Wisconsin, LLC (4590)	Cambria, Fall River, Fox Lake, Rio, Randolph	(none)
CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin, LLC (4260)	Avoca, Boscobel, Casco ^C , DeForest, Delafield, Dousman, Eagle, East Troy, Footville ^W , Fremont ^{CM} , Genesee, Hazel Green ^P , Highland, Milton ^{CM} , Mt. Zion, Mukwanago, Neskoro, North Prairie, Platteville ^P , Poynette, Poysippi ^{CM} , Ripon, Steuben, Sullivan, Tomah, Warrens, Wayside ^W , Weyawega ^C , Wild Rose, Wonewoc	Amberg, Boyd, Cadott, Chetek, Coleman, Crivitz, Cumberland, , Goodman, Harmony, Lena, Pembine, Sarona, Shell Lake, Spooner, Thorp, Turtle Lake, Twin Bridge, Wausaukee
CenturyTel of Wisconsin, LLC (2930)	Onalaska, LaCrosse, West Salem,	(none)
Citizen's Telecommunications Company, of Illinois	East Dubuque	Fairplay
Cochrane Cooperative Telephone Company	Chochrane, Waumandee	(none)
Coon Valley Farmers Telephone Company	Coon Valley, Chaseburg, Stoddard	(none)
Cuba City Telephone Company	Cuba City	(none)
Dickeyville Telephone Company	Dickeyville	(none)

Rural Incumbent LEC	Exchanges for which ETC Status WAS Requested	Exchanges for which ETC Status WAS NOT Requested
EastCoast Telecom, Inc.	Cleveland, Collins, Howard's Grove, St. Nazianz, Valders	(none)
Farmers Telephone Co.	Beetown, Cassville, Lancaster, Potosi	(none)
Frontier Communications of Mondovi, Inc.	Mondovi	(none)
Frontier Communications of Wisconsin, Inc.	Bear Creek, Clintonville, Marion, Tigerton	Bowler, Cecil, Gresham, Keshena, Neopit, Shawno
Frontier Communicaitons of Viroqua, Inc.	Viroqua	(none)
Grantland Telecom, Inc.	Bagley, Bloomington, Fennimore, Mount Hope, Woodman,	(none)
Hillsboro Telephone Co.	Hillsboro	(none)
La Valle Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	Cazenovia, La Valle	(none)
Lakefield Telephone Company	Newton, Newtonburg	(none)
Lemonweir Valley Telephone Co.	Camp Douglas, New Lisbon	(none)
Manawa Telephone Company	Manawa, Ogdensburg	(none)
Marquette-Adams Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	Brooks, Endeavor, Oxford, Packwaukee,	Easton, FCI, Jordan Lake,
Mid-Plains Telephone, Inc.	Cross Plains, Middleton	(none)
Mt. Horeb Telephone Co.	Mt. Horeb	(none)
Mt. Vernon Telephone Co.	Mt. Vernon, New Glarus, Verona	(none)
Nelson Telephone Cooperative	Durand, Gilmanton, Nelson	Arkansaw
Northeast Telephone Co.	Mill Center, Pulaski, Oneida	Krakow
Richland Grant Telephone Coop., Inc.	Blue River, Boaz, Gays Mills, Sabin, Soldier's Grove	(none)
Riverside Telcon, Inc.	Johnson Creek, Reeseville	(none)
Scandinavia Telephone Co.	Iola, Scandinavia	(none)

Rural Incumbent LEC	Exchanges for which ETC Status WAS Requested	Exchanges for which ETC Status WAS NOT Requested
Sharon Telephopne Co.	Sharon	(none)
Southeast Telephone Co.	Waterford, Wind Lake	(none)
State Long Distance Telephone Co.	Elkhorn	Lauderdale
Stockbridge & Sherwood Telephone Co.	Hilbert, Stockbridge, Tisch Mills	Sherwood
Telephone USA of Wisconsin, LLC	Eastman, Prarie Du Chein, Seneca, Wauzeka	Balsam Lake, Barrow, Birchwood, Boyceville, Butternut, Centuria, Colfax, Elk Mound, Elmwood, Gillett, Glenwood City, Glidden, Hayward, Knapp, Lakewood, Laona, Maiden Rock, Mellen, Park Falls, Pepin, Plum City, Prescott, Rice Lake, Saint Croix Falls, Spider Lake, Springbrook, Stone Lake, Suring, Wabeno, Wheeler, Winter.
Tenney Telephone Company	Alma	(none)
Tri-County Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	Eleva, Independence, Northfield, Pigeon Falls, Pleasantville, Strum	(none)
Union Telephone Co.	Almond, Coloma, Hancock, Plainfield	(none)
UTELCO, Inc.	Albany, Blanchardville, Browntown, Juda, Monticello, Monroe, South Wayne, Woodford	(none)
Vernon Telephone Cooperative	Desoto, Genoa, La Farge, Liberty Pole, Readstown, Viola, Westby, Yuba	(none)
Waunakee Telephone Co.	Waunakee	(none)
Wood County Telephone Co.	Nekoosa, Port Edwards, Rudolph, Wisconsin Rapids	

