

DICT. 96-95

BEFORE DATE OR LATE FEE

January 22, 2003

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



Dear Commissioner Copps:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tommy King". The signature is stylized and cursive.

Tommy King
6430 Marshall Foch St.
New Orleans, LA 70124-3920

T.G. R. No
6430 MARSHALL FUCH ST.
N.W., LA. TORRY



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



EX PARTE OR 100 10

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

January 22, 2003

Jonathan S. Adelstein, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Contin.

FEB 25 2003

Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:


I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . . and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.




Tommy King
6430 Marshall Foch St.
New Orleans, LA 70124-3920

T. G. KING
6435 MARSHALL FOCH ST.
NO, CA 90124

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM




Jonathan S. Adelstein, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



c

January 22, 2003

Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the ‘rules’ - effectively removing consumers’ ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.


Thank you.

Terry King
4430 Marshall Foch St.

New Orleans, LA 70124-3920

T.G. King

6430 MARSHALL FUCH ST.
N.C., CA. 70124



Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 2 9 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM

hahahahahahahahah

6

FD-36 (Rev. 1-25-80)

ED

RECEIVED & INSPECTION
FEB 24 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

January 22, 2003

Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2003
Distribution Centre

Dear Chairman Powell:


I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers - key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . . and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.




Tommy King
6480 Marshall Foch St.
New Orleans, LA 70124-3920


FD-36 (Rev. 1-25-80)

T.G. KING
6430 MARSHALL FOCH ST.
MOBILE AL 36688

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
SEP 27 1988



Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



January 22, 2003

Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



Confirmed
FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Toni Fabrizio".

Toni Fabrizio
715 Confederate Dr.
Macon, GA 31220-2836

Access Integrated Networks
4885 Riverside Drive
Riverside, CA
92504

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



January 22, 2003

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Copps:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.




Toni Fabrizio
715 Confederate Dr.
Macon, GA 31220-2836

Access Integrated Networks
4885 Riverside Drive
Mason, GA
31210



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



January 22, 2003

Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Chairman Powell:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the ‘rules’ - effectively removing consumers’ ability to choose their local phone service provider. . . and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.


Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Toni Fabrizio
715 Confederate Dr.
Macon, GA 31220-2836

January 22, 2003



Continued

FEB 25 2003

Jonathan S. Adelstein, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the ‘rules’ - effectively removing consumers’ ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.


Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Toni Fabrizio".

Toni Fabrizio
715 Confederate Dr.
Macon, GA 31220-2836

Access Integrated Networks
4885 Riverside Drive
Macon, GA



Jonathan S. Adelstein, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



January 22, 2003

Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM

COMMUNICATIONS
FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:


I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.




Toni Fabrizio
715 Confederate Dr.
Macon, GA 31220-2836

Access Integrated Networks
4825 Riverside Drive
Macon, GA

3/10

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM

Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



January 22, 2003

Kathleen Q. Abemathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

CONFIRMED
FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Abemathy :

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Linda Drewery
211 Antebellum Circle
Warner Robins. GA 31093-9046

DAVID W. LAWLER, ESQ.
900 N. STUART STREET
1602
ARLINGTON, VA 22203



February 11, 2003

Michael K. Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Powell:

Re: Unbundled Network Elements – Platform (UNE – P)

FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

I write to you today to share my concerns about the above referenced matter

In my state of Virginia, and others, Verizon has opposed their competitors' use of the UNE-P structure while gaining solid positions in the long distance market. I have concerns that the FCC may allow this to continue by disallowing Verizon competitors from leasing the UNE-P network.


I strongly urge you to keep the UNE-P open to competition and continue to allow the state regulatory commissions to set rates for leasing portions of the UNE-P, a provision of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act.

It goes without saying that when state regulators have set fair UNE rates, consumers and small businesses benefit. According to some estimates, consumers could save \$0.2 billion annually if local telephone competition was allowed to flourish in all 50 states.

The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) just this week announced its opposition to the Baby Bells' proposed rule changes. They said: "The current UNE-P policy is responsible for creating tens of billions of dollars in new investments...which, in turn is creating new jobs for our members...As the U.S. Supreme Court noted in *Verizon v. FCC*, the UNE-P pricing formula has been fair, based on actual cost and reasonable profit."

Accordingly, allow state regulators to continue to set the UNE-P.

Thank you for your time, and thank you in advance for putting consumers before corporate giants.

Sincerely,

David Lawler

David W. Lawler. Esq
900 N. Stuart Street
#1602
Arlington, VA 22203

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Michael K. Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554



DAVID W. LAWLER, ESQ.
900 N. STUART STREET
#1602
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

February 11, 2003

Jonathan S. Adelstein
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

Re: Unbundled Network Elements— Platform (UNE P)

I write to you today to share my concerns about the above referenced matter

In my state of Virginia, and others, Verizon has opposed their competitors' use of the UNE-P structure while gaining solid positions in the long distance market. I have concerns that the FCC may allow this to continue by disallowing Verizon competitors from leasing the UNE-P network.

