

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service	)	CC Docket No. 96-45
	)	
RCC Minnesota, Inc. and	)	
RCC Atlantic, Inc.	)	
Petition For Designation as an	)	
Eligible Telecommunications	)	
Carrier In the State of New Hampshire	)	

**COMMENTS OF THE  
CTIA – THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION™**

CTIA – The Wireless Association™ ("CTIA")<sup>1</sup> hereby submits its comments in the above captioned proceeding in support of the Petition for Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC") designation in the State of New Hampshire filed by RCC Minnesota, Inc. and RCC Atlantic, Inc. ("Rural Cellular").<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> CTIA – The Wireless Association™ (formally known as the Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association) is the international organization of the wireless communications industry for both wireless carriers and manufacturers. Membership in the association covers all Commercial Mobile Radio Service ("CMRS") providers and manufacturers, including cellular, broadband PCS, ESMR, as well as providers and manufacturers of wireless data services and products.

<sup>2</sup> *See Parties Are Invited to Comment on Petitions for Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Designations*, Public Notice, CC Docket No. 96-45, DA 04-1445 (rel. May 21, 2004); *see also Parties Are Invited to Comment on Petitions for Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Designations*, 69 Fed. Reg. 32351-52 (June 9, 2004) (setting June 21, 2004, comment date). According to the Petition, RCC Minnesota, Inc. is authorized by the FCC as a Personal Communications Service carrier in the Manchester-Nashua-Concord, Keene and Lebanon, NH Basic Trading Areas and as the Cellular Radiotelephone Service provider in Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, New Hampshire-Maine New England Cellular Market. RCC Atlantic, Inc. d/b/a UniceL is authorized by the FCC as the Cellular Radiotelephone Service provider in New Hampshire Rural Area 1-Coos, New Hampshire.

## INTRODUCTION

On March 12, 2004, Rural Cellular filed an application (“Rural Cellular Petition”) seeking ETC designation for areas within its licensed service area. Consistent with CTIA’s position in earlier comments, CTIA supports Rural Cellular’s Petition, which demonstrates that Rural Cellular meets the requirements for designation as an ETC. Section 214(e)(6) provides for ETC designation of carriers not subject to state commission jurisdiction. Specifically, Section 214(e)(6) states, in relevant part:

In the case of a common carrier providing telephone exchange service and exchange access that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission, the Commission shall upon request designate such a common carrier that meets the 2 requirements of paragraph (1) as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the Commission consistent with applicable federal and State law.<sup>3</sup>

Since Rural Cellular is a commercial mobile radio service (“CMRS”) provider not subject to the authority of the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (“New Hampshire Commission”), the FCC has the authority to grant ETC status to Rural Cellular pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6).

## DISCUSSION

### **A. Rural Cellular Is Not Subject To the Jurisdiction of the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission**

Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6), the Commission shall designate as an ETC a common carrier providing telephone exchange service and exchange access service that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission, so long as the carrier otherwise meets the Act’s requirements. The Commission has held that, for this purpose: “[M]any CMRS providers

---

<sup>3</sup> 47 U.S.C. §214(e)(6). *See also Procedures for FCC Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers Pursuant to Section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act, Public Notice*, 12 FCC Rcd 22947 (1997).

(specifically cellular, broadband PCS and covered SMR) also provide telephone exchange service and exchange access as defined by the 1996 Act.”<sup>4</sup> The Commission has also stated that a carrier may demonstrate that it “is not subject to the jurisdiction of a state commission” by providing an “affirmative statement” from the state commission or a court of competent jurisdiction that the state lacks jurisdiction to perform the designation.<sup>5</sup> In this case, the New Hampshire Commission has previously issued an Order noting that the “Commission has no jurisdiction over RCC’s petition to be designated as an ETC”.<sup>6</sup>

**B. Rural Cellular Offers All Of the Services Supported by Universal Service Support Mechanisms**

Rural Cellular addressed the nine services and functionalities identified in the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. §54.101(a), that are the core services to be offered by an ETC and supported by federal universal service support mechanisms.<sup>7</sup> Rural Cellular’s universal service offering will be provided in its requested service area in New Hampshire primarily over its existing cellular network infrastructure and spectrum, including the same antenna, cell-site, tower, trunking, mobile switching, and interconnection facilities used to serve existing mobile

---

<sup>4</sup> *Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, CC Docket 96-98, First Report and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 15,499, 15-998-99, at ¶1012(1996). *See also id.* at ¶1004 (“Congress recognized that some CMRS providers offer telephone exchange and exchange access services”).

<sup>5</sup> *See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; Promoting Deployment and Subscribership in Unserved and Underserved Areas, Including Tribal and Insular Areas*, CC Docket 96-98, Twelfth Report and Order, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 15 FCC Rcd 12208, 12264 (2000).

<sup>6</sup> RCC Minnesota, Inc., RCC Atlantic, Inc. Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier, Order Regarding Jurisdiction of the Commission, DT-03-128, Order No. 24,245 (NHPUC Dec. 5, 2003).

