


Beth Jacob High School
4421 15th Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11219
718-851-2319



Letter of Appeal

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
~~DOCKET FILE COPY DUPLICATE~~

December 17, 2002

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

RE: CC Docket Nos 96-45 and 97-21

Entity# 11882
471 Application #: 222224
FRN Numbers: 560740, 561087, 561109, 561167, 561176,
561190, 561438, 561456, 561487, 561502,
561511, 561689, 561843, 561863, 564664,
584741, and 584882
Funding Year: 7/1/2001-6/30/2002

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

We are appealing the denial of an appeal that our school submitted to the *Schools and Libraries* Division of USAC. The appeal was in regard to a change in the discount level our school received for items and services applied for in our Funding Year 4, 7/1/2001-6/30/2002 E-Rate form 471 application number 222224.

On the form 471 we indicated that our school was eligible for a 90% discount based on greater than 75% of our students being eligible for the National School Lunch Program.

The impact of the change in discount was that we received a 60% discount instead of a 90% discount on telecommunication funding and we were totally denied all internal connection funding because, as indicated on the Funding Commitment Decision Letter, the funding cap did not provide for internal connections for schools with less than a 90% discount level.

When I first spoke to the reviewer I did not intend to imply that our determination of discount eligibility was based solely on a survey. The first time that there was a reference to a survey was in the PIA reviewer's fax to me asking for a copy of the survey that he understood I had told him we had done.

As indicated on our appeal our original determination was based on a combination of sources. Included among these sources was information annotated during tuition assistance interviews.

The PIA process was done at the time we were preparing to move to our new building and our records were in storage for the move. In order to provide the information PIA requested we would have had to take the records out of storage and review the scholarship information. This

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

process would have taken more time than we understood PIA was willing to wait. We therefore decided to do a current survey.

Regardless of the PIA reviewer's understanding of our verbal communications, we responded to his request for information substantiating our request for a 90% discount with a survey that clearly showed our school was eligible for the 90% discount we requested.

In regard to the SLD's indication that the **survey** we used was insufficient to determine discounts per program rules, the survey used was provided by Agudath Israel of America as part of an E-Rate Material package which was given Out at the E-Rate workshops, which they sponsored. SLD representatives gave these workshops.

The survey shows a chart of family size corresponding to family income and asked the respondents to indicate if their household income was equal to or less than the income indicated for their family size. Even if the respondent did not indicate the family size or income, answering the question determines if the family is above or below 185% of the federal poverty guideline.

In addition to the question of family size compared to income the survey included questions in regard to eligibility for:

- Food Stamps
- Medicaid
- Supplementary Income (SSI)
- Section 8
- Home Energy Assistance (LIHEAP)

We feel the SLD denial of our appeal should be overturned for the following reasons:

1- We provided an email correspondence from the coordinator of the New York State Department of Child Nutrition Management System indicating that the database, which the SLD used in determining our discount level, was not necessarily accurate for our school.

2- We responded to PIA's request for information substantiating our request for a 90% discount by providing the information from the survey performed. The survey information we provided was in a format that met program rules for determining discounts and demonstrated that our school qualifies for the 90% discount requested.

We therefore request that Funding Decision Commitment Letters be issued providing us with a 90% discount and approval of our internal connections **FRN(s)**.

Based on the information presented herein we request that our appeal be granted and our discount percentage be changed to 90% and the denied **FRN(s)** be approved for funding.

Sincerely,



Yitzchok Kaplan
Administrator

BETH JACOB HIGH SCHOOL
4421 15th Ave
Brooklyn NY 11219

March 20, 2002

Contents of Appeal Package:

- 1- Letter of Appeal
- 2- Copy of Survey Information
- 3- Copy of E-mail from Rich Connell

Beth Jacob High School

4421 15th avenue
Brooklyn NY 11219
718-851-2319

March 20, 2002

Letter of Appeal
Schools and Libraries Division
Box 125-Correspondence Unit
50 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, NJ 07981

Letter of Appeal

Entity# 11882
Application# 222224
Funding Year 07/01/2001 - 06/30/2002

The following is an appeal of the funding commitment for Application # 222224 and all the FRN's contained within. The telecommunications portion of the application's funding was modified with the explanation that "The site-specific discount was corrected." The Internal Connections portion of the application's funding was denied with the explanation that "Funding cap will not provide for Internal Connections < 85% discount to be funded."