I strongly urge you to keep the UNE-P open to competition and continue to allow the state regulatory commissions to set rates for leasing portions of the UNE-P, a provision of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act.


It goes without saying that when state regulators have set fair UNE rates, consumers and small businesses benefit. According to some estimates, consumers could save \$9.2 billion annually if local telephone competition was allowed to flourish in all 50 states.


The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) just this week announced its opposition to the Baby Bells' proposed rule changes. They said: "The current UNE-P policy is responsible for creating tens of billions of dollars in new investments...which, in turn is creating new jobs for our members...As the U.S. Supreme Court noted in *Verizon v. FCC*, the UNE-P pricing formula has been fair, based on actual cost and reasonable profit."

Accordingly, allow state regulators to continue to set the UNE-P

Thank you for your time, and thank you in advance for putting consumers before corporate giants.

Sincerely,


David Lawler



David W. Lawler, Esq
900 N. Stuart Street
#1602
Arlington, VA 22203

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM

Jonathan S. Adelstein
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554



DAVID W. LAWLER, ESQ.
 900 N. STUART STREET
 #1602
 ARLINGTON, VA 22203

February 11, 2003



Michael J. Copps
 Commissioner
 Federal Communications Commission
 445 12th Street, S.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Copps.

Re: Unbundled Network Elements – Platform (UNE – P)

FEB 25 2003
 Distribution Center

I write to you today to share my concerns about the above referenced matter.

In my state of Virginia, and others, Verizon has opposed their competitors' use of the UNE-P structure while gaining solid positions in the long distance market. I have concerns that the FCC may allow this to continue by disallowing Verizon competitors from leasing the UNE-P network.

I strongly urge you to keep the UNE-P open to competition and continue to allow the state regulatory commissions to set rates for leasing portions of the LINE-P, a provision of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act.

It goes without saying that when state regulators have set fair UNE rates, consumers and small businesses benefit. According to some estimates, consumers could save \$9.2 billion annually if local telephone competition was allowed to flourish in all 50 states.

The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) just this week announced its opposition to the Baby Bells' proposed rule changes. They said: "The current UNE-P policy is responsible for creating tens of billions of dollars in new investments...which, in turn is creating new jobs for our members...As the U.S. Supreme Court noted in *Verizon v. FCC*, the UNE-P pricing formula has been fair, based on actual cost and reasonable profit."

Accordingly, allow state regulators to continue to set the UNE-P.

Thank you for your time, and thank you in advance for putting consumers before corporate giants.

Sincerely,

David Lawler

David W. Lawler, Esq.
900 N. Stuart Street
#1602
Arlington, VA 22203

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM



Michael J. Copps
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554



DAVID W. LAWLER, ESQ.
900 N. STUART STREET
#1602
ARLINGTON, VA 22203



February 11, 2003

Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

A rectangular stamp with a double border. The text inside reads "FEB 24 2003" at the top and "Distribution Center" at the bottom.

Dear Commissioner Martin:

Re: Unbundled Network Elements – Platform (UNE – P)

I write to you today to share my concerns about the above referenced matter

In my state of Virginia, and others, Verizon has opposed their competitors' use of the UNE-P structure while gaining solid positions in the long distance market. I have concerns that the FCC may allow this to continue by disallowing Verizon competitors from leasing the UNE-P network.

I strongly urge you to keep the UNE-P open to competition and continue to allow the state regulatory commissions to set rates for leasing portions of the UNE-P, a provision of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act.

It goes without saying that when state regulators have set fair UNE rates, consumers and small businesses benefit. According to some estimates, consumers could save \$9.2 billion annually if local telephone competition was allowed to flourish in all 50 states.

The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) just this week announced its opposition to the Baby Bells' proposed rule changes. They said: "The current UNE-P policy is responsible for creating tens of billions of dollars in new investments...which, in turn is creating new jobs for our members...As the U.S. Supreme Court noted in *Verizon v. FCC*, the UNE-P pricing formula has been fair, based on actual cost and reasonable profit."

Accordingly, allow state regulators to continue to set the UNE-P.


Thank you for your time, and thank you in advance for putting consumers before corporate giants.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "D. Lawler".

David Lawler


David W. Lawler, Esq
900 N. Stuart Street
#1602
Arlington, VA 22203



Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554



DAVID W. LAWLER, ESQ.
900 N. STUART STREET
#1602
ARLINGTON, VA 22203



February 11, 2003

Kathleen Q. Ahernathy
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner Ahernathy:

Re: Unbundled Network Elements - Platform (UNE - P)

FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

I write to you today to share my concerns about the above referenced matter.

In my state of Virginia, and others, Verizon has opposed their competitors' use of the UNE-P structure while gaining solid positions in the long distance market. I have concerns that the FCC may allow this to continue by disallowing Verizon competitors from leasing the UNE-P network.

I strongly urge you to keep the UNE-P open to competition and continue to allow the state regulatory commissions to set rates for leasing portions of the UNE-P, a provision of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act.

It goes without saying that when state regulators have set fair UNE rates, consumers and small businesses benefit. According to some estimates, consumers could save \$9.2 billion annually if local telephone competition was allowed to flourish in all 50 states.

The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) just this week announced its opposition to the Baby Bells' proposed rule changes. They said: "The current UNE-P policy is responsible for creating tens of billions of dollars in new investments...which, in turn is creating new jobs for our members...As the U.S. Supreme Court noted in *Verizon v. FCC*, the UNE-P pricing formula has been fair, based on actual cost and reasonable profit."

Accordingly, allow state regulators to continue to set the UNE-P.

Thank you for your time, and thank you in advance for putting consumers before corporate giants.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "D. Lawler".


David Lawler

David W. Lawler, Esq.
900 N. Stuart Street
#1602
Arlington, VA 22203

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM



Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

January 22, 2003

Kathleen Q. Abemathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Abemathy:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Brian Baker
2525 Jakin Way
Suwanee, GA 30024-2989



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM

Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

January 22, 2003

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Copps:


I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Brian Baker
2525 Jakin Way
Suwanee, GA 30024-2989



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



February 4, 2003

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Commissioner Copps:

I am an independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my livelihood as a sales agent.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.


Thank you.



Scott Kugelman
11295 Findley Chase Court
Duluth, GA 30097-1475


FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Scott Kugelman
11295 Findley Chase Court
Duluth, GA 30097-1475



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
AUG 20 2003
MAIL ROOM

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554



February 4, 2003

Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I am an independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the ‘rules’ - effectively removing consumers’ ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my livelihood as a sales agent.



Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Scott Kugelman
11295 Findley Chase Court
Duluth, GA 30097-1475

Scott Kugelman
11295 Findley Chase Court
Duluth, GA 30097-1475



Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554



February 4, 2003

Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Powell:

I am an independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the ‘rules’ - effectively removing consumers’ ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my livelihood as a sales agent.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.




Scott Kugelman
11295 Findley Chase Court
Duluth, GA 30097-1475

Scott Kugelman
11295 Findley Chase Court
Duluth, GA 30097-1475



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 2 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554



January 22, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the ‘rules’ - effectively removing consumers’ ability to choose their local phone service provider. . . and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Tommy King
6430 Marshall Foch St.
New Orleans, LA 70124-3920

T.B. King
6430 MARSHALL
NO, CA 95124

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 9 9 2003
FCC-MAIL ROOM

Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



February 4, 2003

Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I am an independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . . and possibly causing harm to my livelihood as a sales agent.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.

Shelley M. **Graham** d/b/a
23445 Ala. Hwy 24 Ste #3
Trinity, Alabama 35673



Totally Wireless

Making your Life Simple™

23445 Ala. HWY 24 Ste #3

Trinity, Alabama 35673

256-350-5346 voice

256-350-5347 fax

totallywireless@direcway.com

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

TOTALLY WIRELESS
23445 AL HWY 24 STE #3
TRINITY, AL 35673



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



February 4, 2003

Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Powell:

I am an independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.


Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers - key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. .and possibly causing hann to my livelihood as a sales agent.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market:

Thank you.

Shelley M. Graham d/b/a Totally Wireless
23445 Ala. Hwy 24 Ste #3
Trinity, Alabama 35673



Totally Wireless
"Making your Life Simple"
23445 ALA. HWY 24 Ste #3
Trinity, Alabama 35673
256-350-5346 voice
256-350-5347 fax
totallywireless@Odirecway.com

FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

TOTALLY WIRELESS
23445 AL HWY 24 STE #3
TRINITY, AL 35673



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Michael K. Powel, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

February 4, 2003

Totally Wireless

"Making your Life Simple"

23445 ALA. HWY 24 Ste #3

Trinity, Alabama 35673

256-350-5346 voice

256-350-5347 fax

totallywireless@direcway.com

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
FEB 25 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Copps:

I am an independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . . and possibly causing harm to my livelihood as a sales agent.'

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.

Shelley M. Graham d/b/a Totally Wireless
23445 Ala. Hwy 24 Ste #3
Trinity, Alabama 35673

TOTALLY WIRELESS
23445 AL HWY 24 STE #3
TRINITY, AL 35673

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
FEB 20 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM



Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commissions
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