^c In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin – Casco.

Docket 8225-TI-102

^N In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin, Inc. – Northwest.

^W In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin – Wayside.

^P In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin – Platteville.

^{CM} In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin – CENCOM. Poysippi was identified as Pine Riv (sic).

Wire Centers Served by Non-rural Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers for which ETC Status was Requested

Wire Centers served by SBC Ameritech:

<u>DOMSWITCH</u>	<u>CITY</u>	<u>DOMSWITCH</u>	<u>CITY</u>
ALGMWI11RSO	ALGOMA	HOVLWI12RSO	HORTONVILLE
APPLWIO1DSO	APPLETON	HRCNWI11RSO	HORICON
BELTWIO1DSO	BELOIT	HRFRWI11RSO	HARTFORD
BFTWWI11RS1	WAUKESHA	HRLDWI11DSA	HARTLAND
BGBNWI11RSO	BIG BEND	JCSNWI11DSA	JACKSON
BRFDWI11RS3	BROOKFIELD	JFSNWI11RSO	JEFFERSON
BURL WI 11RSO	BURLINGTON	JNVLWIO1DSA	JANESVILLE
BVDMWIO1DSA	BEAVER DAM	JUNEWI11RSO	JUNEAU
CDBGWI15DSA	CEDARBURG	KAUKWI11RSO	KAUKAUNA
CLDNWI14RSO	CALEDONIA	KENOWIO1DSO	KENOSHA
CLMBWI11RSO	COLUMBUS	KENOWI11DSA	KENOSHA
DEPRWI11DSO	DE PERE	KEWNWI11RSO	KEWAUNEE
DLVNWI11RSO	DELAVAN	LCHTWI11RSO	LITTLE CHUTE
EVVL WI 11 RSO	EVANSVILLE	LKGNWIO1DSO	LAKE GENEVA
FDULWIO1DSO	FOND DU LAC	MDSNWI11DSO	MADISON
FTATWI11RSO	FTATKINSON	MDSNWI2DSO	MADISON
GNBYWIO1DS1	GREEN BAY	MDSNWI3DSO	MADISON
GNBYWI11DSA	GREEN BAY	MDSNWI4DSO	MADISON
GNBYWI12DSO	GREEN BAY	MDSNWI5DSA	MADISON
GNBYWI13DSO	GREEN BAY	MDSNWI6DSO	MADISON
GNCYWI12RSO	GENOA CITY	MILWWI1ODSA	MILWAUKEE
GNVLWI12RSO	GREENVILLE	MILWWI2DS2	MILWAUKEE
HBTSWI11DSO	HUBERTUS	MILWWI3DS1	MILWAUKEE

