I am writing to comment on the importance of local independence and local control of broadcasters and information dissemanators. The recent controversy over the Sinclair Broadcasting company's attempt to impose a politically motivated and partisan film on its local broadcasters is a prime example of the dangers inherent to the concentration of broadcast control in the hands of a few powerful interests. The Sinclair corporation's attempt is motivated by political expediency ONLY. This is demonstrated by its open political affiliations, and the clear and evident attempt to broadcast its program which criticizes John Kerry in a concentration of sates and markets which are mostly located in states where the current presidential election campaign is a very close call. As a democratic country, which values the freeedom of our press, we must not only keep a watchful eye on preventing government from exercising extreme control over information, but we must also keep a very watchful eye over other interests attempting to do the same, especially when for purely political purposes, or as in this case, a grab for political power. Your claimed inability to police such an infringement on the openness, fairness, and equality of our political process stands in stark contrast to your extreme fining of a station for nudity relating to Janet Jackson. How in the world could an exposed nipple be considered more a hasard to this country's population than a company seeking to exploit airwaves for its private nefarious political purposes?