

RECEIVED
FEB 1 2003

From: ak437@acorn.net
To: Commissioner Adelstein

Date: 1/18/03 2:27PM
Subject: Copy - ATTH Below - Fwd Re RM-10620 Your Help Is Need & thanks for your support

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Attached below is a "Typical" type of comment received here with regards to up-grade. I would suggest that you have Mr. Bill Cross of the WTB look into this fellows needs. My original RM left out this "Displaced" Class" of generals by accident Severai Have filled like comments! This should be addressedd asap or with my Request "RM" Thanks You,

Dale E Reich

Forwarded Message

- > To. ak437@acorn.net
- > From "J T BURIK" <wa8pxl@juno.com>
- > Subject. Re RM-10620 Your Help Is Need & thanks for your support
- > Date Tue, 14 Jan 2003 16:17:04 -0500
- > -----
- > After fighting with the FCC to grandfather general and advanced class operators after the incentive licensing program of 1968 and forever not being heard or listened to, I am appalled at the request of RM 10620 If the FCC grandfathers novices again and then novices now along with advanced operators after grand fathering technicians while forgetting generals from 1968 who earned full privileges and had them taken away . . . shame on the FCC. I fought for 35 years to get back what I earned to NO AVAIL only smart assed remarks from FCC people who really did not understand what they had done to me and others back in 1968 JT Burik K3QC
- >
- >
- >
- >
- > On Tue, 14 Jan 2003 10:21:49 US/Eastern ak437@acorn.net writes.
- >> Please include your views, a number of filling make you point about
- >> the Generals, Perhaps they will also resolve this at the same
- >> lime. It is a very good point [Please Help Below if you wish]
- > *****
- >> Have you been able to get into the ECFS system to file any
- >> comments or 'Add!' Comments
- >>
- >> For Direct input to the Chairman & Commissioners
- >> on any view for or against the RM-10620 suggested rule making
- >>
- >> Input on any class who may have been left out on the "RM" that you
- >> think was left out
- >>
- >> Please have your frined's file ECFS comments - Send E-mail's -
- >> Write letters if need be. In general Sevreral people have
- >> filed comments about this "RM" by entering the wrong "RM" number
- >> and mixing it up with the AMSTAT RM before the commission The
- >> ARRL sent out a correction on the errors they posted on Dec 24,
- >> but it was not a wide circulation They did make a correction &

> > thanks to the ARRL some people have made add'l remarks to clear
> > or enter there add'l thoughts also. You Input is need if you
> > feel someone was left out
> >
> > mpowell@fcc.gov FCC Chairman [Son of Retired 5 star General of
> > Army)]
> > [Also Son of current U S. Sec of
> > State]
> >
> > kabernat@fcc.gov
> >
> > mcopps@fcc.gov
> >
> > kjmweb@fcc.gov
> >
> > jadeiste@fcc.gov
> >
> > Any direct E-may may help, If you are having trouble getting into
> > the
> > ECFS system to file comments.
> >
> > Any E-mails from your frinds to the above with copy also to via
> > regular U S Mail to the
> >
> > Federal Communications Commission
> > Attn Sec of Commeission
> > 445 - - 12th Street - - S W.
> > Washington D. C. 20554
> >
> > Please List the RM-10620 number on corraspondance
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Dale E Reich
> >
> > *****
> >
> >
> > -----
> > This message was sent using ACORN.net. a service of the
> > Akron-Summit County Public Library <http://www.acorn.net>
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

This message was sent using ACORN net, a service of *the*
Akron-Summit County Public Library [http //www acorn net](http://www.acorn.net)

CC: ak437@rover.ascpl.lib.oh.us