Docket 8225-TI-102

MILWWI16DSO	MILWAUKEE	PEWKWIIRS1	WAUKESHA
MILWWI17DSO	MILWAUKEE	PEWKWI40DSO	PEW AUKEE
MILWWI22DSO	MILWAUKEE	PLPRWIIIRSO	PLEASANTPR
MILWWI23DSO	MILWAUKEE	PRSDWIIIDSO ;	KENOSHA
MIL WWI25DSO	HALES CORNERS	PTW A WIIIRSO	PRT WASHINGTON
MIL WWI27DSO	MILWAUKEE	RACNWIOIDSO	RACINE
MIL WWI28DSA	MILWAUKEE	RACNWIIDSA	RACINE
MILWWI30DSO	MILWAUKEE	RCMDWIIIRSO	RICHMOND
MILWWI31DSO	MENOMONEE	SGTNWII1DSO	STOUGHTON
FALLS		SHBYWIO1DSO	SHEBOYGAN
MILWWI34DS1	MILWAUKEE	SHFLWI12DSO	SHEBOYGAN FLS
MILWWI38RS1	MILWAUKEE	SMRSWIIIRSO	KENOSHA
MILWWI42DSO	MILWAUKEE	STBYWIIIRSO	STURGEON BAY
MILWWI45DS1	BROOKFIELD	STPTWIO1DSO	STEVENS PT
MILWWI48DSA	MILWAUKEE	STRTWIIIDSO	STURTEVANT
MIL WWI56DSO	OAK CREEK	SUSXWI46D~1	SUSSEX
MNFLWI32DSA	MENOMONEE	UNGVWIIIRSO	UNION GROVE
FALLS		VNDNWIIIRSI	VAN DYNE
MNTWWIOIDSO	MANITOWOC	WAPNWIIIRSO	WAUPUN
MSKGW136DSA	MUSKEGO	WBNDWIO1DSO	WEST BEND
MYVLWIIIRSO	MAYVILLE	WHWRWIIIDSO	WHITEWATER
NENHWIIDSO	NEENAH	WKSHWI47DSA	WAUKESHA
NWBGWIIIRSO	NEWBURG	WMBYWIIDSA	WILLIAMS BAY
NWLNWIIIRSO	NEW LONDON	WNCNWII1DSO	WINNECONNE
OCNMWII1DSO	OCONOMOWOC	WPCAWIIIDSO	WAUPACA
OMROWIIDSO	OMRO	WRTWWI11RSO	WRIGHTSTOWN
OSHKWIO1DSA	OSHKOSH	WTTWWI01DSA	WATERTOWN

Wire Centers served by Verizon:

<u>DOMSWITCH</u>	<u>CITY</u>	<u>DOMSWITCH</u>	<u>CITY</u>
APRVILXARSO	APPLE RIVER	BRKL WIXBRSO	BROOKLYN
WRRNILXARSO	WARREN	BRSTWIXADSO	BRISTOL
ADMSWIXARSO	ADAMS	CDGVWIXARSO	CEDAR GROVE
ALNTWIXARSO	ALLETON	CITNWIXARSO	CHILTON
ARENWIXARS3	ARENA	CLTNWIXADSO	CLINTON
BLCYWIXARS1	BLOOM CITY	CLYMWIXARLO	JUNEAU
BLGMWIXARSO	BELGIUM	CMBRWIXARSO	CAMBRIDGE
BLHRWIXARSO	BAILEY HARBOR	CMPTWIXARSO	CAMPBELLSPORT
BLLNWIXARSO	BRILLION	COBBWIXARSO	COBB
BLVLWIXARSO	BELLEVILLE	CSCDWIXARSO	CASCADE
BRGVWIXARSO	BRIGGSVILLE	CTGVWIXADSO	COTTAGE GROVE
BRHDWIXADSO	BRODHEAD	DARNWIXADS2	DARIEN

