David P. Ohrmundt
2220 N. Peninsula Rd.
Oconomowoc, WI 53066
(262) 646-2977

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

May 8, 2003

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

I am writing you to voice my opposition to relaxing the Broadcast Ownership Rules. If these rules are relaxed, the few companies that will own the media will determine what news and whose viewpoints are allowed to air.

It will be much more difficult than it should be for voters to become informed about both sides of an issue before casting their vote. I witnessed this happen on a smaller scale when Milwaukee went from a two-newspaper town to a one-newspaper town.

It is healthy for our democratic process to have the media compete with itself. I urge you not to weaken the broadcast ownership rules.

Sincerely,

David P. Ohrmundt

David P. Ohrmundt
2220 N. Peninsula Rd.
Oconomowoc, WI 53066
(262) 646-2977

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

May 8, 2003

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I am writing you to voice my opposition to relaxing the Broadcast Ownership Rules. If these rules are relaxed, the few companies that will own the media will determine what news and whose viewpoints are allowed to air.

It will be much more difficult than it should be for voters to become informed about both sides of an issue before casting their vote. I witnessed this happen on a smaller scale when Milwaukee went from a two-newspaper town to a one-newspaper town.

It is healthy for our democratic process to have the media compete with itself. I urge you not to weaken the broadcast ownership rules.

Sincerely,

David P. Ohrmundt

David P. Ohrmundt
2220 N. Peninsula Rd.
Oconomowoc, WI 53066
(262) 646-2977

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

May 8, 2003

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I am writing you to voice my opposition to relaxing the Broadcast Ownership Rules. If these rules are relaxed, the few companies that will own the media will determine what news and whose viewpoints are allowed to air.

It will be much more difficult than it should be for voters to become informed about both sides of an issue before casting their vote. I witnessed this happen on a smaller scale when Milwaukee went from a two-newspaper town to a one-newspaper town.

It is healthy for our democracy to have the media compete with itself. I urge you not to weaken the broadcast ownership rules.

Sincerely,

David P. Ohrmundt

5/9/03

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear MR. Adelstein,

I urge you **not** to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people (and your descendents) deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Sincerely,

Daniel J. Happnie
Sharon, MA, 02067

R.R. #1 Box 37-R
Colliers, WV 26035-9704

May 8, 2003

Confirmed
JUN 0 3 2003
Distribution Center

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 2 8 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Abernathy:

As you well know, the rules of the Federal Communications Commission were established, painstakingly, to ensure that the rights of all Americans are protected and that the airways are kept competitive. The rules, as they are, continue to maintain this groundwork and provide various, independent sources of information, of which the public can determine the true picture of events. **Relaxation of these rules (especially broadcast ownership rules) could lead to *media monopolies*. I urge you *not* to relax these rules.**

In a free society, it is important for its people to have various outlets to draw from in order to create informative opinions. The various outlets are also necessary as a means of the public to express their opinions and free speech Giant media conglomerates could easily swallow up smaller media and eventually suppress opposing viewpoints, then hand feed the American people information only favorable to the beliefs of their owners and political affiliates. Information, no matter how accurate, can be tainted with innuendoes and one-sided opinions, misleading the public.

Please, continue to maintain America's most important right - Free Speech.

Sincerely,

Michael E. Wyatt

Michael E. Wyatt

JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

D Mr. Frank D. Davis
200 Gettysburg Pike
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055-8016

May 9, 2003

Dear Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein:

If my understanding is correct, the Federal Communications Commission is planning to vote soon on a proposal that would allow a media owner to control a larger percentage of television and radio stations (in addition to newspapers) in a community.

I believe such an action would be terribly wrong. It would greatly damage our democratic process, which depends on citizens having access to a wide variety of opinions before making up their minds and voting on important issues.

Because there has been very little knowledge and discussion of this proposal, I would urge that any action on the matter be postponed, and that public hearings be arranged in every state, so that the principles involved can be aired and debated.

Will you urge such a course of action?

Sincerely,
Frank D. Davis

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

D Mr. Frank D. Davis
200 Gettysburg Pike
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055-8016

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Kathleen Q. Abernathy : May 9, 2003

If my understanding is correct, the Federal Communications Commission is planning to vote soon on a proposal that would allow a media owner to control a larger percentage of television stations, radio stations and newspapers in a community.

I believe such an action would be terribly wrong. It would strike at the heart of our democratic process, which depends on citizens having access to a wide variety of opinions before making up their minds and voting on important issues.

Because there has been very little understanding and discussion of this proposal, I would urge that any action on the matter be postponed, and that public hearings be arranged in every state, so that the principles involved can be aired and debated.

Will you urge such a course of action?

Sincerely,
Frank D. Davis

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

15753 Ambiance Drive
North Potomac, MD 29878-2365

May 6, 2003

The Honorable Michael J. Copps
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Mr. Copps:

I urge you ***not*** to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. Many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. For the sake of our democracy and the future of freedom in the world, I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Sincerely,

David Steinbach

Confirmed

JUN 0 3 2003

Distribution Center

May 13, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 8 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

The Honorable: Jonathan S. Adelstein
Commissioner: Federal Communications Commission
445 12th street s.w.
Washington D.C. 20554

Dear Sir,

I am sending you this letter in regards to information I recently received from the National Rifle Association, of which I am a dedicated member. My NRA notified me by way of what they refer to as an URGENT NRA BULLETIN.

I am to understand that my Second Amendment rights could be in jeopardy, if a small group of very powerful organizations are successful in an attempt to control the media. I personally view this, as an outrage. It sickens me to think, that while we have true patriots putting their existence on the line in Iraq, we have cowardice power players attempting to stamp out our freedom from inside our very borders.

From what I understand, AOL, Time Warner, Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC are attempting to stop the NRA from keeping the people informed. I myself, as all Americans, have a right to know what is happening in my country. America not only wishes to be informed of the wonderful nation (we the people) possess, but also of any who would attempt to dispossess us of it. Up to present we the people have enjoyed a certain form of protection from those as the aforementioned power mongers. This protection has been maintained through (Broadcast Ownership Rules). Please keep these rules intact and unaltered. The people, the individuals, bound together, we are the population, we are the masses, we are America. Please recognize what the final outcome would be if these Broadcast Ownership rules were not in force. Please think of the people.

You the FCC, have the authority to uphold our rights as strong supporters of the second amendment. These are also your rights of which I speak. We the people have the right to keep and bear arms. Our founding fathers wrote it down. This will not be infringed upon. (This structure shall not be breached.)

I don't know what more I could say to you to express my concern, so I guess Ill just wait to see what the outcome is. Please protect the people, please uphold the current broadcast ownership rules that prohibit monopoly ownership of the television, radio, and newspaper medias.

Sincerely

Jeff J. Findley

UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

The Reverend Mr. Randy Martin Gibson, BA, M.Div.
5550 Lincoln Avenue
Hemet, California 92544-1755

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

15 May 2003

The Honorable Michael K. Powell
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Powell,

I urge you *not* to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Sincerely,

Randy M. Gibson

Randy M. Gibson

E-mail: randygibson@earthlink.net + Phone: (909) 927-9736 + Cell Phone & Messages: (909) 544-7135

Confirmed

JUN 0 3 2003

Distribution Center

May 17, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 8 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

Communication
Federal ~~Communication~~ Commission
Michael Powell, Chairman
445 Twelth St. S.W.
Washington D.C. 20554

Dear Sir,

Please dont let the ownership of media outlets be controlled by only a few.

I'm sure you and the commission members are aware of the damage that would do to our way of life. Resist the pressure put upon you to let that happen.

Sincerely,

Maydean Angle

13350 S.E. 26th St, Room 425
Bellevue, WA 98005

Edward L. Byars

1211 Garth Avenue S.W. Decatur, Al 35601

edalpha@hiwaay.net
ebyars@bunge.com
Res. Phone: (256) 350-7167
Cell Phone: (256) 260-3426
Pager: (1-877) 415-0847
Fax: (256) 309-2275

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

May 11, 2003

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I am writing you to voice my strong opposition to proposed FCC rule changes that would have the effect of easing media ownership regulations intended to prevent monopoly control of media outlets.

I have for many years been deeply concerned about the bias reporting of news by the major television networks. It is absolutely essential that the American public have access to independent and opposing viewpoints on the major issues that face our nation. Preventing monopoly ownership of TV, radio, and printed media outlets is central to preserving the public's access to information and facilitating a well rounded discourse and debate on issues of national interest.

I urge you to resist any relaxation of FCC rules that are designed to prevent monopolization of media ownership.

Sincerely,

Edward L. Byars

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

I urge you not to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media menopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Sincerely,

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Nancy L Watkins

Federal Com. Commission
Washington DC

May 11-03

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC-MAILROOM

To: Chairman Powell + Board Members:

My family and I strongly oppose removing the current limits on the number of TV, radio (+ newspapers) stations a corporation can own.

Media concentration is already too great and further deregulation is a serious threat to our democracy. It is the role of the FCC to protect the public interest.

The 1934 Communications Act said the airways belong to the public. The 1996 Telecommunications Act violated this law.

We urge you - the FCC - to maintain current ownership rules.

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Kienzle

2829 Conn.
Washington DC
20008

Norman H. Fuss, Jr.
413 Ashwood Drive
Williamsburg, VA 23185-2705

Confirmed
JUN 0 3 2003
Distribution Center

May 12, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 2 8 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC

2003
Distribution Center

Dear Ms. Abernathy:

I am writing to urge you to **oppose any attempt to relax the restrictions on the ownership of broadcast media** that are currently in force.

Our continued existence as a free and democratic country depends on the right of every viewpoint to be expressed. That right is guaranteed under the First Amendment to our Constitution. But that *right* is worthless without the *ability* to be heard. And increasingly that ability is defined by access to the public media, especially the broadcast media.

FCC rules changes that would permit greater concentration of ownership of broadcast media in markets would, in my opinion, carry the serious risk of shutting down access to the media by spokespersons with views that do not correspond to the views that the major media companies hold. Those few companies already have tremendous power to influence what the American people believe by their domination of the large networks. And they have in the past repeatedly demonstrated what I believe is a disturbing tendency to trumpet certain views while suppressing others – in plain language, to bias their reporting.

So I urge you to keep access to the media – and hence to the people – available to those with what may be views that are unpopular with the management of the major media companies by retaining the existing restrictions on the ownership of broadcast media. In fact, I would suggest that the FCC might want to investigate the possibility of tightening those rules in such ways as would facilitate the ability of those with differing viewpoints to make them known to the American public.

Sincerely,

Norman H. Fuss Jr.

Norman H. Fuss, Jr.
413 Ashwood Drive
Williamsburg, VA 23185-2705

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAIL ROOM

May 12, 2003

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC

Commented
JUN 6 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Mr. Adelstein:

I am writing to urge you to **oppose any attempt to relax the restrictions on the ownership of broadcast media** that are currently in force.

Our continued existence as a free and democratic country depends on the right of every viewpoint to be expressed. That right is guaranteed under the First Amendment to our Constitution. But that *right* is worthless without the *ability* to be heard. And increasingly that ability is defined by access to the public media, especially the broadcast media.

FCC rules changes that would permit greater concentration of ownership of broadcast media in markets would, in my opinion, carry the serious risk of shutting down access to the media by spokespersons with views that do not correspond to the views that the major media companies hold. Those few companies already have tremendous power to influence what the American people believe by their domination of the large networks. And they have in the past repeatedly demonstrated what I believe is a disturbing tendency to trumpet certain views while suppressing others – in plain language, to bias their reporting.

So I urge you to keep access to the media – and hence to the people – available to those with what may be views that are unpopular with the management of the major media companies by retaining the existing restrictions on the ownership of broadcast media. In fact, I would suggest that the FCC might want to investigate the possibility of tightening those rules in such ways as would facilitate the ability of those with differing viewpoints to make them known to the American public.

Sincerely,

Norman H. Fuss

John G. Fehrenbacher
5051 E. West Point Ln.
Olney, IL 62450-4045

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

May 8, 2003

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Adelstein,

Please do not relax ownership rules. By doing so, we would run the risk of creating media monopolies. We need to keep the many diverse independent voices.

Sincerely,
John Fehrenbacher

Norman H. Fuss, Jr.
413 Ashwood Drive
Williamsburg, VA 23185-2705

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

May 12, 2003

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Mr. Martin:

I am writing to urge you to **oppose any attempt to relax the restrictions on the ownership of broadcast media** that are currently in force.

Our continued existence as a free and democratic country depends on the right of every viewpoint to be expressed. That right is guaranteed under the First Amendment to our Constitution. But that *right* is worthless without the *ability* to be heard. And increasingly that ability is defined by access to the public media, especially the broadcast media.

FCC rules changes that would permit greater concentration of ownership of broadcast media in markets would, in my opinion, carry the serious risk of shutting down access to the media by spokespersons with views that do not correspond to the views that the major media companies hold. Those few companies already have tremendous power to influence what the American people believe by their domination of the large networks. And they have in the past repeatedly demonstrated what I believe is a disturbing tendency to trumpet certain views while suppressing others – in plain language, to bias their reporting.

So I urge you to keep access to the media – and hence to the people – available to those with what may be views that are unpopular with the management of the major media companies by retaining the existing restrictions on the ownership of broadcast media. In fact, I would suggest that the FCC might want to investigate the possibility of tightening those rules in such ways as would facilitate the ability of those with differing viewpoints to make them known to the American public.

Sincerely,

Norman H. Fuss Jr.

Clarence R. Grott, Ph.D.

895 North 625 East
Westville, IN 46391-9403

Phone 219-926-7736

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

May 8, 2003

The Honorable Michael J. Copps
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Mr. Copps:

I am critical of a concerted effort of governmental and financially controlled interests — the Powers-To-Be — trying to dumb the American people down and promote ignorance.

The prevailing winds of human opinion — money, societal approval and politic correctness — are now our usual motivators. Education, family values, spiritual and moral ethics have all but gone down the drain along with some of our freedoms as guaranteed (at least in interpreted spirit) by The Declaration of Independence. There is a malignant ideology to satisfy greed, self-gratification and control. As the younger generation says, *"It sucks and I hate it!"*

Within the constraints of legal ethics, our First Amendment of the Constitution (Bill of Rights) gives every citizen the right to express his opinion.

I wholeheartedly agree with and support the concerted effort by the National Rifle Association of America opposing media executives gaining monopoly control over what the American people read, see, hear and think.

To embody the spirit of their agenda:

"I urge you ***NOT*** to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue to broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country."

To the opponents of such freedom, I highly encourage them to take advantage of other great American freedoms: (1) THE RIGHT TO CHANGE STATIONS AND NOT LISTEN TO WHAT OFFENDS YOU, and, (2) THE RIGHT TO SELECT AND NOT READ MEDIA THAT OFFENDS YOU!

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Clarence R. Grott

HAROLD & ALBERTA BOOTS
1624 SOUTH DEWEY AVE.
BARTLESVILLE, OK 74003-5819

& INSPECTED
MAY 2 8 2003
MAILROOM

Tuesday, May 06, 2003

Confirmed
JUN 0 3 2003
Distribution Center

The Honorable Michael K. Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Powell:

We understand that media corporations are lobbying the FCC to relax the broadcast ownership rules that prevent media monopolies.

It is our understanding if the proposed broadcast ownership rules are adopted our sources of news from newspaper, television stations, radio stations, and cable companies could be taken over by the larger media corporations like ABC, CBS, and NBC.

It is our feeling that the larger corporations like the ones mentioned above are using their power to keep opposing viewpoints off of the air and out of the newspapers.

The sources of news of an entire community even the entire state could be taken over by one large media corporation. This would permit them to determine which viewpoints to be allowed on the air or be published in the newspapers.

We want to hear more than one point of view on all major news items. We feel a good example of that today is Fox News, they provide a healthy debate on most major news events.

We urge the FCC to continue the broadcast ownership rules that protect us from media monopolies.

Sincerely,

Harold L Boots
Alberta M. Boots

Harold L. Boots
Alberta M. Boots

LAWRENCE ARONSON, M.D.
General Practice
American Academy of Family Practice
Foothill Medical Center
877 W. Fremont Avenue, Bldg., B-2
Sunnyvale, CA 94087
Telephone: (408) 736-0677

Confirmed
JUN 0 3 2003
Distribution Center

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 2 8 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Re Telecommunication Hearing + Bill

5/4/03

To Chairman Powell, FCC.

