

From: Maurice Ware  
To: Maurice Ware  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 2:54 PM  
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Representative Porter

Message text follows:

Maurice Ware  
3053 Clamdigger Lane  
Las Vegas, NV 89117-2427

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Maurice J. Ware

From: MAYNARD JACKSON  
To: MAYNARD JACKSON  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 4:13 PM  
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Lugar  
Senator Bayh  
Representative Burton  
Message text follows:

MAYNARD JACKSON  
3851 S 450 W TRLR 40  
NEW PALESTINE, IN 46163-9653

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Maynard A Jackson

**From:** Mdadokjr@aol.com  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Thu. Feb 13, 2003 8:22 AM  
**Subject:** Line Sharing

Dear Mr. Adelstein:

As a consumer, I am very much against the elimination or curtailing of line sharing. I believe that expansion of DSL will only occur if competition is preserved. I also believe that a significant expansion of broadband use by businesses and consumers will have a very positive effect on the economy.

Sincerely,  
Michael D. O'Keeffe  
2/13/03

**From:** Melissa Staehle  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Thu. Feb 13, 2003 1:37 PM  
**Subject:** Save the UNE-Platform

Please see the attached letter



February 13, 2003

Dear Commissioner Kathleen Abernathy:

I ask your support for the continued availability of the "UNE-Platform."

My company, Access One, offers local telephone service in select SBC territories. The company has achieved increasing success largely because it utilizes the combination of "unbundled network elements" – the UNE-Platform - to serve customers. It is absolutely critical that we have continued access to the UNE-Platform to remain competitive.

Unfortunately, the Regional Bell Operating Companies have launched a full-scale attack on the UNE-Platform, realizing it is a major threat to their continued market dominance. Their strategy is to impose certain restrictions on individual network elements that would destroy the competitive value of the UNE-Platform. If the RBOCs succeed, it will all but end any chance for consumers to enjoy the benefits of meaningful competition in local phone service.

Please oppose any effort at the Federal Communications Commission or at state agencies to limit the availability of the UNE-Platform. The UNE-Platform should be firmly and permanently established as a viable service option for competitive telecom carriers.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Melissa Staehle  
Marketing Representative  
Access One Incorporated

**From:** Melissa Staehle  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 13, 2003 1:44 PM  
**Subject:** Save the UNE-Platform

Please see the attached letter



February 13, 2003

Dear Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein:

I **ask** your support for the continued availability of the "UNE-Platform."

My company, Access One, offers local telephone service in select SBC territories. The company has achieved increasing success largely because it utilizes the combination of "unbundled network elements" – the UNE-Platform - to serve customers. It is absolutely critical that we have continued access to the UNE-Platform to remain competitive.

Unfortunately, the Regional Bell Operating Companies have launched a full-scale attack on the UNE-Platform, realizing it is a major threat to their continued market dominance. Their strategy is to impose certain restrictions on individual network elements that would destroy the competitive value of the UNE-Platform. If the RBOCs succeed, it will all but end any chance for consumers to enjoy the benefits of meaningful competition in local phone service.

Please oppose any effort at the Federal Communications Commission or at state agencies to limit the availability of the WE-Platform. The WE-Platform should be firmly and permanently established **as** a viable service option for competitive telecom carriers.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Melissa Staehle  
Marketing Representative  
Access One Incorporated

From: MKLOUIE@aol.com  
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adeistein  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 10:46 AM  
Subject: RE: Line Sharing

Please keep line sharing as is.

Eliminating line sharing will lead to less choice and competition, and higher prices for consumers and small business for broadband services.

It also would slow the penetration of broadband services across the country delaying key benefits that can help the economy

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Melvin Louie

From: MLSLLC  
To: Commissioner Adelstein  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 10:12 AM  
Subject: UNE-P

Commissioner:

As a small businessman, I urge you to keep line sharing as is

I know from personal experience that eliminating line sharing will lead to less choice and competition, and higher prices for consumers and small business for broadband services.

It also would slow the penetration of broadband services across the country delaying key benefits that can help the economy.

Marty L. Shobert  
Manager  
MLS. LLC Investment Management

From: mmwwhh  
To: Mike Powell  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 1:22 PM  
Subject: preserve line sharing!

