

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL

Paul Locke

From: Hanson, Robert [robert.hanson@mtnsat.com]
Sent: Monday, August 23, 2004 12:10 PM
To: Paul Locke
Cc: Gardner Foster; Lisa Cacciatore
Subject: RE: ESVs

RECEIVED

AUG 23 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

02-10

~~02-10~~

po

Paul:

We can support the comments of Intelsat and Boeing. From our point of view, it would be economically advantageous to have access to the extended receive Ku-bands mentioned. Although these are shared bands, I don't see any problem using them in inland waters on the receive side if we do not claim protection. Hopefully, the FCC will give us a full co-primary license with receive side protection in the main Ku-band, because it is not shared.

Thanks and I hope to see you soon.

Bob Hanson
Tel: +1 720 635 8162
Fax: +1 303 449 1272
email: robert.hanson@mtnsat.com

From: Paul Locke [mailto:Paul.Locke@fcc.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2004 3:23 PM
To: Hanson, Robert
Cc: Gardner Foster; Lisa Cacciatore
Subject: ESVs

Bob
Both Boeing and Intelsat bring up the possibility of licensing ESV in the Ku extended receive bands (10.95-11.2 GHz and 11.45-11.7 GHz). Any comments? I assume this would not apply to inland waters and the Great Lakes.
Paul

*** Non-Public: For Internal Use Only ***

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE