

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Original

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

405

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Docket # 02-230

To: Chairman + Commissioners

Writter

Stephanie Kost

From: Bruce Ediger [eballen1@qwest.net]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 3:19 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bruce Ediger
541 Fox Street
Denver, CO 80204
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: I'Khala - [ikhala@msu.edu]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 3:13 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

I'Khala -
1603 River Terrace Dr.
East Lansing, MI 48823
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Zachary Piech [zachary.piech@request.com]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 3:13 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Zachary Piech
137 1/2 Lincoln Ave.
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Brian Martinez [marti259@thematrix.cl.msu.edu]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 3:10 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Brian Martinez
500 Computer Center
East Lansing, MI 48824
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Matt Ryan [catphile@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 3:09 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Possible new rules regarding "broadcast flags"

RECEIVED
DEC 19 2003
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioners & Chairman,

I am concerned about the proposed rules regarding "broadcast flags" in digital TV broadcasts. I believe the MPAA has a right to protect their content, but **I am opposed to the MPAA's "flag" solution**, because it creates restraints on future technologies. There is little danger of people trading files the size of which would be required to share an hour of television. The connection speeds and available hard drive spaces already prevent this type of sharing to happen. There's no reason the FCC cannot wait to see what type of problems actually develop in the future and act accordingly, rather than restrict how technology can be developed.

Thank you for listening to my concerns,
Matthew Ryan
Portland, OR

Stephanie Kost

From: David Price [eff.dp@coyotes.org]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 3:09 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Price
2625 Fremont St
Boulder, CO 80304
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Mark Nair [mark@babblebox.com]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 3:05 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mark Nair
2301 Harmony
Amarillo, TX 79106
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Thomas Morris [aliebay@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 2:59 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
DEC 19 2003
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Morris
918 Metropolitan Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11211
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Mark Dinse [markdinse@netscape.net]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 2:57 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mark Dinse
1259 Lakeside Dr. #2208
Sunnyvale, CA 94085
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: jeff kuntzman [jeff.kuntzman@uchsc.edu]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 2:55 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

jeff kuntzman
627 S. Jasmine Way
Denver, CO 80224
USA

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Stephanie Kost

From: Alexander Feinman [afeinman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 2:44 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

DEC 7 9 2003
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Alexander Feinman
33 Hazel St.
Waltham, MA 02451
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Collin Eyre [oceanic_noise@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 2:40 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 27, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
DEC 19 2003
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Collin Eyre
606 Haight Street
San Francisco, CA 94117
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Magnus Lindgren [e8quarl@etek.chalmers.se]
Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2003 1:27 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Magnus Lindgren
Richertsgatan 2F Lgh 121
Gothenburg, 41281
Sweden

Stephanie Kost

From: Stephen Condouris [stevne@jhu.edu]
Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2003 12:45 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Stephen Condouris
3131 Guilford Avenue
Baltimore, MD 21218
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Frank Boosman [frank@boosman.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 10:03 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Frank Boosman
808 Green Passage Ln
Apex, NC 27502
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Linda Howard [cr0wgrrl@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 9:44 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Linda Howard
679 Clementina St.
San Francisco, CA 94103
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Bryson Skyles [synabtic@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 9:15 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bryson Skyles
2519 Spindlehill Dr Apt 6
Cincinnati, OH 45230
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: David Sleight [dsleight@hvc.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 7:49 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Sleight
30 Hill Rd
Kingston, NY 12401
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Michael Easter [mleaste@clemson.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 7:45 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Easter
4142 UNIVERSITY STATION
Clemson, SC 29632
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: James Hinds [jhinds@indy.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 7:16 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

James Hinds
4910 E.Naomi St.
Indianapolis, IN 46203
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Christopher Sheffield [etc.chris.sheffield@ntlworld.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 6:46 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Christopher Sheffield
30 Midgley St
Colne, BB8 0HF
United Kingdom

Stephanie Kost

From: james macy [jrmacy@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 5:46 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Jonathan Adelstein,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

james macy
PO Box 303
54 lake ave
Oak Bluffs, MA 02557
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: ginjon11@netzero.net
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 5:39 PM
To: Michael Copps; KM KJMWEB; Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: DEMINSKI & DOYLE SHOW

John and Virginia Crump
4536 Hutchinson Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45248

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St, S.W.
Complaints and Political Programming Branch
Enforcement Division, Mass Media Bureau
Washington, DC 20554

This is a formal PROTEST to each of the 5 members of the Federal Communications Commission concerning the recent 4-1 vote to fine Detroit radio station WKRK a mere \$27,500 for the Jan. 9, 2002 broadcast of the "Deminski & Doyle Show."

I have read an extensive transcript of this broadcast provided by the Parents Television Council [provided below], and it is clear that this broadcast constituted prolonged, flagrant, reckless and irresponsible indecency.

This station's license should be revoked.

I agree with FCC Commissioner Michael Copps that a fine of just \$27,500 is a mere "slap on the wrist" especially considering the vast financial assets of WKRK's corporate parents, Infinity Broadcasting and Viacom.

To these corporations, \$27,500 is an insignificant amount of money and therefore no deterrent against future indecent broadcasts.

Infinity has already paid more than \$1.5 million in previous FCC indecency fines, and yet its stations such as WKRK continue to spew such indecency.

This new "slap on the wrist" fine will do nothing to reduce broadcast indecency. In fact, the FCC would be giving WKRK and other stations an implicit "green light" to continue broadcasting gross indecency without fear of the consequences.

As a tax-paying citizen whose interests the FCC is supposed to serve by enforcing the Federal law against broadcast indecency, I DEMAND:

That the FCC immediately convene a new hearing to consider revocation of WKRK's broadcast license;

That you vote FOR revocation of the license at such hearing;

And that each of you notify me, personally, of the action you have taken in this case and will take in other cases of broadcast indecency to enforce the law. The days of ignoring broadcast indecency and non-enforcement of the law at the FCC must end NOW!

Sincerely,

John and Virginia Crump

Stephanie Kost

From: Mike Scott [mike@sprucehollow.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 5:26 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Kathleen Abernathy,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mike Scott
255 Skyline Dr
Millington, NJ 07946
USA

Stephanie Kost

From: Mike Scott [mike@sprucehollow.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2003 5:26 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: I Oppose a Broadcast Flag Mandate for Digital Television

October 29, 2003

Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Copps,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mike Scott
255 Skyline Dr
Millington, NJ 07946
USA