Dear Commissioners:

I am extremely concerned about discussions taking place at the FCC, and the implications these discussions have for the our country. Recently, there have been discussions aimed at eliminating linesharing for DSL communications, or changing wholesale line pricing to make in infeasible to compete with RBOC offerings. I ask that rethink these issue because of the following reasons:

- 1) It will decrease competition
- 2) The RBOCS have already declared their intent to raise prices and it will cost consumers BILLIONS almost immediately.
- 3) Higher Prices will slow broadband deployment.
- 3) Without competition, their is no driving force for the RBOCs to spend on innovation and improvement, it would not be profitable.

Please help keep  
broadband deployment on track for the economic future of our country,

Sincerely,

Margaret Hander  
Orford, NH  
altenergy@covad.net

CC: kabernath@fcc.gov, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

From: Myers, Lila  
To: Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner Adelstein  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 9:58 AM  
Subject: FW: CC Dockets 01-338, 96-98 and 98-147- Ex Parte

I tried e-mailing this ex parte to you yesterday (used every variation of your names but it kept bouncing back to me). I just found out about the 8 letter limit! So I hope you get this now! So very sorry!

-----Original Message-----

From: Myers, Lila  
Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2003 6:25 PM  
To: 'kabernathy@fcc.gov'; 'jadelstein@fcc.gov'  
Subject: FW: CC Dockets 01-338, 96-98 and 98-147- Ex Parte

It appears you did not receive this ex parte the first couple times I sent them to you. . . hope you get it now!

-----Original Message-----

From: Myers, Lila  
Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2003 6:13 PM  
To: 'mpowell@fcc.gov'; 'kabernathy@fcc.gov'; 'mcoops@fcc.gov'; 'kmartin@fcc.gov'; 'jadelstein@fcc.gov'  
Subject: CC Dockets 01-338, 96-98 and 98-147- Ex Parte

I am forwarding the attached on behalf of Robert H. Jackson, Counsel for Americatel Corporation.

Lila A. Myers  
Reed Smith LLP  
1301 K Street, NW  
Suite 1100 - East Tower  
Washington, DC 20005  
202-414-9309  
lmyers@reedsmith.com

From: Myra Valente  
To: Myra Valente  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 10:56 AM  
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Shelby  
Senator Sessions  
Representative Cramer  
Message text follows:

Myra Valente  
395 County Road 142  
Florence, AL 35634

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Myra L. Valente

From: Patricia A. Zehnle  
To: Patricia A. Zehnle  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 7:07 PM  
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Campbell  
Senator Allard  
Representative Tancredo  
Message text follows:

Patricia A. Zehnle  
6236 E. Hinsdale Ave.  
Centennial, CO 80112-1545

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Patricia A. Zehnle

## Sharon Jenkins - Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

From: Patricia Murphy  
To: Patricia Murphy  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 10:42 AM  
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Campbell  
Senator Allard  
Representative McInnis  
Message text follows:

Patricia Murphy  
PO Box 132  
Alamosa, CO 81101

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Patricia Murhy

**From:** Philip Sager  
**To:** Philip Sager  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 13, 2003 10:19 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Hollings  
Representative Brown  
Message text follows:

Philip Sager  
PO Box 916  
Conway, SC 29528

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Philip Sager

From: Philip Sager  
To: Philip Sager  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 10:20 PM  
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Graham

Message text follows:

Philip Sager  
PO Box 916  
Conway, SC 29528

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Philip Sager

**From:** Richil Marsden  
**To:** Richil Marsden  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 13, 2003 2:38 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Wyden  
Senator Smith  
RepresentativeWu  
Message text follows:

Richil Marsden  
6404 SE 23rd Ave #328  
Portland, OR 97202-5463

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Richil Marsden

From: Rick Leach  
To: Commissioner Adelstein  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 7:28 AM  
Subject: Linesharing

Please keep line sharing as is

Eliminating line sharing will lead to less choice and competition, and higher prices for consumers and small business for broadband services.

It also would slow the penetration of broadband services across the country delaying key benefits that can help the economy

Thank You

From: robert lee  
To: Commissioner Adelstein  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 10:58 AM  
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

robert lee (robertslee@comcast.net) writes:

PLEASE retain DSL line sharing and access to remote terminals.

If you dont retain line sharing every ISP in America will go out of business with the exception of the heavy content ones. And those will be crippled.

The Bells will then have effectively filtered out content

And please retain full speed access to remote terminals. If you dont the Bells will poison every circuit with a remote terminal.

If you permit restricted access then because the costs are fixed and not variable the Bells will simply offer higher speeds than any competitor and bankrupt the competitors before raising prices or lowering speeds.