T o Senator Barbara Boxer, Ted Kennedy and Congresswomen Nancy Pelosi, Anna

Eshoo

Dear Senators and Congresswomen:

Please vote to extend the time for consideration of the FCC hearings scheduled for June

2, and fight for diversity of the public airways and avoid consolidation of media power in

a few large companies. This was well outlined in the Bill Moyer TV program of May

2, featuring Michael Copp about the Telecommunication bill and hearing.

Sincerely,

Dr. and Mrs. Lawrence Aronson

Laronsonmd@aol.com

J. Waxman 90

ANTHONY C. DUENNER

3705 Wickersham Lane • Houston, TX 77027
(713) 851-7199 • acduenner@hotmail.com

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

May 23, 2003

Mr. Michael K. Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, Southwest
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Powell:

I have:

- Propelled a new Asia Pacific market from zero to $21 million net income in 1 year.
- Saved $250+ million by creating the exit strategy for 4 international legacy projects.
- Structured a Korean JV ($1.18 billion revenue/1200 employees) and acted as COO; this venture laid the foundation for the company's entry into the East Asian market.

My experience in P&L management, operations, law, business development, finance, regulatory affairs and strategic planning within a variety of industries can immediately benefit your organization. Please contact me to discuss your needs and my skills in more detail.

Sincerely,

Anthony C. Duenner

Anthony C. Duenner

ANTHONY C. DUENNER

3705 Wickersham Lane • Houston, TX 77027
(713) 851-7199 • acduenner@hotmail.com

Senior Executive with expertise in P&L management, operations, law, business development, finance, regulatory affairs and strategic planning. "Fixer" with proven success in driving profitable business growth in US and international arenas.

Propelled new market from zero to $21 million net income in 1 year.
Saved $250+ million by creating exit strategy for underperforming legacy projects in 4 Asian countries.

Structured JV ($1.18 billion revenue/1200 employees) that laid foundation for entry into East Asia.
Successfully negotiated/restructured > $1 billion in US and international projects.

Business leader with demonstrated record of consistently building revenue, profit and shareholder value.

- Drove startup operation to No. 1 in country, capturing 50% niche market share and 25% overall share.
- Added $10.6 million in additional net income by negotiating $75 million in acquisitions in 1 year.
- Built Asian business segment from scratch; projected to generate $10 million EBIT 1st year.
- Pivotal in raising $6.5 million capital, establishing infrastructure and positioning startup for $2.7 million sales year 1.

Problem solver who enjoys challenges. Solid business, regulatory and legal experience within state/federal/international arenas.

- Key in $2.3 billion merger (1st of its kind in US), including regulatory/stakeholder approval and operations integration.
- Resolved $600 million multistate lawsuit for $2.5 billion market cap company with no material impact on business.
- Saved $200 million equity investment by successfully negotiating withdrawal from project in India.
- Personally led negotiations that returned $50 million to Enron estate.

JD, University of Tulsa College of Law, 1986 • **BS**, Finance, University of Tulsa, 1981 • Open to US/international travel or relocation

EXPERIENCE

RTM DEVELOPMENT, L.P. / RTM MEDIA, L.P. — 2002-Present
MANAGING DIRECTOR

- Direct multistate operations, business development, legal and regulatory for startup real estate development/outdoor advertising company. Key role in raising financing. Company on track for $2.7 million sales in 2003.

ENRON CORP. — 1997-2002
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT, Global Assets & Services - Asia Pacific Region, 2002

- Led rationalization of $500 million in Asia Pacific/Caribbean/South American assets as part of corporate restructuring plan.
- Oversaw liquidation of several business lines in Singapore, Hong Kong, Japan, China, South Korea and Australia.

PRESIDENT, Broadband Services / Wholesale Services - Asia Pacific Region, 2000-2002

- Lived as expat in Singapore while leading entry into wholesale telecommunications market. Negotiated 30+ transactions with leading global carriers. Positioned region for $10 million EBIT 1st year while streamlining overhead by $5 million.