DGVL WIXADSO	DODGEVILLE	NWHLWIXARSO	NEW HOLSTEIN
DRFDWIXARS 1	DEERFIELD	OKFDWIXADSO	OAKFIELD
EDENW1XARS4	EDEN	ORGNWIXADSO	OR
EGHRWIXARSO	EGG HARBOR	ORVLWIXADSO	ORFORDVILLE
EGTNWIXADSO	EDGERTON	OSBGWIARSO	OOSTBURG
ELLKWIXARSO	ELKHART LK	PDVLWIXARSO	PARDEEVILLE
GNBSWIXARSO	GREENBUSH	PLANWIXARS3	PLAIN
HLBRWIXARSO	HILBERT	PLMOWIXADSO	PLYMOUTH
HODL WIXARSO	HOLLANDALE	PRTGWIXADSO	PORTAGE
HSFDWIXARSO	HUSTISFORD	RCCTWIXADSO	RICHLAND CTR
ITHCWIXARSO	ITHACA	RDBGWIXADSO	REEDSBURG
JCPTWIXARLO	JACKSONPORT	RDVL WIXARSO	REEDSVILLE
JHBGWIXARSO	JOHNSBURG	RDWYWIXARSO	RIDGEWAY
KIEL WIXARSO	KIEL	RNLKWIXADSO	RANDOM LK
KWSKWIXARS2	KEWASKUM	SALMWIXARSO	SALEM
LBNNW1XARL	LEBANON	SKCYWIXADSO	SAUK CITY
LGVL WIXARSO	LOGANVILLE	SLLKWIXARSO	SILVER LAKE
LKML WIXADSO	LAKE MILLS	SLNGWIXADSO	SLINGER
LMRGWIXARSO	LIME RIDGE	SNPRWIXADSO	SUN PRAIRIE
LNRKWIXARSO	LONE ROCK	SPGRWIXADSO	SPRING GREEN
LODIWIXARSO	LODI	SSBYWIXADSO	SISTER BAY
LOMRWIXARS6	LOMIRA (DODGE)	STCDWIXARSO	ST CLOUD
LYSTWIXARLO	LYNDON STA	THRSWIXARS4	THERESA
MCF A WIXADSO	MC F ARLAND	TRVRWIXARSO	TREVOR .
MNCTWIXARS1	ARKDALE	TWLKWIXARSO	TWIN LAKES .
MNPTWIXARSO	MINERAL PT	TWRVWIXADSO	TWO RIVERS.
MPTNWIXARSO	OCONOMOWOC	WAISWIXARSO	WASHINGTON IS
MRFDWIXADSO	MARSHFIELD	WBKAWIXARSO	FREDONIA
MRMCWIXARSO	MERRIMAC	WHLWWIXARSO	WHITELAW
MRSHWIXARSO	MARSHALL	WIDLWIXADSO	WI DELLS
MSHCWIXARSO	MISSICOT	WLWOWIXADSO	WALWORTH
MSTNWIXADSI	MAUSTON	WSFDWIXARSO	WESTFIELD
MTCLWIXARSO	MOUNT CALVARY	WTRLWIXARSO	WATERLOO
MTLLWIXARSO	MONTELLO	WTWNWIXARSO	WITWEN
NESHWIXARSO	NEOSHO		

ATTACHMENT B

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

December 30, 2002

Certification Letter For

United States Cellular Corporation



Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Ave M. Bie, Chairperson
Joseph P. Mettner, Commissioner
Robert M. Garvin, Commissioner

610 North Whitney Way
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, WI 53707-7854

December 30, 2002

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL and FACSIMILE (202-418-0232)

Ms. Irene Flannery
Universal Service Administrative Company
2120 L Street, NW – Suite 600
Washington, DC 20037

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL and FACSIMILE (202-776-0080)

RE: CC Docket No. 96-45, Federal State Joint Board on Universal Service

Dear Ms. Dortch and Ms. Flannery:

At its open meeting of November 7, 2002, the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin ("PSCW") designated United States Cellular Corporation ("US Cellular") as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC") in Wisconsin,¹ pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2) and Wis. Admin. Code § 160.13. This letter is the PSCW's certification with the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") and the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") stating that all federal high-cost fund ("HCF") support provided to US Cellular in Wisconsin will be used only for its intended purpose.² This certification is to ensure HCF support to US Cellular for the remaining three quarters of calendar year 2003.

The FCC has not specified a mechanism pursuant to which such certification is to be accomplished. However, the PSCW has on prior certifications utilized the instant process of sworn affidavits from eligible carriers. The PSCW has received a sworn affidavit from US Cellular. In addition, the PSCW attaches two appendices listing the study area names, codes, and wire centers served by rural and non-rural Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers ("ILEC") within which US Cellular has requested ETC status.

¹ *Application of United States Cellular Corporation for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Wisconsin*, Docket No. 8225-TI-102 (Order dated December 20, 2002).

² *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45/CC Docket No. 00-256, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-45 and Report and Order in CC Docket No. 00-256 (FCC 01-157) (rel. May 23, 2001).