Broadband does not have to be built out. It IS built out. Now let competitors lead the charge to sell it at reasonable prices.

You have to realize that the entire history of the Bells is the opposite of trying to figure out how to offer more for less.

PLEASE RETAIN LINE SHARING AND EQUAL ACCESS TO HYBRID LOOPS.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 68.81.107.130  
Remote IP address: 68.81.107.130

From: Robert Lee  
To: Commissioner Adelstein  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 11:18 AM  
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Robert Lee (robertslee@comcast.net) writes:

Dear Commissioner Adelstein.

Political pressure is one thing. The right thing is another

Line Sharing

I implore you to allow Covad and other facilities based DSL providers to have access to line sharing and hybrid fiber loops.

1. If you take away line sharing you will put out of business every non content ISP in America. The Bells will log you on to Bell.net, not an independent ISP. NO ONE will pay to visit a non content heavy ISP once they are already on the Internet. Almost every single ISP goes out of business.
2. Further, the Bells have proven they can play the pricing game to constructively close up their network. They will play that game to the hilt and even the content laden ISP's like AOL will be so marginalized that the Bells will have effectively gotten a leg up on filtering content.

Hybrid Loops

1. The Bells have not and will not build out end to end fiber even though they have promised to do so multiple times in exchange for concessions.
2. Hybrid loops (fiber to a remote terminal and copper from there to the home) will be all that is required.
3. Even now DSL equipment is coming out that runs at 52 million bits per second. In the not too distant future DSL will run at speeds of 300 - 400 million bits per second.
4. Running fiber at the ends costs between \$10 thousand and \$1 million per kilometer, average.
5. Even in large corporations, which have huge bandwidth trunks coming in, the typical user is set up with only 10 million bits per second of bandwidth and he does not do that.
6. The naive call for higher bandwidth at the edges of the network does not address the real issue of core ping times and server latency. You can get edge ping times down to 5 milliseconds and still wind up with latency of 180 milliseconds because of the multiple switches in the core that have to be traversed and then, especially, the very long server latency. The edge bandwidth cry is just a political slogan.

It is simply hard for me to believe that you do not see all this. Broadband is here. It is available to almost all who want it and dont want it. The notion that if you give up the "information highway" (it is no longer fashionable to be a Democrat) to the Bell monopolies you will see either buildout or reasonable prices and service offerings seems to me to be so nutty that I dont even know how to address it.

PLEASE DO THE RIGHT THING. IN THE END WE DO NOT TAKE OUR WORLDLY GOODS WITH US TO MEET OUR MAKER. WE TAKE ONLY OUR RECORD OF WHAT WE DID DURING THE SHORT

TIME WE WERE HERE. PLEASE DO THE RIGHT THING. FOR **US**, AND YOURSELVES. YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO PROTECT US. PLEASE DO.

Sincerely,  
Robert Lee

---

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 68.81.107.130  
RemoteIP address: 68.81.107.130

From: Robert Lee  
To: Commissioner Adelstein  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 11:30 AM  
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Robert Lee (robertslee@comcast.net) writes:

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

Just to define terms: line sharing is the ability to run DSL in the high frequencies on the same line you use to talk in the low frequencies. Line sharing is a technology. It is NOT a business term.

If you outlaw line sharing you will simply stop competitors from line sharing. The Bells will continue to use it.

Can you imagine the Bells not using it? They would have to be crazy not to use it.

When you outlaw line sharing then only the Bells will be able to line share and you will have turned a technology into a low cost and priced service offering that the Bells can offer but the competitors cannot.

Do you see that????

The Bells are getting you all bollixed up in semantics.

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 68.81.107.130  
RemoteIP address: 68.81.107.130

**From:** Robert Taylor  
**To:** Robert Taylor  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 13, 2003 2:13 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Frist  
Representative Wamp  
Message text follows:

Robert Taylor  
167 Old Fort Road  
Oldfort, TN 37362

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm **also** concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Taylor

From: Robert Taylor  
To: Robert Taylor  
Date: Thu, Feb 13, 2003 2:13 PM  
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Alexander

Message text follows:

Robert Taylor  
167 Old Fort Road  
Oldfort, TN 37362

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Taylor

**From:** Ronald Kupec  
**To:** Ronald Kupec  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 13, 2003 5:25 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Durbin  
Senator Fitzgerald  
Representative Biggert  
Message text follows:

Ronald Kupec  
220 Commonwealth Dr  
Bolingbrook, IL 60440

February 13, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Kupec