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT, Asia Pacific/Africa/China Business Unit - East Asia Region, 1999-2000
INTERIM COO, SK-Enron Joint Venture, 2000

- Managed P&L for commercial business development in Korea, Japan and Taiwan. Delivered $21 million profit in 1 year.
- Directed market entry/expansion, including $480 million JV. Acted as interim COO of operation with 1200 employees.
- Strategized marketing, finance, acquisition and greenfield development efforts. Served on Boards of 2 JVs in Korea and Japan.
- Enabled penetration of Japanese market by preparing strategy and assuming Board seat for $30 million JV with Oryx.

VICE PRESIDENT, International Capital & Trade Services, 1997-1999

- Led teams in Asia and South America in structuring/closing integrated deals, providing commercial marketing/risk management for local commodity efforts and supplying market evaluation/risk analysis for corporate business opportunities.
- Spearheaded deployment of merchant services model to international markets.
- Evaluated new opportunities in Far East/Australia while overseeing ongoing development projects across Asia Pacific.

VICE PRESIDENT & ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL, 1997

- Recruited to deal team focused on regulatory/legal issues of $2.3 billion merger that enabled entry into US power market.
- Key role in integration of both entities and in preparation of post-closing business plan.
- *Co-authored "Customer Choice" deregulation plan that served as industrywide model across the US.*

BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P. — 1988-1997
PARTNER / ASSOCIATE

- Litigated in state/federal courts and before regulatory agencies; negotiated/restructured development and financing agreements. Clients included Bechtel Group, KN Energy, Valero Corp., Enron and Lloyd's of London.

Clarence R. Grott, Ph.D.

895 North 625 East
Westville, IN 46391-9403

Phone 219-926-7736

Confirmed
JUN 0 3 2003
Distribution Center

May 8, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 2 8 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Abernathy:

I am critical of a concerted effort of governmental and financially controlled interests — the Powers-To-Be — trying to dumb the American people down and promote ignorance.

The prevailing winds of human opinion — money, societal approval and politic correctness — are now our usual motivators. Education, family values, spiritual and moral ethics have all but gone down the drain along with some of our freedoms as guaranteed (at least in interpreted spirit) by The Declaration of Independence. There is a malignant ideology to satisfy greed, self-gratification and control. As the younger generation says, *"It sucks and I hate it!"*

Within the constraints of legal ethics, our First Amendment of the *Constitution (Bill of Rights)* gives every citizen the right to express his opinion.

I wholeheartedly agree with and support the concerted effort by the National Rifle Association of America opposing media executives gaining monopoly control over what the American people read, see, hear and think.

To embody the spirit of their agenda:

"I urge you ***NOT*** to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue to broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country."

To the opponents of such freedom, I highly encourage them to take advantage of other great American freedoms: (1) THE RIGHT TO CHANGE STATIONS AND NOT LISTEN TO WHAT OFFENDS YOU, and, (2) THE RIGHT TO SELECT AND NOT READ MEDIA THAT OFFENDS YOU!

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Clarence R. Grott

QUINN KEON

11144 N. JEROME ROAD • SAINT LOUIS, MICHIGAN • 48880 • (989) 681-5157
www.quinnkeon.com • **contact@quinnkeon.com**

May 22, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

The Honorable Michael J. Copps
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Copps

As a musician I would like to express my concern about the proposed FCC rule change on June 2nd. It is a very difficult process for me to gain any relevant airplay for my music on local radio. However, I see this difficult task becoming an impossibility if the ownership of local stations changes hands into those of corporate giants. Trying to pursue my musical dreams continues to become more difficult due to online file sharing. It is difficult to sell music without radio airplay, and I do not see this opportunity being available if the big corporations take over small town radio stations.

Another concern that I have on the matter is that of one-sided stories in the media. I am concerned that *all* viewpoints will not be equally represented when controversial subjects are the topic. As a responsible owner of firearms, I am aware of the weighted media coverage toward the idea that firearms are problematic. It is my strong belief that this topic among others will continue to be portrayed in a light that is chosen by the media giants. The portrayal of controversial subjects by the media does affect the way many individuals form their viewpoints. Therefore, it is extremely important that you make sure "freedom of the press" rather than control of the press continues to exist.

Please consider my concerns when making your decisions. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Quinn Keon

Comm...
JUN 0 3 2003
Distribution Center

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 2 8 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Dear Mr. Copps,

I strongly urge you to NOT change the broadcast ownership rules that currently protect Americans from media monopolies. If these proposed "broadcast ownership rules" are adopted, independent voices and views across America could be silenced by huge media corporations in direct defiance of the right to Free Speech.

