

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP
A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

CHICAGO
DALLAS
LOS ANGELES
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
SEATTLE

1501 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005
TELEPHONE 202 736 8000
FACSIMILE 202 736 8711
www.sidley.com
FOUNDED 1866

BEIJING
HONG KONG
LONDON
SHANGHAI
SINGAPORE
TOKYO

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER
(202) 736-8677

WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS
jyoung@sidley.com

July 7, 2003

Errata Letter

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: *Application of SBC Communications Inc. for Authorization to Provide In-Region InterLATA Services in Michigan*
WC Docket No. 03-138

Dear Ms. Dortch:

This errata letter corrects two inadvertent errors in pleadings filed by AT&T Corp. on July 2, 2003, in the above-captioned proceeding. First, the SBC Accessible Letter dated June 20, 2003, which is discussed in the Declaration of Walter W. Willard beginning at ¶ 5, should have been attached to that declaration and is attached to this errata letter.

Second, footnote 1 of the Declaration of Sarah DeYoung should have read: "All of my previous testimony in SBC's earlier 271 application is hereby incorporated by reference. See especially Ex Parte Letter from Alan C. Geolot to Marlene Dortch (FCC), dated April 11, 2003; Ex Parte Letter from Alan C. Geolot to Marlene Dortch (FCC), dated March 28, 2003; Ex Parte Letter from Alan C. Geolot to Marlene Dortch (FCC), dated March 19, 2003 (attaching Supplemental Declaration of Sarah DeYoung and Timothy M. Connolly); Joint Declaration of Sarah DeYoung and Timothy M. Connolly (February 3, 2003)."


Marlene H. Dortch

July 7, 2003

Page 2

Please contact me if you have any additional questions.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "James P. Young".

James P. Young

Encl.



Accessible

Date: **June 20, 2003**

Number: **CLECALL03-077**

Effective Date: **06/20/2003**

Category: **All**

Subject: **(BUSINESS PROCESSES) Line Splitting Process Clarification**

Related Letters:

Attachment: **NA**

States Impacted: **All States**

Issuing SBC ILECS: **SBC Illinois, SBC Indiana, SBC Ohio, SBC Michigan, SBC Wisconsin, SBC California, SBC Nevada, SBC Arkansas, SBC Kansas, SBC Missouri, SBC Oklahoma, SBC Texas and The Southern New England Telephone Company (collectively referred to for purposes of this Accessible Letter as "SBC 13-State")**

Response Deadline: **NA**

Contact: **Account Manager**

Conference Call/Meeting: **NA**

This is to provide clarification of how SBC-13STATE populates/updates 911/E911 databases when a CLEC or two CLECs are providing voice and xDSL-based service to the same end-user customer via a line splitting arrangement and to identify responsibilities associated with 911/E911 information integrity.

Line splitting is defined as the use of an unbundled loop by a CLEC or the shared use of the same loop by two different CLECs for the provision of voice and xDSL based services to the same end-user customer at the same location. In a typical line splitting scenario, a CLEC purchases separate unbundled network elements ("UNEs") (xDSL capable loop and ULS-ST port) from SBC-13STATE and then combines those UNEs with its own (or a partnering CLEC's) splitter located in the CLEC's or the partnering CLEC's collocation arrangement.

SBC-13STATE has developed order processes supporting line splitting in those instances in which a CLEC(s) wishes to engage in line splitting by reusing facilities previously used as part of a UNE-P or line shared arrangement. In either of these conversion scenarios (i.e., UNE-P to line splitting or line sharing to line splitting), the end user information from the existing service will be retained in the 911/E911 database. SBC-13STATE will not initiate any 911/E911 database changes, as a result of either of these conversion scenarios.

The CLEC may physically rearrange or disconnect the UNEs used in the original line splitting arrangement (that replaced a previous UNE-P or line shared arrangement) within its collocation arrangement (or that of its partnering CLEC) without SBC-13STATE having any knowledge or information as to the change in service. Subsequent modifications by the CLEC to line splitting arrangements through the physical rearrangement or disconnection of service within the CLEC's collocation arrangement (or that of its partnering CLEC) have the potential to affect negatively the accuracy of the end user service address information contained in the applicable 911/E911 database. It is, therefore, the responsibility of the CLEC to ensure the 911/E911 database accurately reflects its end-user customer's information.

Once the initial provisioning of the UNEs in the conversion scenario for a line splitting arrangement has been completed, the CLEC is responsible for ensuring the ongoing accuracy of the end user service address information in order to maintain the integrity of the 911/E911 database. The CLEC initiates 911/E911 database updates in the SBC Midwest Region 5-State

states (IN/IL/OH/WI/MI), the SBC Southwest Region 5-State states (OK/MO/TX/KS/AR), and in SBC SNET (CT) via the Local Service Request ("LSR") process. In the SBC-2State states (CA/NV), updates are submitted via the ALI Gateway. Specific options for 911/E911 updates are documented under the ULS-ST UNE product in CLEC ON-LINE <https://clec.sbc.com/clec>.

This coordinated approach should help to maintain the integrity of the information used in emergency situations. Questions may be directed to your Account Manager.

SBC 13-STATE reserves the right to make any modifications to, or to cancel the information set forth in this Accessible Letter. Any modifications to or cancellation of the information will be reflected in a subsequent accessible letter. SBC 13-STATE shall incur no liability to any CLEC if the information set forth herein is modified or canceled by SBC 13-STATE.