In its sworn affidavit, US Cellular states:

4. Carrier files this affidavit in compliance with the Federal Communications Commission's order of May 23, 2001, in Docket No. 96-45, which requires states to certify to the Federal Communications Commission that all Federal High-Cost Support within this state be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

5. Carrier hereby states that it will use the Federal High Cost Support Funds only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended pursuant to § 254(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Relying on these sworn affidavits, the Commission certifies that all the Federal High Cost Support Funds received by US Cellular will be used for only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended pursuant to § 254(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Questions regarding this matter may be directed to David Albino at (608) 266-1567.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, 30 December 2002

For the Commission:



David Albino
Administrator
Telecommunications Division

DA:t:\staff\jlr\uscc cert letter2002.doc

Enclosures

cc: Records Management, PSCW
8225-TI-102 Service List

ATTACHMENT A

**Exchanges Served by Rural Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers
for which ETC Status was Requested**

Amherst Telephone Company	330843	Amherst, Custer, Rosholt,	(none)
Belmont Telephone Co.	330847	Belmont	(none)
Bergen Telephone Co.	330848	Bergen	(none)
Black Earth Telephone Co.	330849	Black Earth	(none)
Burlington, Brighton and Wheatland Tel. Co.	330856	Bohners Lake, Wheatland	(none)
Central State Telephone Co.	330859	Auburndale, Junction City, Lindsey, Necedah, Pittsville, Vesper,	Cranmoor, Mill Creek
CenturyTel of Fairwater Brandon Alto, LLC (1910)	330877	Brandon	(none)
CenturyTel of Forestville, LLC (2050)	330884	Brussels, Forestville, Little Sturgeon	(none)
CenturyTel of Central Wisconsin, LLC (2055)	331159	Alma Center, Arcadia, Argyle, Bangor, Black Creek, Black River Falls, Benton^{CC}, Blair, Centerville, Darlington, Denmark, Ettrick, Fairchild, Fountain City, Galesville, Gratiot, Holmen, Hixton, Kingston, Luxemburg, Markesan, Melrose, Merrillan, Mindoro, Montfort, Muscoda, New Franklin, Nichols, Osseo, Pickett, Rosendale, Seymour, Shiocton, Shullsburg, Taylot, Trempealeau, Wautoma, Whitehall, Wiota	Augusta, Cleghorn, Fall Creek

CenturyTel of the Midwest – Kendall, LLC (2815)	330924	Baraboo, Berlin, Green Lake, Kendall, Mazomanie, North Freedom, Princeton, Red Granite	Ashland, Bayfield, Cornell, Hurley, Saxon, Ladysmith, Marinette, McAllister, Oconto, Oconto Falls, Peshtigo, Stanley, John, Pattison, Washburn
CenturyTel of Monroe County, LLC (3810)	330913	Cashton, Cataract, Norwalk, Ontario, Sparta, Wilton	(none)
CenturyTel of Larsen- Readfield, LLC (3070)	330898	Larsen, Readfield	(none)
CenturyTel of Southern Wisconsin, LLC (4590)	330931	Cambria, Fall River, Fox Lake, Rio, Randolph	(none)
CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin, LLC (4260)	330959	Avoca, Boscobel, Casco ^C , DeForest, Delafield, Dousman, Eagle, East Troy, Footville ^W , Fremont ^{CM} , Genesee, Hazel Green ^P , Highland, Milton ^{CM} , Mt. Zion, Mukwanago, Neskoro, North Prairie, Platteville ^P , Poynette, Poysippi ^{CM} , Ripon, Steuben, Sullivan, Tomah, Warrens, Wayside ^W , Weyawega ^C , Wild Rose, Wonewoc	Amberg, Boyd, Cadott, Chetek, Coleman, Crivitz, Cumberland, , Goodman, Harmony, Lena, Pembine, Sarona, Shell Lake, Spooner, Thorp, Turtle Lake, Twin Bridge, Wausaukee
CenturyTel of Wisconsin, LLC (2930)	330895	Onalaska, LaCrosse, West Salem,	(none)
Citizen's Telecommunications Company, of Illinois	330863	East Dubuque	Fairplay
Cochrane Cooperative Telephone Company	330866	Chochrane, Waumandee	(none)
Coon Valley Farmers Telephone Company	330868	Coon Valley, Chaseburg, Stoddard	(none)
Cuba City Telephone Company	330872	Cuba City	(none)