We are appealing the decision to lower our discount to 60% based on the fact that greater than seventy-five percent of our student body come from family units whose income is at or below 185% of the federal poverty guideline and are therefore eligible for the National School Lunch Program and our school therefore qualifies for a 90% discount.

The determination that our school qualified for a ninety percent discount was based on information from the NSLP application process and from information gathered during the tuition assistance application process.

As per the attached email from Mr. Rich Connell the coordinator for the New York State Department of Education Child Nutrition Management System, due to cultural and social reasons and using Mr. Connell's example of "fear of being stigmatized" it is not uncommon for high school students to decline participation in the NSLP. Our school, a Jewish Parochial Girls' High School, has all of these dynamics in affect simultaneously. We therefore have a very low participation Level of potentially qualifying students in the NSLP.

Due to this fact, the percentage of eligible students shown for our school on the New York State Department of Education Child Nutrition Management System's web site, "Comparison of Free/Reduced Lunch Eligibles to Enrollment" is incorrectly low.

Also due to this fact we had to use both NSLP enrollment and other alternate measures of poverty such as food stamps and section eight to determine the over all percentages for our school.

During the time our application was being reviewed I received a telephone call from a reviewer Mr. Frank Jones. After our conversation I received a fax from Mr. Jones asking me to provide information about the "surveys" I had done to determine our discount level.

Due to the fact that the information we used to determine our discount level was based on a combination of sources, the information was not in a concise format and we would not have been able to respond to Mr. Jones within the time frame he was requesting. In order to comply with Mr. Jones's request as expeditiously as possible we performed a current survey and faxed the results to Mr. Jones.

The format for the survey was taken from an E-Rate handbook distributed by Agudath Israel of America. We received the handbook at a workshop run by Win Himsworth the E-Rate coordinator for the New York State Department of Education. We subsequently faxed a copy of the survey to Mr. Hiinsworth for his review and he indicated the survey seemed to provide all the information needed.

Attached is a copy of the survey information that was faxed to the Mr. Frank Jones.


When we spoke with the Mr. Jones after he received the survey he indicated that everything seemed in order, We than received a call from another reviewer inquiring as to the date of the survey. After providing the second reviewer with the information requested she also indicated everything was in order.

There was no indication that anything was wrong with the survey or that additional or different information was needed.

The funding commitment decision letter did not specifically indicate what the decision to lower our discount was based on. If the information presented in this appeal has addressed the issue at hand please issue new funding commitment letters with the correct discount and funding for Internal Connections.

If this appeal has not addressed the issue at hand please provide us with the information on how the decision was reached so that we will be able to respond in an appropriate fashion.

Respectfully submitted by,


Yitzchok Kaplan
Administrator

Beth Jacob High School

4421 15th avenue
Brooklyn NY 11219
718-851-2319

January 30, 2002

Attn: Mr. **Frank** Jones
Schools and Libraries Division

Re: E-Rate application number **222224**

Dear Mr. Jones,

With regard to your request for documentation that our school **is** entitled to receive the 90% *discount* rate.

Our enrollment **is** seven hundred and ninety one students. We conducted **a** survey and **sent** out **forms** to the entire student body. Four hundred forty one **forms** were returned of which Three hundred **thirty** nine are from **low** income families that are **eligible** for **free** or reduced lunch.

All the returned forms are on file **and** all the numbers stated are actual **and** not **a** projection.

Sincerely,


Yitzchok Kaplan

Beth Jacob High School

4421 15th Avenue
Brooklyn NY 11219
718-851-2319

7"בב

Dear Parents:

We are applying for federal financial assistance to help obtain **various** technology services under a federally funded program known as E-Rate (for "Educational-Rate"). We need your **help** to maximize the aid **we** will receive.

The **size of** the federal E-Rate **grant depends**, in part, on the **number** of students attending our schools who come from families **with** income below certain **levels**. **Our** ability to *identify* all such students- whether or not they participate on our free and **reduced** priced milk or lunch **programs- will thus make** a significant difference in the federal **funding** we receive.

