

RM-10641 is a bad idea.

Would having personal information on file enhance an agency's ability to correlate an interfering communication with the personal information collected? It would not. There is no way to make this correlation, except by the technical means the agencies now turn to - local ham radio operators, who track the perpetrator. The existing system works.

In addition, the docket, as worded, is poorly written and arbitrary. What is "future information" as it pertains to the proposed system or information collection? Why retain information for three years, as opposed to 1 year, or forever? What would penalties be for individuals or retailers who do not comply? RM-10641 is a bad idea.

-Wiley Sanders  
Lafayette CA