

May 13, 2003

Mr. Michael K. Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed

JUN 13 2003

Distribution Center

SUNSHINE PERIOD



02-277

Dear Chairman Powell:

I am very extremely concerned about the proposed relaxation of the present FCC rules and regulations which would remove the remaining barriers against concentration of media. That relaxation/change means that one company can own all the radio stations, television stations, newspapers, and cable systems in any given area, which would mean that one company would control all the information a specific area might receive.

Why haven't there been public forums and open discussion of these changes and what is the big rush to make such changes?

In 1996 the FCC eliminated its rules on radio ownership. As a result, conglomerates now own hundreds of stations around the country. For instance, Clear Channel owns more than 1,200 stations. There are 30% fewer station owners now than before 1996. Fewer station owners means less diversity, less local news, and less local programming.

I concur with the "Fairness Doctrine" which was upheld by the Supreme Court in a 1969 decision which says that the airwaves are a "public trust" and fairness requires the public trust to accurately reflect opposing views.

Ownership regulations were put into place in the 1940's after the United States had seen how some governments used domination of the media to control and pressure their citizens.

Joint ownership of newspaper and broadcast outlets fails to meet the constitutional requirement set out by the U.S. Supreme Court in 1945 which says that the "widest possible dissemination of information from diverse and antagonistic sources is essential to the welfare of the people."

I earnestly implore you not to erode the freedoms and rights of the people of the United States to receive news and information from diverse sources and ownership - Do Not Relax the Current FCC Regulations!

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dee Simmons".

Dee Simmons
1015 Stimel Drive
Concord, CA 94518


cc: Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner Michael J. Copps,
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

May 13, 2003

Mr. Michael K. Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 13 2003
Distribution Center

SUNSHINE PERIOD



Dear Chairman Powell:

I am very extremely concerned about the proposed relaxation of the present FCC rules and regulations which would remove the remaining barriers against concentration of media. That relaxation/change means that one company can own all the radio stations, television stations, newspapers, and cable systems in any given area, which would mean that one company would control all the information a specific area might receive.

Why haven't there been public forums and open discussion of these changes and what is the big rush to make such changes?

In 1996 the FCC eliminated its rules on radio ownership. As a result, conglomerates now own hundreds of stations around the country. For instance, Clear Channel owns more than 1,200 stations. There are 30% fewer station owners now than before 1996. Fewer station owners means less diversity, less local news, and less local programming.

I concur with the "Fairness Doctrine" which was upheld by the Supreme Court in a 1969 decision which says that the airwaves are a "public trust" and fairness requires the public trust to accurately reflect opposing views.

Ownership regulations were put into place in the 1940's after the United States had seen how some governments used domination of the media to control and pressure their citizens.

Joint ownership of newspaper and broadcast outlets fails to meet the constitutional requirement set out by the U.S. Supreme Court in 1945 which says that the "widest possible dissemination of information from diverse and antagonistic sources is essential to the welfare of the people."

I earnestly implore you not to erode the freedoms and rights of the people of the United States to receive news and information from diverse sources and ownership - Do Not Relax the Current FCC Regulations!

Sincerely,

Dee Simmons
1015 Stimel Drive
Concord, CA 94518

cc: Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner Michael J. Copps,
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

May 13, 2003

Mr. Michael K. Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 13 2003
Distribution Center

SUNSHINE PERIOD

02-277



Dear Chairman Powell:

I am very extremely concerned about the proposed relaxation of the present FCC rules and regulations which would remove the remaining barriers against concentration of media. That relaxation/change means that one company can own all the radio stations, television stations, newspapers, and cable systems in any given area, which would mean that one company would control all the information a specific area might receive.

Why haven't there been public forums and open discussion of these changes and what is the big rush to make such changes?

In 1996 the FCC eliminated its rules on radio ownership. As a result, conglomerates now own hundreds of stations around the country. For instance, Clear Channel owns more than 1,200 stations. There are 30% fewer station owners now than before 1996. Fewer station owners means less diversity, less local news, and less local programming.

I concur with the "Fairness Doctrine" which was upheld by the Supreme Court in a 1969 decision which says that the airwaves are a "public trust" and fairness requires the public trust to accurately reflect opposing views.

Ownership regulations were put into place in the 1940's after the United States had seen how some governments used domination of the media to control and pressure their citizens.

Joint ownership of newspaper and broadcast outlets fails to meet the constitutional requirement set out by the U.S. Supreme Court in 1945 which says that the "widest possible dissemination of information from diverse and antagonistic sources is essential to the welfare of the people."

I earnestly implore you not to erode the freedoms and rights of the people of the United States to receive news and information from diverse sources and ownership - Do Not Relax the Current FCC Regulations!

Sincerely,


Dee Simmons
1015 Stimel Drive
Concord, CA 94518

cc: Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner Michael J. Copps,
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein