

I am totally against any IBOC usage in this country. The loss of night coverage from the big 50 kw clear channels during another terrorist attack on our country or even regional disasters such as massive floods, hurricanes, etc would be devastating. These stations are VITAL to the well being of our country as they are the only effective way of reaching large audiences with important information during times of crisis.

Interference problems resulting from IBOC on adjacent frequencies would all but render most stations unlistenable. Why use a technology that has been tried and discarded in most other countries? Leonard Kahn's CAM-D system would appear to be a much better system to use as it does not cause the loss of night coverage that is inherent in IBOC. Simply put, IBOC would be a disaster both to the listeners and to the broadcasters who will unfortunately find out the hard way just how many out of state listeners depend on them for information and entertainment. I believe that they will realize this once their advertisers see their sales drop. Who in their right mind would continue to keep paying the same advertising rates to a station that once had a signal that would cover half the country but due to IBOC now barely covers their local listening area?

Respectfully yours,
John Hunter