<sup>7</sup> *See* Rural Cellular Petition 4-5.

cellular service subscribers.<sup>8</sup> Rural Cellular further states that it will advertise its new universal service offering to ensure that consumers within the designated service areas in New Hampshire are aware of the service.<sup>9</sup> Accordingly, Rural Cellular has satisfied the requirements of Sections 254 and 214(e)(1)(A) of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 54.101(a) of the Commission’s rules regarding ETC eligibility.

**C. Designating Rural Cellular as an ETC Will Advance The Public Interest**

CTIA agrees with Rural Cellular that grant of Rural Cellular’s Petition will serve the public interest by bringing the benefits of competition to an underserved marketplace.<sup>10</sup> In addition, designation of CMRS providers, such as Rural Cellular, is consistent with the principal goals of the universal service program and provides unique benefits associated with wireless service, such as mobility, to consumers.

It is now well established that wireless carriers can bring significant benefits to the universal service program. One of the principal goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 was to “promote competition and reduce regulation in order to secure lower prices and higher quality services for American telecommunications consumers and encourage the rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies.”<sup>11</sup> In granting ETC status to CMRS carriers, the Commission has found that “[d]esignation of competitive ETCs promotes

---

<sup>8</sup> See *id* at 2-3.

<sup>9</sup> See *id.* at 8.

<sup>10</sup> See *id.* at 8-10.

<sup>11</sup> Telecommunications Act of 1996, Public Law No. 104-104, 100 Stat. 56 (1996).

competition and benefits consumers in rural and high-cost areas by increasing customer choice, innovative services, and new technologies.”<sup>12</sup>

The Commission has also found that CMRS ETC designations provide substantial benefits to “consumers in cases where they do not have access to a wireline telephone.”<sup>13</sup> In the *Virginia Cellular Order*, the Commission stated that “the mobility of telecommunications assists consumers in rural areas who often must drive significant distances to places of employment, stores, schools, and other critical community locations.”<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, the Commission also recognized the critical public safety role that wireless services can play in rural and insular areas by noting that “the availability of a wireless universal service offering provides access to emergency services that can mitigate the unique risks of geographic isolation associated with living in rural communities.”<sup>15</sup>

In this case, Rural Cellular has committed to use available federal high-cost support for its intended purposes – the construction, maintenance and upgrading of facilities serving the high-costs and rural areas for which support is intended. In addition, Rural Cellular has also committed to comply with all provisions of CTIA’s Code of Conduct for Wireless Services,

---

<sup>12</sup> *Western Wireless Corporation, Petition for Designation as and Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Wyoming*, CC Docket No. 96-45, 16 FCC Rcd 48, 55 (2000) (hereinafter “*Wyoming Memorandum Opinion and Order*”), *aff’d*, 16 FCC Rcd 19144, 19151 (2001).

<sup>13</sup> *Virginia Cellular, LLC Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Virginia*, CC Docket No. 96-45 Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 03-338, at ¶ 29 (2004) (hereinafter “*Virginia Cellular Order*”).

<sup>14</sup> *Id.*

<sup>15</sup> *Id.*

maintain essential telecommunications services in times of emergency, and provide service to all potential customers within its requested ETC service area upon reasonable request.<sup>16</sup>

Because section 54.315 of the Commission’s rules provides rural telephone companies ample opportunities to target support to only the highest-cost lowest-density portions of a study area, CTIA does not believe it is appropriate for the Commission to use “cream skimming” concerns as grounds for denying ETC petitions.<sup>17</sup> CTIA also does not believe it is appropriate to penalize CMRS providers when their licensed service areas do not happen to follow the contours of rural telephone company study area boundaries—especially when wireless licensed service area boundaries typically are determined by the FCC. Even if it was appropriate for the Commission to consider “cream skimming” as a grounds for denying ETC designations, there is no evidence here that Rural Cellular is attempting to “cream skim” by only proposing ETC designation for the lowest-cost highest density exchanges in a rural telephone company study area with highly population densities.<sup>18</sup>

Accordingly, designating Rural Cellular as an ETC in covered areas in the State of New Hampshire would serve the public interest by increasing the availability of new, competitively-priced services and technologies in New Hampshire communities.

---

<sup>16</sup> Rural Cellular Petition 21-22.

<sup>17</sup> *See* 47 C.F.R. § 54.315.

<sup>18</sup> *See* Rural Cellular Petition at 18; *see also Highland Cellular Inc., Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the Commonwealth of Virginia*, CC Docket No. 96-45, Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 04-37 at para. 32 (2004).

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should exercise its authority to grant ETC status to Rural Cellular for its requested service territories in the State of New Hampshire.

Respectfully submitted,

      /s/ Michael Altschul

**CTIA – THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION™**

1400 16<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 785-0081

Michael Altschul  
Senior Vice President & General Counsel

Paul Garnett  
Director, Regulatory Policy

Its Attorneys

Dated: June 21, 2004