Dickeyville Telephone Company	330875	Dickeyville	(none)
EastCoast Telecom, Inc.	330914	Cleveland, Collins, Howard's Grove, St. Nazianz, Valders	(none)
Farmers Telephone Co.	330880	Beetown, Cassville, Lancaster, Potosi	(none)
Frontier Communications of Mondovi, Inc.	330912	Mondovi	(none)
Frontier Communications of Wisconsin, Inc.	330964	Bear Creek, Clintonville, Marion, Tigerton	Bowler, Cecil, Gresham, Keshena, Neopit, Shawno
Frontier Communications of Viroqua, Inc.	330967	Viroqua	(none)
Grantland Telecom, Inc.	330930	Bagley, Bloomington, Fennimore, Mount Hope, Woodman	(none)
Hillsboro Telephone Co.	330892	Hillsboro	(none)
La Valle Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	330899	Cazenovia, La Valle	(none)
Lakefield Telephone Company	330896	Newton, Newtonburg	(none)
Lemonweir Valley Telephone Co.	330900	Camp Douglas, New Lisbon	(none)
Manawa Telephone Company	330905	Manawa, Ogdensburg	(none)
Marquette-Adams Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	330908	Brooks, Endeavor, Oxford, Packwaukee	Easton, FCI, Jordan Lake,
Mid-Plains Telephone, Inc.	330881	Cross Plains, Middleton	(none)
Mt. Horeb Telephone Co.	330916	Mt. Horeb	(none)
Mt. Vernon Telephone Co.	330917	Mt. Vernon, New Glarus, Verona	(none)
Nelson Telephone Cooperative	330918	Durand, Gilmanton, Nelson	Arkansaw
Northeast Telephone Co.	330938	Mill Center, Pulaski, Oneida	Krakow
Richland Grant Telephone Coop., Inc.	330942	Blue River, Boaz, Gays Mills, Sabin, Soldier's Grove	(none)
Riverside Telecom, Inc.	330943	Johnson Creek, Reeseville	(none)
Scandinavia Telephone Co.	330945	Iola, Scandinavia	(none)

Sharon Telephone Co.	330946	Sharon	(none)
Southeast Telephone Co.	330952	Waterford, Wind Lake	(none)
State Long Distance Telephone Co.	330955	Elkhorn	Lauderdale
Stockbridge & Sherwood Telephone Co.	330954	Hilbert, Stockbridge, Tisch Mills	Sherwood
Telephone USA of Wisconsin, LLC	331155	Eastman, Prarie Du Chein, Seneca, Wauzeka	Balsam Lake, Barrow, Birchwood, Boyceville, Butternut, Centuria, Colfax, Elk Mound, Elmwood, Gillett, Glenwood City, Glidden, Hayward, Knapp, Lakewood, Laona, Maiden Rock, Mellen, Park Falls, Pepin, Plum City, Prescott, Rice Lake, Saint Croix Falls, Spider Lake, Springbrook, Stone Lake, Suring, Wabeno, Wheeler, Winter.
Tenney Telephone Company	330958	Alma	(none)
Tri-County Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	330960	Eleva, Independence, Northfield, Pigeon Falls, Pleasantville, Strum	(none)
Union Telephone Co.	330962	Almond, Coloma, Hancock, Plainfield	(none)
UTELCO, Inc.	330963	Albany, Blanchardville, Browntown, Juda, Monticello, Monroe, South Wayne, Woodford	(none)
Vernon Telephone Cooperative	330966	Desoto, Genoa, La Farge, Liberty Pole, Readstown, Viola, Westby, Yuba	(none)
Waunakee Telephone Co.	330968	Waunakee	(none)

Wood County Telephone Co.	330974	Nekoosa, Port Edwards, Rudolph, Wisconsin Rapids	

^C In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin – Casco.

^N In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin, Inc. – Northwest.

^W In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin – Wayside.

^P In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin – Platteville.

^{CM} In it's application, US Cellular incorrectly identified this exchange as being served by CenturyTel of the Midwest – Wisconsin – CENCOM. Poysippi was identified as Pine Riv (sic).

ATTACHMENT B

**Wire Centers Served by Non-rural Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers
for which ETC Status was Requested**

Wire Centers served by Wisconsin Bell (d/b/a SBC Wisconsin)

