

It is astounding to me that the FCC would proceed with trials of BPL with the attitude: "If there are interference problems created, we will deal with them". Existing trials of BPL within the US and other countries evidence severe interference with other legitimate users of the HF spectrum: international broadcasting (Voice of America), amateur radio emergency services, etc. etc.

The approval of the trials is rationalized in that BPL will further enhance competition in the market for last mile high speed broadband services (data, voice, video). Yet, there are already many alternative competing technologies to deliver broadband to homes and businesses: DSL, cable modems, two-way satellite, wireless of various types, etc. These other alternative technologies do not interfere with other legitimate HF spectrum users.

I simply ask the FCC to exercise better technology judgement in proceeding with BPL in light of intolerable interference with other licensed and appropriate HF spectrum users. I simply ask the FCC to better understand the market dynamics for last mile broadband technologies and rule against proceeding with more misbegotten BPL trials.