

EX PARTE

July 25, 2003

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 97-80; PP Docket No. 00-67

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Yesterday, July 24, 2003, NAB submitted an ex parte notice in the above-referenced dockets which contained two attachments that had been given to and discussed with Media Bureau staff as covered by that ex parte. We now file a supplement to one of those attachments which is an additional row of information that had been inadvertently omitted.

Please associate this supplement with yesterday's filing.

Respectfully submitted,



Valerie Schulte

cc: Rick Chessen
Eloise Gore
Alison Greenwald
William Johnson
Walid Kassem
Mike Lance
Susan Mort
Mary Beth Murphy
Mike Perko
John Wong

Attachment

**Supplement to
Matrix of Selected Standards proposed for adoption into the FCC regulations by the Plug and Play MOU (CS 97-80)**

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Standard proposed for FCC rules (Main Reference)	Standard's Section	Normative Reference as listed in Currently Approved version of Standard listed in MOU	Issue	Comment
2	ANSI/SCTE 28 2001 +DVS519r2	8.12.7.3 (This row contains the information for paragraph 8.12.7.3 in row 86)	SCTE 65 2002 (DVS208)	The definition of the major channel number used when passing parental control parameters from POD to HOST is not the same as the definition in SCTE 65, SCTE 54 and A/65 which have been harmonized.	the rules should require that all major/minor channel number fields have the same definition, that is, the definition of A/65B (which is the definition that is also used in SCTE 65 and SCTE 54)