DOMSWITCH	CITY
ALGMWI11RSO	ALGOMA
APPLWIO1DSO	APPLETON
BELTWIO1DSO	BELOIT
BFTWWI11RS1	WAUKESHA
BGBNWI11RSO	BIG BEND
BRFDWI11RS3	BROOKFIELD
BURL WI 11RSO	BURLINGTON
BVDMWIO1DSA	BEAVER DAM
CDBGWI15DSA	CEDARBURG
CLDNWI14RSO	CALEDONIA
CLMBWI11RSO	COLUMBUS
DEPRWI11DSO	DE PERE
DLVNWII1RSO	DELAVAN
EVVL WI 11 RSO	EVANSVILLE
FDULWIO1DSO	FOND DU LAC
FTATWI11RSO	FTATKINSON
GNBYWIO1DS1	GREEN BAY
GNBYWI11DSA	GREEN BAY
GNBYWI12DSO	GREEN BAY
GNBYWI13DSO	GREEN BAY
GNCYWI12RSO	GENOA CITY
GNVLWI12RSO	GREENVILLE
HBTSWI11DSO	HUBERTUS
HOVLWI12RSO	HORTONVILLE
HRCNWI11RSO	HORICON
HRFRWI11RSO	HARTFORD
HRLDWI11DSA	HARTLAND
JCSNWI11DSA	JACKSON
JFSNWI11RSO	JEFFERSON
JNVLWIO1DSA	JANESVILLE
JUNEWI11RSO	JUNEAU
KAUKWI11RSO	KAUKAUNA
KENOWI01DSO	KENOSHA
KENOWI11DSA	KENOSHA
KEWNWI11RSO	KEWAUNEE
LCHTWI11RSO	LITTLE CHUTE

DOMSWITCH	CITY
LKGNWIO1DSO	LAKE GENEVA
MDSNWI11DSO	MADISON
MDSNWI22DSO	MADISON
MDSNWI33DSO	MADISON
MDSNWI44DSO	MADISON
MDSNWI55DSA	MADISON
MDSNWI66DSO	MADISON
MILWWI10DSA	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI12DS2	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI13DS1	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI16DSO	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI17DSO	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI22DSO	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI23DSO	MILWAUKEE
MIL WWI25DSO	HALES CORNERS
MIL WWI27DSO	MILWAUKEE
MIL WWI28DSA	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI30DSO	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI31DSO	MENOMONEE FALLS
MILWWI34DS1	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI38RS1	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI42DSO	MILWAUKEE
MILWWI45DS1	BROOKFIELD
MILWWI48DSA	MILWAUKEE
MIL WWI56DSO	OAK CREEK
MNFLWI32DSA	MENOMONEE FALLS
MNTWWI01DSO	MANITOWOC
MSKGWI36DSA	MUSKEGO
MYVLWI11RSO	MAYVILLE
NENHWI11DSO	NEENAH
NWBGWI11RSO	NEWBURG
NWLNWI11RSO	NEW LONDON
OCNMWI11DSO	OCONOMOWOC
OMROWI11DSO	OMRO

DOMSWITCH	CITY
OSHKWIO1DSA	OSHKOSH
PEWKWIIRSI	WAUKESHA
PEWKWI40DSO	PEW AUKEE
PLPRWIIIRSO	PLEASANTPR
PRSDWIIIDSO ;	KENOSHA
PTW A WIIIRSO	PRT WASHINGTON
RACNWIOIDSO	RACINE
RACNWIIDSA	RACINE
RCMDWIIIRSO	RICHMOND
SGTNWII1DSO	STOUGHTON
SHBYWIO1DSO	SHEBOYGAN
SHFLWI12DSO	SHEBOYGAN FLS
SMRSWIIIRSO	KENOSHA
STBYWIIIRSO	STURGEON BAY

DOMSWITCH	CITY
STPTWIO1DSO	STEVENS PT
STRTWIIDSO	STURTEVANT
SUSXWI46D~1	SUSSEX
UNGVWIIIRSO	UNION GROVE
VNDNWIIIRSI	VAN DYNE
WAPNWIIIRSO	WAUPUN
WBNDWIO1DSO	WEST BEND
WHWRWIIIDSO	WHITEWATER
WKSHWI47DSA	WAUKESHA
WMBYWIIIDSA	WILLIAMS BAY
WNCNWII1DSO	WINNECONNE
WPCAWIIIDSO	WAUPACA
WRTWWI1IRSO	WRIGHTSTOWN
WTTWWI01DSA	WATERTOWN

Wire Centers served by Verizon North Inc:

