

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-200

ORIGINAL

From: Jim Roberts
Date: ~~Kathleen Abernathy~~ 3/8/2003 9:30 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change – all at my own expense.

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Jim Roberts
13246 Prairestone Dr
Corona, CA 92003

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

99-200

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: Mark Flubacher
To: Stephanie Kost
Date: 3/17/03 1:10PM
Subject: area code change

ORIGINAL

Stephanie.

I received your voicemail from last week regarding area code changes

As you can see from the signature on this email, I use my cell phone for work as a sales person. I have literally hundreds of customers that contact me, and since I travel extensively, they use my cell phone number. I have passed out hundreds of business cards with this phone number on it.

A new area code would cost me money in lost business and I would feel sorry for the person that was assigned my old number as they will be getting calls from people looking for me for the next few years

Please help me keep the number that my customers are using to reach me!

Mark W. Flubacher
Faro Technologies
Laser Measurement Specialist
310-367-0547

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-200

ORIGINAL

From: Alexander Lehn
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Mon, Mar 10, 2003 6:43 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Alexander Lehn
7861 Woodrow Wilson Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90046

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: Melanie Allaire
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Sun, Mar 9, 2003 11:19 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

99-200
ORIGINAL

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Melanie Allaire
8563 Kaiser Ave.
Fontana, CA 92335

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the SecretaryNo. of Copies rec'd / _____
List ABCDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-200
ORIGINAL

From: Silvia Khachatourian
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Sun, Mar 9, 2003 8:16 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Silvia Khachatourian
13627 Sherman Way
109
Van Nuys. CA 91405

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd /
List ABOVE

From: Karen Henderson
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Sun, Mar 9, 2003 3:30 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED ORIGINAL 99-200

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. One week worth of work at least.

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Karen Henderson
1835 West 145th Street
Unit #1
Gardena, CA 90249

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

ORIGINAL
EX PARTE OR LATE FILED 99-200

From: Steve Barnes
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Sun, Mar 9, 2003 3:30 PM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change -- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, "roughly 3 million customers" will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the state's number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Steve Barnes
1536 W. 25th ST.
PMB 106
San Pedro, CA 90732

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd /
List ABCDE