I find it appaling that a company that has made it quite clear what its political agenda is can force its numerous media outlets to convey that agenda.
This is NOT what public broadcasts were meant to be used for.

To call this program "news" is laughable and shows the depth of their partisan view. The one and only purpose this program could serve is to denigrate the political opponent of the candidate Sinclair supports.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.