**FROM:**

Candice Cook -
[illegible] Haines St
Port Townsend WA
98-36



37

TUB 204
02-277

**TO:**

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

0

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules I believe that the Commission's traditional goals—to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market —can only be served by retaining all of the current media ownership rules now under review These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillar of our democracy, the founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas If FCC policy changes to allow national media "market share" to be concentrated among still fewer competitors, the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised

I strongly urge the FCC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Richmond, New York, Seattle, Durham, and hopefully in other cities around the nation I think it is important for the FCC to consider not only the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue but also those with a social or civic interest

Thank you.

Carlin [illegible]

FROM:

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED




RECEIVED
MAR 18 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM

TO:

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

02-277

0

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules I believe that the Commission's traditional goals—to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market—can only be served by retaining all of the current media ownership rules now under review These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillar of our democracy, the founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas If FCC policy changes to allow national media "market share" to be concentrated among still fewer "competitors," the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised

I strongly urge the FCC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Richmond, New York, Seattle, Durham, and hopefully in other cities around the nation I think it is important for the FCC to consider not only the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest

Thank you,

Mike Eckard