These changes would create opportunities for media conglomerates to gain almost total control of radio and TV news and information in every region of the US. In effect, this could allow domination by one media company. They'd be the only deciders of which views to allow on the air and which to censor or gag. Furthermore, the corporations, such as Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC, now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules, have already been known in the past for keeping opposing views off the air and these proposed changes would give these groups even greater power to keep opposing views off the air and out of the newspapers.

We, as Americans, need and deserve to hear varying viewpoints on all issues.

Therefore, for the sake of Our Republic, created by our ForeFathers, and thus our freedoms, protected under the US Constitution including the right to Free Speech, I strongly urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in America. Thank you for your time and thank you for hearing my view.

Sincerely,

Scott Bazzani

Scott Bazzani
15320 Nancy
Southgate, MI 48195

Confirmed
JUN 0 3 2003
Distribution Center

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 2 8 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Dear Mr. Martin:

I urge you **NOT** to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for GIANT media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the AIR.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues.

Therefore, form the sake of our democracy and our FREEDOM, I URGE YOU TO CONTINUE the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Sincerely

Weir G. Ryder

Weir G. Ryder
67 Brittany Lane
Dillsburg, Pa 17019

A VERY CONCERNED AMERICAN CITIZEN FOR OUR FREEDOM!

Clarence R. Grott, Ph.D.

895 North 625 East
Westville, IN 46391-9403

Phone 219-926-7736

May 8, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Confirmed
JUN 0 3 2003
Distribution Center

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Martin:

I am critical of a concerted effort of governmental and financially controlled interests — the Powers-To-Be — trying to dumb the American people down and promote ignorance.

The prevailing winds of human opinion — money, societal approval and politic correctness — are now our usual motivators. Education, family values, spiritual and moral ethics have all but gone down the drain along with some of our freedoms as guaranteed (at least in interpreted spirit) by The Declaration of Independence. There is a malignant ideology to satisfy greed, self-gratification and control. As the younger generation says, *"It sucks and I hate it!"*

Within the constraints of legal ethics, our First Amendment of the Constitution (Bill of Rights) gives every citizen the right to express his opinion.

I wholeheartedly agree with and support the concerted effort by the National Rifle Association of America opposing media executives gaining monopoly control over what the American people read, see, hear and think.

To embody the spirit of their agenda:

"I urge you ***NOT*** to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue to broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country."

To the opponents of such freedom, I highly encourage them to take advantage of other great American freedoms: (1) THE RIGHT TO CHANGE STATIONS AND NOT LISTEN TO WHAT OFFENDS YOU, and, (2) THE RIGHT TO SELECT AND NOT READ MEDIA THAT OFFENDS YOU!

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Clarence R. Grott

Robert F Gray
103 Angel Ct
Warner Robins GA 31088
478-953-9283

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

May 15, 2003

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

The Honorable Michael K. Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Powell:

I implore you; do not relax the broadcast ownership rules that have protected the American public from media monopolies for so many years.

The proposed changes would certainly open the way for the media conglomerates to obtain almost total control of both radio and television news and information in our towns and cities all across our nation. Many, if not most, of the corporations are now lobbing FCC to relax ownership rules have a well known track record in trying to keep opposing views off the air.

Americans deserve to hear more than just one point of view on any and all important issues. For the sake of our democracy and for the sake of our very freedom, I urge and implore you to keep the broadcast ownership protections that for decades have helped to ensure healthy debate on political and other issues in our communities and our country.

Sincerely,

Robert F Gray

Robert F. Gray

R/r

Robert F Gray
103 Angel Ct
Warner Robins GA 31088
478-953-9283

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC MAILROOM
Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

May 15, 2003

The Honorable Michael J. Copps
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Copps:

I implore you; do not relax the broadcast ownership rules that have protected the American public from media monopolies for so many years.

The proposed changes would certainly open the way for the media conglomerates to obtain almost total control of both radio and television news and information in our towns and cities all across our nation. Many, if not most, of the corporations are now lobbing FCC to relax ownership rules have a well known track record in trying to keep opposing views off the air.

