

From: Que Gatlin
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my concern over the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Que Gatlin
3645 Cardiff Avenue
#309
Los Angeles, CA 90034-7805

From: KEISHA WILLIS
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

KEISHA WILLIS
537 E 99TH STREET
APT A
INGLEWOOD, CA 90301

From: Paulette Halili
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Paulette Halili
PO Box 54423
Irvine, CA 92619

From: Derek Fong
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Derek Fong
2433 Barry Ave
Apt 108
Los Angeles, CA 90064

From: YOLANDA COLEMAN
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 909 area code in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. **If** the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. This could take several weeks of my time and cost me business and several hundred dollars (or more) in postage, stationery, etc.

And, **Im** not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

YOLANDA COLEMAN
30257 CORTE COELHO RD
TEMECULA , CA 92591

From: John Polaschek
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. This cost would be incurred by my cellular phone company and passed on to me I am sure. Not to mention that I will be required to reprint all of my letterhead and business cards.

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally. This seems only like a temporary fix anyways. At a later date, who's to say that a new area code won't be needed for land lines anyway?

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Thank you

John Polaschek

Sincerely,

John Polaschek
3914 Castle Pines Way
Corona, CA 92883-0643

From: Charles Melichar
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Charles Melichar
3776 alder pl
chino hills, CA 91709

From: Jeff McDonald
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 area code in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There **is** an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Jeff McDonald
2030 N Vermont Ave #8
Los Angeles, CA 90027

From: Patrick Seitz
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

I deleted the pre-written letter, as it was too wordy for my feelings. Basically, I don't see the logic or fairness in taking phone numbers from the people who have been paying for them and handing them over to new customers.

Sincerely,

Patrick Seitz
2932 Pecos Way
Riverside, CA 92506

From: Marilyn S. Radosevich
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Marilyn S. Radosevich
751 San Juan Dr
Hemet, CA 92543

From: Mike Kelly
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to negotiate a new number with my wireless company and have my phone's reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. Has anyone done a study on the cost to consumers to make these changes because you are forcing us to do so. Who is going to pay these charges? The consumer of course.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't acceptable; everyone should be treated with more respect.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay. Or find other alternatives. You will only have to face this again in the future.

I do not believe even any of you are looking forward to making these changes in your area but it will come,

Representative Jane Harman (Rep. California)

Kathleen Abernathy (Commissioner FCC)

Geoffrey Brown (California Public Utilities Comm)

Michael Copps (Commissioner FCC)

Loretta Lynch (Pres. California Public Utilities Comm)

Kevin Martin (Commissioner FCC)

Michael Peevey (California Public Utilities Comm)

Michael Powell (Chairman FCC)

Carl Wood (California Public Utilities Comm)

Jonathan S. Adelstein (Commissioner FCC)

Again, taking away this many peoples telephone numbers is unfair, discriminatory and unprecedented.

My wife who works for SBC Pacific Bell (for 23 years) has had to Project Manage NPA Splits in the past and completely understands the complexity of the situation. She believes that there are alternatives. Are you sure everyone is working with the right people to solve this ongoing problem.

PS, I do not have a land line and will be significantly impacted by this change.

Respectfully,
Mike Kelly
909 Area Code Resident

Sincerely,

Mike Kelly
22245 Tumbleweed Dr
Canyon Lake, CA 92587

From: Robert Tenorio
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Robert Tenorio
1506 West 187th Place
Gardena, CA 90248

From: nicole carzoo
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [The cost itself would make the use of any 909 cell phone unreal, as if the rising prices of gasoline aren't enough to the average consumer. Now there's a possibility of making the cellphone even more expensive than it already is.] And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

nicole carzoo
9030 cielito st
alta loma, CA 91701

From: Kevin Colwell
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:06AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Kevin Colwell
2615-C W 235th St
Torrance, CA 90505

From: Steve Gilbert
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:07 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change – all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

The other area codes didn't take away cell phone numbers, so why now just to these two area codes?

Sincerely,

Steve Gilbert
660 Wedgewood Ave.
#3
Upland, CA 91786

From: Roger Tanaka
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:07AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Roger Tanaka
11651 Gorham Ave #1
los angeles, CA 90049

From: Ming Liu
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:07 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all Services overlay.

Sincerely,

Ming Liu
PO Box 1107
Patton, CA 92369

From: Charles Chace
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:07 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Charles Chace
11171 Oakwood Dr. #D306
Loma Linda, CA 92354

From: ho kim
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:07AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

i don't want ma phone no. to be changed
thz

Sincerely,

ho kim
25968 brentwood Ave
lomalinda, CA 92354

From: Randy Andrews
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:07 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [I have several hundreds of dollars of real estate signs and printed materials that would be worthless]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Randy Andrews
41905 Shorewood Ct.
Temecula, CA 92591

From: Roberta Wong
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:07 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Roberta Wong
29503 Baycrest Drive
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

From: Colleen Anglin
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: 1/5/03 7:07 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Jonathan S. Adelstein:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my displeasure concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Colleen Anglin
2927 Galloping Hills
Chino Hills, CA 91709

From: Alexis Page
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Mon. Jan 20, 2003 11:25 AM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Alexis Page (page@estatemanager.net) writes:

Dear Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing you in a matter of significant importance.
As a Los Angeles AT+T wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number "take away" in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed.

THIS WILL BE DEVASTASTING TO ME PROFESSIONALLY AS MY CELLULAR PHONE NUMBER (310) 562-0150 IS IN FACT MY BUSINESS TELEPHONE NUMBER!

I am not alone in this area. Many other people I know depend on their wireless phone numbers for business and livelihood. Clients as well as professionals will be harmed should this proposal be enacted

If enacted, this change would affect roughly 3 million customers,

Thank You

Alexis Page
page@estatemanager.net

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 66.218.40.79
Remote IP address: 66.218.40.79

From: SGasster@aol.com
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Wed, Jan 22, 2003 11:25 PM
Subject: proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California

Dear Chairman Powell and other officials:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Thank You

Dr. Samuel Gasster
Los Angeles, CA 90064
Area code 310
sgasster@aol.com

CC: commissionerlynch@cpuc.ca.gov

From: DOUGBUTLER@aol.com
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sun, Jan 19, 2003 9:45 PM
Subject: split area code 310 and 909

Please split the 310 and 909 area codes I have phone numbers in both DO NOT OVERLAY DO NOT REASSIGN CELL PHONES Doug Butler 5417
Valley View Road,
Rancho Palos Verdes CA 90275

From: Renee Gonzalez
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Sat, Jan 25, 2003 12:38 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [if you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, Im not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isnt fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Renee Gonzalez
1339 N. Vineyard ave
Ontario, CA 91764

From: SGasster@aol.com
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Wed, Jan 22, 2003 11:25 PM
Subject: proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California

Dear Chairman Powell and other officials:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There **is** an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Thank You.

Dr. Samuel Gasster
Los Angeles. CA 90064
Area code 310
sgasster@aol.com

CC: commissionerlynch@cpuc.ca.gov