

< TRANSMISSION >

DATE	TIME	ADDRESS	MODE	TIME	PAGE	RESULT	PERSONAL NAME	FILE
------	------	---------	------	------	------	--------	---------------	------

< RECEPTION >

DATE	TIME	ADDRESS	MODE	TIME	PAGE	RESULT	PERSONAL NAME	FILE
------	------	---------	------	------	------	--------	---------------	------

OCT. 11.	4:12 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2935
	4:16 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2936
	4:17 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2937
	4:21 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:30"	P. 1	OK		2938
	4:22 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2939
	4:26 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2940
	4:27 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2941
	4:30 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:30"	P. 1	OK		2942
	4:31 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2943
	4:43 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2944
	4:43 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2945
	4:45 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2946
	4:46 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:32"	P. 1	OK		2947
	4:49 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2948
	4:49 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:30"	P. 1	OK		2949
	4:51 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2950
	4:52 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:35"	P. 1	OK		2951
	4:56 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:32"	P. 1	OK		2952
	4:58 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2953
	4:58 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2954
	4:58 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:32"	P. 1	OK		2955
	4:58 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:32"	P. 1	OK		2956
	4:58 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2957
	4:58 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2958
	4:58 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2959
	5:21 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2960
	5:22 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:30"	P. 1	OK		2961
	5:26 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:32"	P. 1	OK		2962
	5:27 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:32"	P. 1	OK		2963
	5:31 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2964
	5:32 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2965
	5:35 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2966
	5:37 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2967
	5:40 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2968
	5:42 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2969
	5:46 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2970
	5:47 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2971
	5:51 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:30"	P. 1	OK		2972
	5:52 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2973
	5:56 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2974
	6:57 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2975
	6:01 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2976
	6:02 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2977
	6:06 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2978
	6:07 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2979
	6:11 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:30"	P. 1	OK		2980
	6:12 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2981
	6:16 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2982
	6:17 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2983
	6:21 PM	5413023099	G3FES	0:31"	P. 1	OK		2984

TX 003815

RX 029884

# : BATCH  
M : MEMORY TX  
S : STANDARD  
!\$ : REMOTE TRANSFER  
+ : ROUTING

C : CONFIDENTIAL  
L : SEND LATER  
D : DETAIL  
B : FAX ON DEMAND

\$ : TRANSFER  
@ : FORWARDING  
F : FINE  
\* : PC

P : POLLING  
E : ECM  
> : REDUCTION  
% : PC DIRECT



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Al Baars  
W768 Hwy 60  
Rubicon, WI 53078  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Darryl Levingston  
9103 Dorella Ln  
Austin, TX 78736  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

andrew morton  
1831 sw park ave #205  
Portland, OR 97201  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chris Demisch  
435 Round Hill Rd  
Greenwich, CT 06831  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David DiPietro  
3085 Memphis St  
Philadelphia, PA 19134  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Quentin Hartman  
24928 W Broadway  
Veneta, OR 97487  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Eldon Blancher  
300 Fern Hill Ct  
Mobile, AL 36608  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my SUPPORT of the FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be GOOD for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.


A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will be a favorable move.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be more likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment.

Please mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Porter Versfelt  
4441 Freeman Road, NE  
Marietta, GA 30062  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Tas Dienes  
2825 Floral Ave  
Riverside, CA 92507  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Daniel O'Connell  
22 Hollow Tree Lane  
Monroe, CT 06468  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Josh Steadmon  
681 Shasteen Bend Dr.  
Winchester, TN 37398  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Molet  
118 Farner Av.  
Selden, NY 11784  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dominic Cerquetti  
4416 Fieldgreen Rd  
Baltimore, MD 21236  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Christopher Creal  
11020 S Eastwood Dr  
Palos Hills, IL 60465  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Hayes  
3806 C Grey Fox Circle  
Williamsburg, VA 23188  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Lee Hutchinson  
16100 Space Center Blvd  
Apartment #411  
Houston, TX 77062  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Seth de l'Isle  
331 SE 29th Ave.  
Portland, OR 97214  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jason Weingartner  
45 Wharton Ave  
Nutley, NJ 07110  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

William Krueger  
512 14th Street  
Farmington, MN 55024  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Seace  
120 Brainerd Road, Apt. 2  
Allston, MA 02134  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jorge Paz  
1524 E St Apt 5  
Lincoln, NE 68508  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Gabriella Turek  
112 N Michigan Ave #12  
Pasadena, CA 91106  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Peter Kazmir  
15009 Red Heron Dr  
Leander, TX 78641  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Paul Filiault  
1149 Dutton St  
New Bedford, MA 02745  
USA