Americans deserve to hear more than just one point of view on any and all important issues. For the sake of our democracy and for the sake of our very freedom, I urge and implore you to keep the broadcast ownership protections that for decades have helped to ensure healthy debate on political and other issues in our communities and our country.

Sincerely,

Robert F Gray

Robert F. Gray

R/r

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Colin Custard
P.O. Box 84
Cheboygan, MI 49721

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

RE: Docket No. 02-277 Don't abandon media safeguards!

Dear FCC Commissioners,

I strongly urge that the FCC abandon its plan to end long-standing and critical safeguards that have served as an important "check and balance" system to help ensure diversity of media ownership. Under the proposal you are considering, one company in a community will be able to own the newspaper, several TV and radio stations, the cable system, and the principal Internet access company. There will be fewer owners of networks, stations, and newspapers nationwide. This will very badly damage true media diversity and competition. A competitive and diverse media is absolutely essential to ensure an informed citizenry and a healthy and vibrant democracy.

Eliminating these last remaining protections of the public trust would constitute a complete abandonment of the FCC's mission to ensure that our airwaves, which are owned by all Americans, are used in a manner which ensures the diverse range of voices and opinions needed in a healthy democracy. Loss of these protections would constitute a huge and unacceptable giveaway of public resources and political power to a few large and powerful media companies.

Further consolidation of the media in the false name of "deregulation" must be halted and in fact reversed. TV and radio news in the hands of a handful of profit-driven corporations has undermined our democracy more than any other modern force except the high cost of broadcast commercials during elections. The media companies have failed in their public trust to provide crucial unbiased information to the public about most public issues. Americans depend upon the media to bring us information that will allow us to make the informed choices necessary for the well-being of our nation and our future.

As an American concerned about our democracy, I urge you to reject the current proposal to abandon the last remaining controls on media consolidation. Instead, I strongly urge you to break up the media conglomerates, to open the spectrum to a wide diversity of organizations and independent journalists, and to reinstate the Fairness Doctrine.

Most sincerely,
Colin Custard
Colin Custard

JAMES A. BROWN

5215 STONECROFT TRAIL, SW · ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30331 · 404.344.3360

May 22, 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 28 2003
FCC - MAILROOM

Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
JUN 03 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

Once again, thank you for devoting a long evening to the "public hearing" in Atlanta last evening. Your comments were thoughtful and forceful, encouraging the attendees who spoke up. Your gracious patience was sustained during the five hours despite the broad range of concerns, laments, and criticisms of government and society in general–at times distantly or not at all related to the mandate given the Commission. Your supportive attitude offered hope to those gathered to protest the further erosion of federal oversight of broadcasting in America.

As noted in my comments from the floor, over the past four and a half decades I have been associated with radio and television first as a student (doctoral), then professor and chairman of radio-TV departments (Universities of Detroit, Southern California, and Alabama), researcher-author (books on broadcast and cable management theory, principles, and practice--co-authored with Ward L. Quaal former executive of Tribune broadcasting), resident consultant (CBS-TV president, later CBS Inc. corporate president), creator of seminars (broadcast managers and minorities in the field), and monitored the changing scene with several generations of FCC commissioners and chairmen. Despite sounding self-indulgent, I state this to emphasize that I have had excellent collaboration with media professionals and federal regulators over almost half a century. It is in this context that I still strongly oppose the further emasculating of Federal oversight of broadcast media, capitulating to massive corporations who have decreasing awareness of any true public responsibility in their conduct of what is to them merely a business unconcerned about the social impact of their information and entertainment systems. Former FCC chairman Mark Fowler's "marketplace" standard was one solely of competitive economics rather than a marketplace of ideas and discourse in the classic sense of the "agora." His dismissing television as just another household appliance, "a toaster with pictures," insulted the founders and builders of American radio-television–such as Donald McGannon (Westinghouse), Dr. Frank Stanton (CBS), Ward Quaal (WGN/Tribune), all former associates and friends whom I admire and respect.

Enough. Let me know if there is any way I might contribute further to your own effort to keep broadcast media from eroding further from government's ill-advised abdication of regulation.

(You asked if I had a business card; just recently I roughed out a draft which is attached.)

Sincerely,

James A. Brown, Ph.D.