

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Instead, they are using the airwaves to broadcast their own corporate interests, instead of offering a nonpartisan-favored view of the candidates, including the '3rd parties.'

But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you for my concerns.