Please **take** a **minute**, therefore, to fill out and return **the** attached form as **soon as possible**. **This** information **will remain** confidential. The **data** will be reported **as a** group total, **not** by individual families

Thank you for helping our yeshiva stretch its technology resources. **If you have any** questions, **please** call our office

Please complete **and** return to:

Beth Jacob High School
4421 15th Ave.
Brooklyn, New York 11219

Fax: (718) 435-3736



Administrator’s Decision on Appeal - Funding Year 2001-2002

October 21, 2002

Yitzchok Kaplan
Beth Jacob HS
4421 15th Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11219

Re: Billed Entity Number 11882
471 Application Number. 222224
Funding Request Number(s): 560740, 561087, 561109, 561167, 561176,
561190, 561438, 561456, 561487, 561502,
561511, 561689, 561843, 561863, 564664,
584741, 584882
Your Correspondence Dated: March 20, 2002

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (“SLD”) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (“USAC”) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of SLD’s Year Four Funding Commitment Decision for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD’s decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day time period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”). If your letter of appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that for each application for which an appeal is submitted, a separate letter is sent.

Funding Request Number: 560740, 561087, 561109, 561167, 561176, 561190,
561438, 561456, 561487, 561502, 561511, 561689,
561843, 561863, 564664, 584741, 584882

Decision on Appeal: **Denied in full**
Explanation:

- In your letter of appeal you state that you are appealing the SLD’s decision to lower your discount from 90% to 60% based on the fact that greater than 75% of your student body come from families whose income is at or below 185% of the federal poverty guideline. The determination that your school is eligible for 90% discount was based on the information from NSLP applications and information gathered from tuition assistance applications. You have included an e-mail from Rich Connell of the NY State DOE which notes that while free and reduced eligibility data is often used as a measure of poverty, there are many cases in

PLEASE PRINT

Family Name [REDACTED]
 Address [REDACTED]
 City, State, Zip N.Y. - BROOKLYN 11230
 Signature of Parent/Guardian [REDACTED]
 Date 1/28/02

The following table shows the income levels used by the E-Rate program to determine discounts on technology services for our school.

Household Size (Adults and Children)	Annual Income (As Reported to IRS)
1	\$ 15,892
2	<u>\$ 21,479</u>
3	\$ 27,066
4	\$ 32,653
5	\$ 38,240
6	\$43,827
<u>7</u>	\$49,414
8	\$ 55,001
9	\$ 60,588
10	\$ 66,175
11	\$ 71,762
12	\$ 77,349

Each additional family member + \$ 5,587

Is your annual household income equal or less than the amount shown for your family size? Yes No

Is your family eligible for food stamps? Yes NO

Does your family qualify for medical assistance under Medicaid? Yes No

Is your family receiving Supplementary Security Income (SSI)? Yes No

Does your family receive housing assistance (Section 8)? Yes No

Does your family receive home energy assistance (LIHEAP)? Yes No

Please list the students in your family attending our school:

Name <u>[REDACTED]</u>	Grade <u>10</u>
Name _____	Grade _____

THIS INFORMATION IS CONFIDENTIAL AND WILL BE KEPT IN OUR SCHOOL.
 THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP.

PLEASE PRINT

Family Name [REDACTED]
 Address [REDACTED] STREET
 City, State, Zip BROOKLYN NEW YORK 11218
 Signature of Parent/Guardian [Signature]
 Date 1/25/02

The following table shows the Income levels used by the E-Rate program to determine discounts on technology services for our school.

Household Size (Adults and Children)	Annual Income (As Reported to IRS)
1	\$ 15,892
2	\$ 21,479
3	\$27,066
4	\$ 32,653
5	\$ 38,240
6	\$43,827
7	\$49,414
<u>8</u>	\$ 55,001
	\$ 60,588
10	\$ 66,175
11	\$ 71,762
12	\$ 77,349

Each additional family member + \$5,587

Is your annual household income equal or less than the amount shown for your family size? Yes No

Is your family eligible for food stamps? Yes No

Does your family qualify for medical assistance under Medicaid? Yes No

Is your family receiving Supplementary Security income (SSI)? Yes No

Does your family receive housing assistance (Section 8)? Yes No

Does your family receive home energy assistance (LIHEAP)? Yes No

Please list the students in your family attending our school:

Name [REDACTED] Grade 11
 Name [REDACTED] Grade 9
 Name _____ Grade _____
 Name _____ Grade _____
 Name _____ Grade _____
 Name _____ Grade _____