DOMSWITCH	CITY
APRVILXARSO	APPLE RIVER
WRRNILXARSO	WARREN
ADMSWIXARSO	ADAMS
ALNTWIXARSO	ALLETON
ARENWIXARS3	ARENA
BLCYWIXARSI	BLOOM CITY
BLGMWIXARSO	BELGIUM
BLHRWIXARSO	BAILEY HARBOR
BLLNWIXARSO	BRILLION
BLVLWIXARSO	BELLEVILLE
BRGVWIXARSO	BRIGGSVILLE
BRHDWIXADSO	BRODHEAD
BRKL WIXBRSO	BROOKLYN
BRSTWIXADSO	BRISTOL
CDGVWIXARSO	CEDAR GROVE
CITNWIXARSO	CHILTON
CLTNWIXADSO	CLINTON
CLYMWIXARLO	JUNEAU
CMBRWIXARSO	CAMBRIDGE
CMPTWIXARSO	CAMPBELLSPORT
COBBWIXARSO	COBB
CSCDWIXARSO	CASCADE
CTGVWIXADSO	COTTAGE GROVE
DARNWIXADS2	DARIEN
DGVL WIXADSO	DODGEVILLE
DRFDWIXARS 1	DEERFIELD
EDENWIXARS4	EDEN
EGHRWIXARSO	EGG HARBOR
EGTNWIXADSO	EDGERTON
ELLKWIXARSO	ELKHART LK
GNBSWIXARSO	GREENBUSH
HLBRWIXARSO	HILBERT
HODL WIXARSO	HOLLANDALE
HSFDWIXARSO	HUSTISFORD
ITHCWIXARSO	ITHACA
JCPTWIXARLO	JACKSONPORT
JHBGWIXARSO	JOHNSBURG
KIEL WIXARSO	KIEL
KWSKWIXARS2	KEWASKUM
LBNNW1XARL	LEBANON
LGVL WIXARSO	LOGANVILLE

DOMSWITCH	CITY
LKML WIXADSO	LAKE MILLS
LMRGWIXARSO	LIME RIDGE
LNKRWIXARSO	LONE ROCK
LODIWIXARSO	LODI
LOMRWIXARS6	LOMIRA (DODGE)
LYSTWIXARLO	LYNDON STA
MCF A WIXADSO	MC F ARLAND
MNCTWIXARSI	ARKDALE
MNPTWIXARSO	MINERAL PT
MPTNWIXARSO	OCONOMOWOC
MRFDWIXADSO	MARSHFIELD
MRMCWIXARSO	MERRIMAC
MRSHWIXARSO	MARSHALL
MSHCWIXARSO	MISSICOT
MSTNWIXADSI	MAUSTON
MTCLWIXARSO	MOUNT CALVARY
MTLLWIXARSO	MONTELLO
NESHWIXARSO	NEOSHO
NWHLWIXARSO	NEW HOLSTEIN
OKFDWIXADSO	OAKFIELD
ORGNWIXADSO	OR
ORVLWIXADSO	ORFORDVILLE
OSBGWIARSO	OOSTBURG
PDVLWIXARSO	PARDEEVILLE
PLANWIXARS3	PLAIN
PLMOWIXADSO	PLYMOUTH
PRTGWIXADSO	PORTAGE
RCCTWIXADSO	RICHLAND CTR
RDBGWIXADSO	REEDSBURG
RDVL WIXARSO	REEDSVILLE
RDWYWIXARSO	RIDGEWAY
RNLKWIXADSO	RANDOM LK
SALMWIXARSO	SALEM
SKCYWIXADSO	SAUK CITY
SLLKWIXARSO	SILVER LAKE
SLNGWIXADSO	SLINGER
SNPRWIXADSO	SUN PRAIRIE
SPGRWIXADSO	SPRING GREEN
SSBYWIXADSO	SISTER BAY
STCDWIXARSO	ST CLOUD

DOMSWITCH	CITY
THRSWIXARS4	THERESA
TRVRWIXARSO	TREVOR .
TWLKWIXARSO	TWIN LAKES .
TWRVWIXADSO	TWO RIVERS.
WAISWIXARSO	WASHINGTON IS
WBKAWIXARSO	FREDONIA
WHLWWIXARSO	WHITE LAW

DOMSWITCH	CITY
WIDLWIXADSO	WI DELLS
WLWOWIXADSO	WALWORTH
WSFDWIXARSO	WESTFIELD
WTRLWIXARSO	WATERLOO
WTWNWIXARSO	WITWEN